UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
JOHNSON MATTHEY INC., and JOHNSON MATTHEY PLC, Petitioners
V.
BASF CORPORATION, Patent Owner
Case IPR2015-01266 Patent 9,039,982

## PETITIONER'S NOTICE OF OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioners, Johnson Matthey Inc., and Johnson Matthey PLC, hereby submit their objections to certain evidence that Patent Owner, BASF Corporation, submitted in connection with IPR2015-01266.

Exhibit 2008	FRE 801/802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.  FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.
Exhibit 2010	FRE 801/802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.  FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.
Exhibit 2011	FRE 801/802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.  FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.
Exhibit 2015	FRE 402: the exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon which trial was instituted.  FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.  FRE 801/802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.



	FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.
Exhibit 2016	FRE 402: the exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.
	FRE 801/802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
	FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.
Exhibit 2017	FRE 402: the exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.
	FRE 801/802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
	FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.
Exhibit 2018	FRE 402: the exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the



	danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.
	FRE 801/802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
	FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.
Exhibit 2020	FRE 801/802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
	FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.
Exhibit 2022	Lack of Foundation: Patent Owner has not provided sufficient explanation of what the exhibit is or what it allegedly shows.
	FRE 402: the exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.
	FRE 801/802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
	FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.



Exhibit 2023	Lack of Foundation: Patent Owner has not provided sufficient explanation of what the exhibit is or what it allegedly shows.
	FRE 402: the exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.
	FRE 801/802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
	FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.
Exhibit 2024	Lack of Foundation: Patent Owner has not provided sufficient explanation of what the exhibit is or what it allegedly shows.
	FRE 402: the exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.
	FRE 801/802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
	FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

