

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BUNGIE, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

WORLDS INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01319
Patent 8,082,501

**PATENT OWNER WORLDS INC.'S
RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Background.....	3
	a. About U.S. Patent No. 8,082,501 (the “501 patent” or “Leahy”).....	3
	b. The Petition Challenges Claims 1-8, 10, 12, and 14-16 of the ‘501 Patent	6
	c. Petitioner Failed to Conduct a Proper Claim Construction Analysis and Applied Unreasonably Broad Interpretations of the Claim Terms	7
	d. The Instituted Grounds of Challenge	19
III.	Argument	20
	a. Petitioner’s Theories of Invalidity Fail to Identify Each Recited Feature of the Challenged Claims in the Cited References	20
	b. Ground 1’s Challenge Based on Funkhouser in view of Sitrick Fails to Identify all Features of the Independent Claims	20
	c. Ground 4’s Challenge Based on Durward Also Fails to Disclose all Features of Claims 1, 12, and 14.....	22
	d. The Petition’s Obviousness-Based Challenges of Claims 8 and 10 Fail Due to Shortcomings of the Secondary References.....	24
	e. Wexelblat’s Teleportation is Incompatible with Funkhouser and Durward ..	31
	f. The Petition Fails to Name All Real Parties in Interest	36
	g. An Invalidity Ruling in This Case Constitutes an Impermissible Taking of a Private Right Without Article III Oversight.....	42
IV.	Conclusion	44

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Cammeyer v. Newton</i> , 94 U.S. 225 (1876)	43
<i>Garmin Int’l, Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Techs LLC</i> , IPR2012-00001 (PTAB March 5, 2013)	40
<i>IGT v. Bally Gaming Int’l, Inc.</i> , 659 F.3d 1109 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	13
<i>James v. Campbell</i> , 104 U.S. 356 (1881)	43
<i>K.J. Pretech Co., Ltd. v. Innovative Display Techs. LLC</i> , IPR2015-01866-68 (PTAB Nov. 20, 2015).....	41
<i>Loral Space & Comms., Inc. v. Viasat, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00236 (PTAB Apr. 21, 2014)	42
<i>McCormick Harvesting Mach. Co. v. Aultman</i> , 169 U.S. 606 (1898).....	42, 43
<i>Michigan Land and Lumber Co. v. Rust</i> , 168 U.S. 589 (1897).....	43
<i>Moore v. Robbins</i> , 96 U.S. 530 (1877)	43
<i>Omron Oilfield & Marine, Inc. v. MD/TOTCO, a Division of Varco, L.P.</i> , IPR2014-00265 (PTAB Oct. 31, 2013)	8
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	8, 10, 11
<i>RPX Corp. v. Virnetx Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00171 (PTAB June 5, 2014)	36, 41, 42
<i>Seymour v. Osborne</i> , 11 Wall. 516 (1870)	43
<i>St. Jude Med., Cardiology Div., Inc. v. Volcano Corp.</i> , IPR2013-00258 (PTAB Oct. 16, 2013)	42
<i>Taylor v. Sturgell</i> , 553 U.S. 880 (2008).....	39
<i>United States v. Am. Bell Telephone Co.</i> , 128 U.S. 315 (1888)	43
<i>United States v. Palmer</i> , 128 U.S. 262 (1888).....	43
<i>United States v. Schurz</i> , 102 U.S. 378 (1880).....	43
<i>Universal Remote Control, Inc. v. Universal Elecs., Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00168 (PTAB Aug. 26, 2013)	42

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102	44
35 U.S.C. § 103	44
35 U.S.C. § 154(a)(2).....	8
35 U.S.C. § 154(a)(3).....	8

...

35 U.S.C. § 315(b) 36, 39, 41

Other Authorities

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012)..... 7, 39

Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)..... 41, 42

37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a)..... 15, 23

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)7

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c).....10

LIST OF PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>
2001	Transcript of Conference Call of July 23, 2015
2002	“Exhibit 1” to Exhibit 2001 (Software Publishing and Development Agreement, dated April 16, 2010)
2003	Proof of Service in <i>Worlds Inc. v. Activision Blizzard, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 1:12-cv-10576 (D. Mass.)
2004	Letter dated November 13, 2014, from Worlds’ litigation counsel to Activision’s litigation counsel
2005	Patent Owner’s First [Proposed] Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Things to Petitioner (Nos. 1-6)
2006	Claim Construction Order dated June 26, 2015 in <i>Worlds Inc. v. Activision Blizzard, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 1:12-cv-10576 (D. Mass.)
2007	Complaint in <i>Worlds Inc. v. Activision Blizzard, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 1:12-cv-10576 (D. Mass.)
2008	Copilevitz, Todd, “Here’s a chat room worth talking about,” <i>The Dallas Morning News</i> , June 11, 1995
2009	Smith, Gina, “Whole new Worlds on-line,” <i>San Francisco Examiner</i> , May 14, 1995
2010 - 2015	Reserved
2016	Transcript of Deposition of Dr. Michael Zyda, dated February 11-12, 2016
2017	Declaration of Mark D. Pesce (“Pesce”)
2018	Declaration of Thom Kidrin (“Kidrin”)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.