

trials@uspto.gov

Case IPR2015-01147 Paper No. 25

Case IPR2015-01148 Paper No. 42

Case IPR2015-01149 Paper No. 42

Case IPR2015-01150 Paper No. 26

Case IPR2015-01151 Paper No. 26

571-272-7822

September 9, 2016

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION and MICROSOFT MOBILE, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

GLOBAL TOUCH SOLUTIONS, LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01147 (Patent 7,994,726)

Case IPR2015-01148 (Patent 7,498,749)

Case IPR2015-01149 (Patent 7,329,970)

Case IPR2015-01150 (Patent 7,781,980)

Case IPR2015-01151 (Patent 8,288,952)

Technology Center 2800

Before: JUSTIN BUSCH (via video link); LYNNE E. PETTIGREW; and BETH Z. SHAW, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, August 4, 2016, at 1:14 p.m., Hearing Room A, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

REPORTED BY: RAYMOND G. BRYNTESON, RMR,
CRR, RDR

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

JOHN F. MURPHY, ESQ.
DANIEL J. GOETTLE, ESQ.
Baker Hostetler
2929 Arch Street
Cira Centre, 12th Floor
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-2891
215-568-3100

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

WILLIAM H. MANDIR, ESQ.
FADI N. KIBLAWI, ESQ.
BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQ.
PETER S. PARK, ESQ.
Sughrue Mion PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-3213
202-293-7060

NATHAN CRISTLER, ESQ.
Cristler IP, PLC
1801 21st Road North
Arlington, Virginia 22209

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 (1:14 p.m.)

3 JUDGE PETTIGREW: Please be seated. Good
4 afternoon, everyone. Thank you for bearing with us during
5 the short delay.

6 This is a consolidated hearing for five cases,
7 IPR2015-01147, 01148, 01149, 01150, and 01151. Petitioners
8 are Microsoft Corporation and Microsoft Mobile, Inc. Patent
9 Owner is Global Touch Solutions, LLC.

10 Each side has 90 minutes to argue, but don't feel
11 that you need to use all 90 minutes. Petitioners have the
12 ultimate burden of establishing unpatentability and will argue
13 first. Patent Owner then will present its opposing argument.
14 And then finally Petitioners may use any time they have
15 reserved for rebuttal to respond to Patent Owner's argument.

16 Judge Busch is joining us by video from out of the
17 Detroit office, or he should be joining us.

18 JUDGE BUSCH: I'm here and can hear and see
19 you. I don't know if you can see me.

20 JUDGE PETTIGREW: You can see us?

21 JUDGE BUSCH: I can see the courtroom right
22 now. I cannot see you and Judge Shaw. I can see the podium.
23 That should be good enough, I think, for the proceeding.

1 JUDGE PETTIGREW: All right. Just one minute.
2 All right. We will proceed. We may have someone coming to
3 help us momentarily.

4 In any event, Judge Busch is joining us from
5 Detroit and won't have the benefit of the visual queues in the
6 room, so when you speak about an exhibit or a demonstrative,
7 please identify it with a page number or a slide number, and
8 please be sure to speak into the microphone for the benefit of
9 both Judge Busch and the Court Reporter.

10 Before we begin let's have counsel for each party
11 identify themselves and the party you represent for the record.
12 Petitioners?

13 MR. MURPHY: Your Honor, I'm John Murphy,
14 counsel for Petitioners.

15 MR. GOETTLE: Dan Goettle for Petitioners.

16 JUDGE PETTIGREW: Thank you. For Patent
17 Owner?

18 MR. MANDIR: Your Honor, William Mandir from
19 Sughrue Mion in Washington, D.C. representing GTS.

20 MR. KIBLAWI: Your Honor, Fadi Kiblawi
21 representing GTS.

22 MR. CRISTLER: Nathan Cristler representing
23 GTS.

24 MR. PARK: Peter Park for GTS.

25 MR. SHELTON: Brian Shelton for GTS.

1 JUDGE PETTIGREW: Thank you. Petitioner, you
2 may begin when you are ready. And how much, if any time,
3 would you like to reserve for rebuttal?

4 MR. MURPHY: May I please reserve 30 minutes?

5 JUDGE PETTIGREW: All right.

6 MR. MURPHY: Thank you.

7 JUDGE PETTIGREW: Do you have copies of the
8 demonstratives?

9 MR. MURPHY: Yes. Mr. Goettle will distribute
10 them.

11 JUDGE PETTIGREW: Thank you.

12 You may begin.

13 MR. MURPHY: Thank you. I will be presenting
14 the Petitioner's opening argument here, relying on the slides
15 that have just been handed out to you. I will refer to those
16 slide numbers as I go.

17 There is an outline on Petitioner's slide number 2.
18 Just to start with a very brief orientation, the first thing I will
19 do is give an overview of the obviousness theory that
20 Petitioners have put forward in these related IPRs, and I will
21 give a summary of what gleaning we can glean from the Patent
22 Owner responses. Across the five IPRs are the Patent Owner's
23 arguments just to help us tee up what the key issues are for
24 discussion today.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.