Δ

Paper No.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Sony Corporation

Petitioner

v.

Raytheon Company (record) Patent Owner

Patent No. 5,591,678

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 ET. SEQ.

DOCKET

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF O	CONTENTS		
NOTICE OF LEAD AND BACKUP COUNSEL 1			
NOTICE OF THE REAL-PARTIES-IN-INTEREST 1			
NOTICE OF RELATED MATTERS 1			
NOTICE OF SERVICE INFORMATION1			
GROUNDS FOR STANDING			
STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED			
THRESHOLD REQUIREMENT FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW			
I.	INTRODUCTION TO THE SUBJECT MATTER		
II.	INTRODUCTION TO THE PRIOR ART		
А.	Overview of Bertin		
В.	Overview of Morimoto		
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION		
А.	Claims 1, 3, 6-7, 11, 13, 15—"Microelectronic Circuit Element" 17		
В.	Claims 1, 11, 13—"Etching," "Etchable Layer" and "Etch Stop Layer."		
C.	Claims 1, 3-5, 11-13, 15-18—"Wafer"		
IV.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE REASONS FOR UNPATENTABILITY		
Ground 1.	Claims 1, 6, 7, 10, and 11 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over Bertin		
Ground 2.	Claims 5 and 12-13 are obvious as in Ground 1 in view of Morimoto. 29		
А.	Overview of the Combination		
В.	Level of skill in the art		
C.	Element-by-element analysis of claims 5 and 12-13		
Ground 3.	Claim 9 is obvious over Bertin, in further view of Ying		
Ground 4.	Claims 1-2, 4-5, 10, 13-14 and 16-17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § $103(a)$ over Morimoto in view of the CMP / Etching references 35		
D.	Overview of the Ground		

;; ;;

Е.	Element-by-element analysis of claims 1-2, 4-5, 10, 13-14 and 16-1737
(i)	It was obvious to use "etching" within a CMP process
(ii) becau	It would also have been obvious to use <i>only etching</i> (without polishing), use etching was a known alternative to CMP
Ground 5.	Claims 8 and 18 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as in Ground 4, in further view of Oldham
Ground 6.	Claims 3 and 15 are obvious as in Ground 4, in further view of Bertin 58
V.	CONCLUSION
CERTIFICA	TE OF SERVICE 61

DOCKET

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 5,591,678 ("the '678 patent").
1002	Declaration of Dr. Blanchard.
1003	Hamaguchi, et al. "Novel SOI Technology Using Preferential
	Polishing", NEC Research Notes 1480 (70), 1987.
1004	Certified Translation of Hamaguchi.
1005	Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 64-
	18248, published January 23, 1989 ("Morimoto").
1006	Certified translation of Morimoto.
1007	U.S. Pat. No. 5,244,534 ("Yu").
1008	U.S. Pat. No. 4,910,155 ("Cote").
1009	U.S. Pat. No. 5,064,683 ("Poon").
1010	U.S. Pat. No. 5,069,002 ("Sandhu").
1011	U.S. Pat. No. 5,189,500 ("Kusunoki").
1012	U.S. Pat. No. 5,066,993 ("Miura").
1013	U.S. Pat. No. 5,080,730 ("Wittkower").
1014	U.S. Pat. No. 4,681,718 ("Oldham").
1015	Excerpt from Dictionary of Electronics, Harper-Collins, 2004 (p. 152).
1016	U.S. Pat. No. 4,982,266 ("Ying").
1017	U.S. Pat. No. 5,202,754 ("Bertin").
1018	U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 07/760,041 ("Bertin App."), filed Sept. 13,
	1991.
1019	U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 08/006,120, Amendment of June 16, 1994.
1020	Independent claim comparison for the '678 patent.
1021	U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 08/006,120 (application with claims).

NOTICE OF LEAD AND BACKUP COUNSEL

Lead Counsel: Matthew A. Smith (Reg. No. 49,003); Tel: 650.265.6109 Backup Counsel: Zhuanjia Gu (Reg. No. 51,758); Tel: 650.529.4752 Backup Counsel: Robert Hails (Reg. No. 39,702); Tel. 202.220.4235 Address of lead counsel: Turner Boyd LLP, 702 Marshall St., Ste. 640 Redwood City, CA 94063. FAX: 650.521.5931.

NOTICE OF THE REAL-PARTIES-IN-INTEREST

The real-parties-in-interest for this petition are Sony Corporation, Sony Corporation of America, Sony Semiconductor Corporation, Sony EMCS Corporation, Sony Electronics, Inc., Sony Mobile Communications, Inc., Sony Mobile Communications AB and Sony Mobile Communications (USA), Inc.

NOTICE OF RELATED MATTERS

The '678 patent has been asserted in the cases styled *Raytheon Company v. Sony Corporation, et al.*, C.A. No. 2:15-cv-342, (E.D. Tex.) and *Raytheon Company v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al.*, C.A. No. 2-15-cv-00341 (E.D. Tex.). Both cases were filed March 6, 2015 and remain pending.

NOTICE OF SERVICE INFORMATION

Please address all correspondence to the lead counsel at the addresses shown above. Petitioners consent to electronic service by email at the following addresses: smith@turnerboyd.com, docketing@turnerboyd.com, gu@turnerboyd.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.