

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:)
)
U.S. Patent No. 5,655,365)
)
Issued: August 12, 1997)
)
Inventor: David Richard Worth et al.)
)
Application No. 446,739)
)
Filed: June 6, 1995)
) FILED ELECTRONICALLY
For: METHOD OF OPERATING AN) PER 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(1)
INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE)

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S.P.T.O.
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,655,365

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
II.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED	2
	A. Claims for Which Review is Requested.....	2
	B. Statutory Grounds of Challenge.....	3
	C. Claim Construction	3
III.	THE '365 PATENT	9
	A. Overview of the Disclosure.....	9
IV.	CLAIMS 1, 2, 9, 10, 13, 14, AND 18 OF THE '365 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE	11
	A. <i>Hitomi</i> in combination with <i>Onishi</i> render obvious claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 13, 14, and 18	11
	B. <i>Hitomi</i> in combination with <i>Onishi</i> and <i>Eichler '089</i> renders claim 5 obvious	24
	C. <i>Hitomi</i> in combination with <i>Onishi</i> and <i>Takada</i> render claim 12 obvious	26
	D. <i>Griese</i> in combination with <i>Eichler '791</i> and <i>Onishi</i> renders obvious claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 10, 13, 14, and 18.....	27
	E. <i>Griese</i> in combination with <i>Eichler '791</i> , <i>Onishi</i> , and <i>Takada</i> renders obvious claim 12.....	39
	F. <i>Ahern</i> in combination with <i>Bernhardt</i> renders obvious claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 18	40
	G. <i>Ahern</i> in combination with <i>Bernhardt</i> and <i>Griese</i> renders obvious claim 14	56
V.	GROUND FOR STANDING.....	57
VI.	MANDATORY NOTICES.....	58

A. Real Party-in-Interest58

B. Related Matters.....58

C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel, and Service Information59

VII. CONCLUSION60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Ex Parte Ronald A. Katz Tech. Licensing L.P.</i> , No. 2008-005127, 2010 WL 1003878 (B.P.A.I. Mar. 15, 2010)	3
<i>In re Aller</i> , 220 F.2d 454 (C.C.P.A. 1955)	15, 25
<i>In re Applied Materials, Inc.</i> , 692 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	15, 25, 28, 29, 30, 51
<i>In re Peterson</i> , 315 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	25, 29, 51, 52
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	3
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C § 103	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 112	3
35 U.S.C. § 311	2
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319	1
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.100 et seq.	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a).....	1

LIST OF EXHIBITS

- Petition Exhibit 1001: U.S. Patent No. 5,655,365 to David Richard Worth et al. (“Worth”).
- Petition Exhibit 1002: U.S. Patent No. 5,233,831 to Hitomi (“Hitomi”).
- Petition Exhibit 1003: U.S. Patent No. 3,865,089 to Eichler et al. (“Eichler ’089”).
- Petition Exhibit 1004: U.S. Patent No. 3,572,298 to Onishi (“Onishi”).
- Petition Exhibit 1005: U.S. Patent No. 4,276,745 to Takada et al. (“Takada”).
- Petition Exhibit 1006: U.S. Patent No. 3,799,134 to Griese (“Griese”).
- Petition Exhibit 1007: Bernhardt, W E., *Methods for Fast Catalytic System Warm-Up During Vehicle Cold Starts*, Society of Automotive Engineers, 400 Commonwealth Dr., Warrendale, PA, 15096, USA, 1972. (“Bernhardt”).
- Petition Exhibit 1008: Declaration of Dr. Ron Matthews.
- Petition Exhibit 1009: Amended Complaint filed in *Orbital Australia Pty Ltd. and Orbital Fluid Technologies, Inc., v. Daimler AG, Mercedes-Benz USA LLC, Mercedes-Benz US International Inc., Robert Bosch GMBH, and Robert Bosch LLC*, Case No. 3:14-cv-808-REP (E.D.Va.).
- Petition Exhibit 1010: GB Patent No. 1447791 to Eichler et al. (“Eichler ’791”).
- Petition Exhibit 1011: U.S. Patent No. 4,926,806 to Ahern (“Ahern”).
- Petition Exhibit 1012: Patent Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 5,655,365 (U.S. App. Ser. No. 08/446,739).
- Petition Exhibit 1013: U.S. Patent No. 6,581,572 to Hurley (“Hurley”).
- Petition Exhibit 1014: Orbital’s Proposed Claim Constructions filed in *Orbital Australia Pty Ltd. and Orbital Fluid Technologies, Inc., v. Daimler AG, Mercedes-Benz USA LLC, Mercedes-*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.