

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

JOHNSON MATTHEY INC., and JOHNSON MATTHEY PLC,

Petitioners,

v.

BASF CORPORATION,

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-01266

Patent 9,039,982

---

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES*  
REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,039,982 PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 42.120**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iii
PATENT OWNER'S TABLE OF EXHIBITS.....	iv
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. THE TECHNOLOGICAL CONTEXT FOR THE '982 PATENT .....	6
A. The State Of The Art In 2003.....	6
1. The State Of The Art Of Soot After Treatment.....	8
2. The State Of The Art Of NO <sub>x</sub> After Treatment .....	13
B. The Person Of Ordinary Skill.....	16
III. OVERVIEW OF THE '982 PATENT .....	19
IV. ARGUMENT.....	20
A. The Skilled Artisan Would Not Have Been Motivated To Washcoat A Soot Filter With An SCR Catalyst And Would Not Have Had A Reasonable Expectation Of Success .....	20
1. The Skilled Artisan Would Have Been Concerned About An Inability To Recycle NO <sub>2</sub> In The Soot Filter .....	21
2. The Skilled Artisan Would Have Been Concerned About Poor Soot Oxidation And Poor NO <sub>x</sub> Conversion Due To NH <sub>3</sub> Oxidation.....	23
3. The Skilled Artisan Would Not Have Had A Reasonable Expectation Of Success In Using A Zeolite Catalyst In The Thermal Environment Of A Soot Filter And Would Not Have Been Motivated To Do So.....	27

4.	The Skilled Artisan Would Have Been Concerned About Negative Interaction Between Exhaust/Unburnt Hydrocarbons And The SCR Catalyst .....	32
B.	Hüthwohl Does Not Provide A Reasonable Expectation Of Success .....	33
C.	In The 2003 Time Frame Industry Pursued Options Different From Applying An SCR Catalyst Washcoat To A Soot Filter .....	38
D.	The Prospect Of Saving Space Would Not Have Motivated The Skilled Artisan To Washcoat A Soot Filter With An SCR Catalyst .....	43
E.	Hashimoto Does Not Establish A Reasonable Expectation Of Success And There Is No Motivation To Combine Hashimoto With Hüthwohl .....	45
F.	Teraoka Does Not Establish A Reasonable Expectation Of Success .....	48
G.	Secondary Considerations Confirm That The '982 Patent Is Nonobvious .....	50
1.	Long Felt Need For The Claimed Invention After Announcement Of The EU Emissions Standards Demonstrates Nonobviousness .....	50
2.	Skepticism For The Approach Followed In The '982 Patent Demonstrates Nonobviousness .....	52
3.	Industry Praise For The Claimed Invention Demonstrates Nonobviousness .....	54
H.	There Is No Evidence That Others Achieved The Invention Claimed In The '982 Patent .....	56
I.	The Petition Is Deficient With Respect To Claim 15 .....	58
J.	Dr. Tennent's Testimony Is Entitled To No Weight .....	59
V.	CONCLUSION .....	60

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

### Cases

<i>In re Hedges</i> , 783 F.2d 1038 (Fed. Cir. 1986) .....	48
<i>Otsuka Pharm. Co. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 678 F.3d 1280 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	32
<i>Procter &amp; Gamble Co. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	38
<i>Rambus Inc. v. Rea</i> , 731 F.3d 1248 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	50
<i>Sanofi-Synthelabo v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 550 F.3d 1075 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	26
<i>Abbott Labs. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 544 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	38
<i>Stratoflex, Inc. v. Aeroquip Corp.</i> , 713 F.2d 1530 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....	50
<b>Statutes</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a).....	60

## PATENT OWNER'S TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 2001	August 13, 2013, Right of Appeal Notice (RAN), Reexamination Control Number 95/001,745
Exhibit 2002	October 30, 2014, Right of Appeal Notice (RAN), Reexamination Control Number 95/001,744
Exhibit 2003	September 7, 2011, Request for <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination, (U.S.P.N. 7,229,597, Reexam Control No. 95/001,745)
Exhibit 2004	March 9, 2012, Third Party Comments, (U.S.P.N. 7,229,597, Reexam Control No. 95/001,745)
Exhibit 2005	November 15, 2012, Third Party Comments, (U.S.P.N. 7,229,597, Reexam Control No. 95/001,745)
Exhibit 2006	May 3, 2012, Action Closing Prosecution, (U.S.P.N. 7,902,107, Reexam Control No. 95/001,744)
Exhibit 2007	May 14, 2014, Action Closing Prosecution, (U.S.P.N. 7,902,107, Reexam Control No. 95/001,744)
Exhibit 2008	EPA's Climate Change, Understanding Global Warming Potentials
Exhibit 2009	Todd Ballinger et al., Evaluation of SCR Catalyst Technology on Diesel Particulate Filters, SAE International Journal of Fuels and Lubricants, Vol. 2, Issue 1, 2009.
Exhibit 2010	Mojghan Naseri et al., Development of SCR on Diesel Particulate Filter System for Heavy Duty Applications, SAE International Journal of Engines, Vol. 4, Issue 1, April 12, 2011.
Exhibit 2011	Soo-Youl Park et al., A Model Development for Evaluating Soot-NO <sub>x</sub> Interactions in a Blended 2-Way Diesel Particulate Filter/Selective Catalytic Reduction, I&EC Research, 2012.
Exhibit 2012	Prosecution History for U.S.P.N. 8,899,023

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.