

Paper No. ____
Filed: April 1, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Robert Bosch LLC and Daimler AG,
Petitioners,

v.

Orbital Engine Company Pty Limited,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2015-01258
U.S. Patent No. 5,655,365

**PETITIONERS' OBJECTIONS TO ORBITAL ENGINE
COMPANY PTY LIMITED'S EXHIBITS 2007-2013**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioners Robert Bosch LLC and Daimler AG submit to Orbital Engine Company Pty Limited the following objections to Exhibits 2007-2013.

Exhibit 2007

Petitioners object to Exhibit 2007 under FRE 401-403 as irrelevant and prejudicial. Exhibit 2007 does not have any bearing on the instituted grounds in this case.

Exhibit 2008

Petitioners object to Exhibit 2008 under FRE 401-403 as irrelevant and prejudicial. There is no correlation between the construction of the claims of the '365 patent as issued and the hypothetical fuel injection diagram depicted in Exhibit 2008. Nor does Exhibit 2008 have any bearing on the instituted grounds in this case

Exhibit 2009

Petitioners object to Exhibit 2009 under FRE 401-403 as irrelevant and prejudicial. Petitioners also object to Exhibit 2009 because Orbital lists Exhibit 2009 on its exhibit list but has not relied on this exhibit in its Response to the Petition.

Exhibit 2010

Petitioners object to Exhibit 2010 under FRE 401-403 as irrelevant and prejudicial. There is no correlation between the construction of the claims of the '365 patent as issued and the hypothetical fuel injection diagram depicted in Exhibit 2010. Nor does Exhibit 2010 have any bearing on the instituted grounds in this case

Exhibit 2011

Petitioners object to Exhibit 2011 under FRE 401-403 as irrelevant and prejudicial. Petitioners also object to Exhibit 2011 because Orbital lists Exhibit 2011 on its exhibit list but has not relied on this exhibit in its Response to the Petition.

Exhibit 2012

Petitioners object to Exhibit 2012 under FRE 401-403 as irrelevant and prejudicial. Petitioners also object to Exhibit 2012 because Orbital lists Exhibit 2012 on its exhibit list but has not relied on this exhibit in its Response to the Petition.

Exhibit 2013

Petitioners object to Exhibit 2013 under FRE 401-403 as irrelevant and prejudicial. Petitioners also object to Exhibit 2013 because Orbital lists Exhibit

2013 on its exhibit list but has not relied on this exhibit in its Response to the
Petition.

Dated: April 1, 2016

By: /Aaron L. Parker/
Aaron L. Parker, Backup Counsel
Reg. No. 50,785

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing
**PETITIONERS' OBJECTIONS TO ORBITAL ENGINE COMPANY PTY
LIMITED'S EXHIBITS 2007-2013** was served on April 1, 2016, via email
directed to counsel of record for the Patent Owner at the following:

David Magee
mageed@pepperlaw.com

Andrew Schultz
schultza@pepperlaw.com

Dated: April 1, 2016

/Lisa C. Hines/
Lisa C. Hines
Litigation Clerk

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP