

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC. and MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

GLOBAL TOUCH SOLUTIONS, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2015-01171 (Patent 7,994,726 B2)  
Case IPR2015-01174 (Patent 7,781,980 B2)  
Case IPR2015-01175 (Patent 8,288,952 B2)

---

APPLE INC., MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, and  
TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

GLOBAL TOUCH SOLUTIONS, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2015-01172 (Patent 7,498,749 B2)  
Case IPR2015-01173 (Patent 7,329,970 B2)

---

IPR2015-01171 (Patent 7,994,726 B2)  
IPR2015-01172 & IPR2015-01603 (Patent 7,498,749 B2)  
IPR2015-01173 (Patent 7,329,970 B2)  
IPR2015-01174 (Patent 7,781,980 B2)  
IPR2015-01175 (Patent 8,288,952 B2)

TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.,  
and APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

GLOBAL TOUCH SOLUTIONS, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2015-01603 (Patent 7,498,749 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before JUSTIN BUSCH, LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, and BETH Z. SHAW,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER  
Conduct of the Proceeding  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.5*

On December 16, 2015, an initial conference call was held for the following six proceedings: IPR2015-01171, IPR2015-01172, IPR2015-01173, IPR2015-01174, IPR2015-01175, and IPR2015-01603. The following individuals participated in the call: Mr. Gross and Mr. Moore for Petitioner Apple Inc.; Mr. Morton for Petitioner Motorola Mobility LLC;

---

<sup>1</sup> We use this caption in this paper to indicate that this Order applies to, and is entered in, all six cases. The parties are not authorized to use this caption.

IPR2015-01171 (Patent 7,994,726 B2)  
IPR2015-01172 & IPR2015-01603 (Patent 7,498,749 B2)  
IPR2015-01173 (Patent 7,329,970 B2)  
IPR2015-01174 (Patent 7,781,980 B2)  
IPR2015-01175 (Patent 8,288,952 B2)

Ms. Hines and Mr. McCammon for Petitioner Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc.; Mr. Mandir and Mr. Kelber for Patent Owner, Global Touch Solutions, LLC; and Judges Busch, Pettigrew, and Shaw. The purpose of the call was to discuss any proposed changes to the Scheduling Order and any motions that the parties intend to file.

### *Parties and Counsel*

The parties confirmed the following identification of parties and counsel in these proceedings: (i) Apple Inc. is a Petitioner in each of the six cases, and is the lead Petitioner in IPR2015-01171, IPR2015-01172, IPR2015-01173, IPR2015-01174, and IPR2015-01175, with its counsel, Mr. Steinberg, designated as lead counsel in those proceedings; (ii) Motorola Mobility LLC is a Petitioner in IPR2015-01171, IPR2015-01172, IPR2015-01173, IPR2015-01174, and IPR2015-01175; (iii) Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc. is a Petitioner in IPR2015-01172, IPR2015-01173, and IPR2015-01603, and is the lead Petitioner in IPR2015-01603, with its counsel, Ms. Hines, designated as lead counsel in that proceeding; (iv) Mr. Mandir is lead counsel for Patent Owner in IPR2015-01171, IPR2015-01174, and IPR2015-01175; and (v) Mr. Kelber is lead counsel for Patent Owner in IPR2015-01172, IPR2015-01173, and IPR2015-01603.

### *Scheduling Order*

During the call, we indicated that DUE DATE 7, the date for the oral hearing should either party request it, has been set for August 3, 2016, for all six proceedings. We further indicated that the specific format and time

IPR2015-01171 (Patent 7,994,726 B2)  
IPR2015-01172 & IPR2015-01603 (Patent 7,498,749 B2)  
IPR2015-01173 (Patent 7,329,970 B2)  
IPR2015-01174 (Patent 7,781,980 B2)  
IPR2015-01175 (Patent 8,288,952 B2)

allotted will depend on the number of issues, as briefed in the Patent Owner Response and Reply, and the extent to which there is overlap of issues among the cases.

The parties had no specific issues with the Scheduling Order.<sup>2</sup> We remind the parties that if they stipulate to different dates for any of DUE DATES 1–5, they should promptly file a notice of stipulation identifying the changed due dates.

### *Motions*

Neither party seeks authorization to file any motions at this time. We remind the parties that, except as otherwise provided in our rules, Board authorization is required before filing a motion.

If Patent Owner should decide to file a motion to amend claims, it must schedule a conference call to confer with the Board two weeks prior to such filing. We direct the parties attention to the Board’s decisions in *Idle Free Systems, Inc. v. Bergstrom, Inc.*, Case IPR2012-00027 (PTAB June 11, 2013) (Paper 26), which describes the basic guidelines for a motion to amend, and *MasterImage 3D, Inc. v. RealD Inc.*, Case IPR2015-00040 (PTAB July 15, 2015) (Paper 42), which clarifies the scope of “prior art known to Patent Owner.”

---

<sup>2</sup> Paper 9 (IPR2015-01171); Paper 14 (IPR2015-01172); Paper 14 (IPR2015-01173); Paper 9 (IPR2015-01174); Paper 9 (IPR2015-01175); Paper 11 (IPR2015-01603).

IPR2015-01171 (Patent 7,994,726 B2)  
IPR2015-01172 & IPR2015-01603 (Patent 7,498,749 B2)  
IPR2015-01173 (Patent 7,329,970 B2)  
IPR2015-01174 (Patent 7,781,980 B2)  
IPR2015-01175 (Patent 8,288,952 B2)

*Settlement*

The parties indicated they had nothing to report regarding any possible settlement.

*Protective Order*

The parties are reminded that there currently is no protective order in place, and none will be entered unless a party files a motion to seal with a proposed protective order.

ORDER

It is

ORDERED that the due dates set forth in the Scheduling Order remain unchanged; and

FURTHER ORDERED that no motions are authorized at this time, other than those already authorized by Board Rules.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.