

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION AND MICROSOFT MOBILE, INC.

Petitioners

v.

GLOBAL TOUCH SOLUTIONS, LLC

Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,288,952

Issued: Oct. 16, 2012

Filed: Nov. 17, 2011

Inventor: Frederick Johannes Bruwer

**Title: INTELLIGENT USER INTERFACE INCLUDING A TOUCH
SENSOR DEVICE**

***Inter Partes* Review No.: IPR2015-01151**

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | i |
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES | ii |
| STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN DISPUTE..... | iii |
| EXHIBIT LIST | iv |
| I. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED | 1 |
| III. THE LAW | 7 |
| A. Claim Construction..... | 7 |
| B. Obviousness..... | 8 |
| IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION | 9 |
| A. “Activating”/“Activate” and “Deactivating” (Claims 1, 3, 22-24, and 26)..... | 10 |
| V. JAHAGIRDAR IN VIEW OF SCHULTZ DOES NOT RENDER CLAIMS 1-4, 14, 16, 17, 19, 22-24, 26, 27, AND 38-40 OBVIOUS..... | 12 |
| A. Jahagirdar In View of Schultz Would Not Have Rendered Obvious "A Touch Sensor Forming Part Of A User Interface" (Claims 1-4, 14, 16, 17, 19, 22-24, 26, 27, and 38-40)..... | 12 |
| B. Jahagirdar In View of Schultz Would Not Have Rendered Obvious "Said Microchip Adapted To Control The Activation Of A Visible Indication In Response To An Activation Signal Received From The User Interface While Operation Of The Load Is Unaffected" (Claims 1-4, 14, 16, 17, 19, 22-24, 26, 27, and 38-40)..... | 19 |
| C. Jahagirdar In View of Schultz Does Not Disclose "Automatically Deactivating The Visible Indication A Predetermined Period Of Time After It Was Activated" (Claim 23)..... | 22 |
| VI. CONCLUSION..... | 24 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Alloc, Inc. v. USITC, 342 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2003)..... | 8 |
| Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966)..... | 9 |
| In re Kahn, 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006) | 9 |
| In re NTP, Inc., 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed.Cir.2011) | 7 |
| In re Suitco Surface, Inc., 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed.Cir. 2010) | 7 |
| KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)..... | 9, 13 |
| Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (en banc)... | 7 |
| Microsoft Corp. v. Multi–Tech Sys., Inc., 357 F.3d 1340 (Fed.Cir.2004)..... | 8 |
| Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc., 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)..... | 7 |
| Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc., Case IPR2012-00026..... | 9, 22, 24 |
| Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) | 7 |
| Plas-Pak Industries, Inc. v. Sulzer Mixpac AG, 600 Fed. Appx. 755 (Fed. Cir. 2015)..... | 19 |
| Toro Co. v. White Consol. Indus., 199 F.3d 1295 (Fed.Cir.1999)..... | 8 |
| Watts v. XL Sys., Inc., 232 F.3d 877 (Fed.Cir.2000)..... | 8 |

Statutes

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) | 9 |
|--------------------------|---|

Rules

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) | 7 |
| 37 C.F.R. §42.23(a)..... | iii |

STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN DISPUTE

Petitioners did not submit statements of material facts in their petition for *Inter Partes* Review. Accordingly, no response is due pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.23(a), and no facts are admitted.

EXHIBIT LIST

| Exhibit | DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|--|
| 2001 | Deposition Transcript of Mark N. Horenstein regarding IPR2015-01147, IPR2015-01148, IPR2015-01149, IPR2015-01150, and IPR2015-01151, dated February 16, 2016 |
| 2002 | Expert Declaration of Robert E. Morley, Jr. |
| 2003 | <i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Robert E. Morley, Jr. |
| 2004 | U.S. Patent 3,879,593 (“Larson”) |
| 2005 | U.S. Patent 4,391,845 (“Denley”) |
| 2006 | U.S. 4,602,135 (“Phalen”) |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.