

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASML NETHERLANDS B.V., EXCELITAS TECHNOLOGIES CORP., AND
QIOPTIQ PHOTONICS GMBH & CO. KG,
Petitioners

v.

ENERGETIQ TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Patent Owner

Cases IPR2015-01300 and
IPR2015-01303
Patent No. 7,435,982

**PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO
37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)**

IPR2015-01300 and IPR2015-01303
Patent No. 7,435,982

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner Energetiq Technology, Inc. objects to the admissibility of Petitioner's Exhibit 1002 and 1102. The bases of the objection are:

- a. The exhibits are incomplete and may result in unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, misleading the factfinder, undue delay, and/or wasting time under Fed. R. Evid. 403.
- b. The exhibits are hearsay under Fed. R. Evid. 802.
- c. The exhibits are not authenticated under Fed. R. Evid. 901.

Patent Owner also objects to the admissibility of Petitioner's Exhibit 1004 and 1104 ("Gärtner"). The bases of the objection are:

- a. The English Translations in these exhibits are inaccurate and may result in unfair prejudice, confusing the issues and/or misleading the factfinder under Fed. R. Evid. 403.

Patent Owner also objects to the admissibility of Petitioner's Exhibit 1005 and 1105. The bases of the objection are:

- a. The exhibits are hearsay under Fed. R. Evid. 801 and inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 802.
- b. The exhibits are not authenticated under Fed. R. Evid. 901.

IPR2015-01300 and IPR2015-01303
Patent No. 7,435,982

- c. There is no admissible evidence regarding the date or the manner in which the exhibits were made available to the public, if ever, and therefore do not constitute prior art.

Patent Owner also objects to the admissibility of Petitioner's Exhibit 1006 and 1106. The bases of the objection are:

- a. The exhibits are hearsay under Fed. R. Evid. 801 and inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 802.
- b. The exhibits are not authenticated under Fed. R. Evid. 901.
- c. There is no admissible evidence regarding the date or the manner in which the exhibits were made available to the public, if ever, and therefore do not constitute prior art.

Patent Owner also objects to the admissibility of Petitioner's Exhibit 1008 and 1108. The bases of the objection are:

- a. The exhibits are hearsay under Fed. R. Evid. 801 and inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 802.
- b. The exhibits are not authenticated under Fed. R. Evid. 901.

IPR2015-01300 and IPR2015-01303
Patent No. 7,435,982

Patent Owner also objects to the admissibility of Petitioner's Exhibit 1009 and 1109. The bases of the objection are:

- a. The exhibits are hearsay under Fed. R. Evid. 801 and inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 802.
- b. The exhibits are not authenticated under Fed. R. Evid. 901.
- c. There is no admissible evidence regarding the date or the manner in which the exhibits were made available to the public, if ever, and therefore do not constitute prior art.

Patent Owner also objects to the admissibility of Petitioner's Exhibit 1011 and 1111. The bases of the objection are:

- a. The exhibits are redacted and incomplete, which may result in unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, and/or misleading the factfinder under Fed. R. Evid. 403.

Patent Owner also objects to the admissibility of Petitioner's Exhibit 1012 and 1112. The bases of the objection are:

- a. The exhibits are redacted and incomplete, which may result in unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, and/or misleading the factfinder under Fed. R. Evid. 403.

IPR2015-01300 and IPR2015-01303
Patent No. 7,435,982

Patent Owner also objects to the admissibility of Petitioner's Exhibit 1013 and 1113. The bases of the objection are:

- a. The exhibits are redacted and incomplete, which may result in unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, and/or misleading the factfinder under Fed. R. Evid. 403.

Patent Owner also objects to the admissibility of Petitioner's Exhibit 1014 and 1114. The bases of the objection are:

- a. The exhibits are hearsay under Fed. R. Evid. 801 and inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 802.
- b. The exhibits are not authenticated under Fed. R. Evid. 901.
- c. There is no admissible evidence regarding the date or the manner in which the exhibits were made available to the public, if ever, and therefore do not constitute prior art.

This objection is made within 10 business days from the November 30, 2015 institution of trial. Patent Owner expressly reserves the right to file a motion to exclude portions of, or the entirety of, the exhibits referenced herein.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.