

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

BMC SOFTWARE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SERVICENOW, INC.,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:14-CV-903-JRG
Jury Trial Demanded

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT

In accordance with Patent Rule 4-3 and the Court’s Docket Control Order of February 10, 2015 (Dkt. 46), the parties hereby submit this Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement. In accordance with Patent Rule 4-2(c), the parties met and conferred on several occasions for the purposes of narrowing the issues and finalizing preparation of this Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.

A. Agreed-Upon Constructions

The parties conducted meet-and-confers and have been able to reach agreement regarding the construction of the following claim terms/phrases in the asserted patents. The chart below provides the construction of those claim terms, phrases, or clauses on which the parties agree:

U.S. Patent 7,062,683	Agreed-Upon Construction
“mat”	“root”
“east”	“least”
“impact graph”	“topology or architecture of a specific fault model”
“impact policy”	“rule, or set of rules, for assessing the impact on a fault model node based on the status or

	condition of the node's immediately up-stream neighboring nodes"
"inference policy"	"rule, or set of rules, for inferring the status or condition of a fault model node based on the status or condition of the node's immediately down-stream neighboring nodes"

B. Disputed Claim Constructions

Exhibits A and B, attached hereto, identify the disputed claim terms.

Exhibit A provides BMC's identification of intrinsic and extrinsic evidence supporting its proposed constructions, as required by Patent Rule 4-3(b).

Exhibit B provides ServiceNow's identification of intrinsic and extrinsic evidence supporting its proposed constructions, as required by Patent Rule 4-3(b).

C. Anticipated Length of Time for the Claim Construction Hearing

BMC anticipates that the Claim Construction Hearing will take no longer than two (2) hours. ServiceNow anticipates that the Claim Construction Hearing will take no longer than four (4) hours.

D. Identification of Witnesses

BMC may rely upon testimony (by declaration) from Dr. Hugh Smith and/or Dr. Ben Bederson and/or Dr. Mark Jones. Each may testify about their backgrounds, the background of the subject matter discussed in the patents-in-suit, and the skill level of one of ordinary skill in the art. Each may also testify in support of BMC's proposed claim constructions, including addressing the intrinsic evidence in the patents and the prosecution histories and extrinsic evidence. *See* Exhibit A. In addition to the above, each may offer testimony in response or in rebuttal to any arguments or expert testimony offered by ServiceNow, including any argument that any term is indefinite or that one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to discern the

boundaries of any term. BMC may submit its declaration with its opening Markman brief, as the parties' have agreed.

ServiceNow may rely upon testimony (by declaration) from Dr. Arthur Brody, Dr. Tal Lavian, Dr. Saul Greenberg, and/or Dr. Brad A. Myers. Each may testify about their backgrounds, the background of the subject matter discussed in the patents-in-suit, and the skill level of one of ordinary skill in the art. Each may also testify in support of ServiceNow's proposed claim constructions and indefiniteness contentions, including addressing the intrinsic evidence in the patents and the prosecution histories and extrinsic evidence. *See* Exhibit B. In addition to the above, each may offer testimony in response or in rebuttal to any arguments or expert testimony offered by BMC, including any argument or opinion regarding claim construction and any argument or opinion that any term is not indefinite.

E. Other Issues

At present, the parties are unaware of any additional issues that would require the scheduling of a pre-hearing conference.

Dated: April 17, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sam Baxter

Samuel F. Baxter
Texas State Bar No. 01938000
sbaxter@mckoolsmith.com
MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.
104 East Houston, Suite 300
Marshall, Texas 75670
Telephone: (903) 923-9000
Facsimile: (903) 923-9099

Robert A. Cote
rcote@mckoolsmith.com
Radu A. Lelutiu
rlulutiu@mckoolsmith.com
David R. Dehoney
ddehoney@mckoolsmith.com
Kevin Schubert
kschubert@mckoolsmith.com
Dana E. Vallera
dvallera@mckoolsmith.com
McKOOL SMITH, P.C.
One Bryant Park, 47th Floor
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 402-9400
Fax: (212) 402-9444

Brandon M. Jordan
bjordan@mckoolsmith.com
McKOOL SMITH, P.C.
1999 K Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 370-8302
Facsimile: (202) 370-8344

Holly E. Engelmann
hengelmann@mckoolsmith.com
McKOOL SMITH, P.C.
300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500
Dallas, Texas 75201
Telephone: (214) 978-4000
Fax: (214) 978-4044

Pierre Hubert
phubert@mckoolsmith.com
McKOOL SMITH, P.C.
300 W. 6th St., Suite 1700
Austin, Texas 78701
Telephone: (512) 692-8700
Facsimile: (512) 692-8744

Philip J. Lee
plee@mckoolsmithhennigan.com
McKool Smith Hennigan, P.C.
865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2900
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Telephone: (213) 694-1153
Facsimile: (213) 694-1234

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
BMC SOFTWARE, INC.**

/s/ Deron R. Dacus

Deron R. Dacus
Texas State Bar No. 00790553
THE DACUS FIRM, P.C.
821 ESE Loop 323, Suite 430
Tyler, TX 75701
Telephone: (903)705-1117
Fax: (903) 705-1117
ddacus@dacusfirm.com

Heidi L. Keefe (CA Bar 178960)
Mark R. Weinstein (CA Bar 193043)
COOLEY LLP
3175 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: (650) 843-5000
hkeefe@cooley.com
mweinstein@cooley.com

Michael G. Rhodes (CA Bar 116127)
COOLEY LLP
101 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 693-2000
mrhodes@cooley.com

Phillip E. Morton (VA Bar No. 71299)
COOLEY LLP
One Freedom Square
Reston Town Center
11951 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190-5656
Telephone: (703) 456-8000
pmorton@cooley.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
SERVICENOW, INC.**

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.