

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Microsoft Corporation and Nokia Inc.,

Petitioners

v.

Global Touch Solutions, LLC,

Patent Owner

IPR2015-01149

Patent 7,329,970

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Mandatory Notices.....	3
	A. Rule 42.8(b)(1) - Real Party-In-Interest.....	3
	B. Rule 42.8(b)(2) - Related Matters	4
	1. This Petition Is Not Redundant of Apple’s IPR Petition on the ’970 Patent	5
	C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel and Service Information	5
III.	Payment of Fees.....	6
IV.	Requirements for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	6
	A. Rule 42.104(a) - Grounds for Standing.....	6
	B. Rule 42.104 (b) - Challenge and Relief Requested.....	7
	C. Rule 42.104(b)(5) — Evidence Relied Upon.....	7
V.	Factual Background	8
	A. Summary of the ’970 Patent.....	8
	B. Prosecution History of the ’970 patent.....	10
VI.	Broadest Reasonable Construction	11
	A. “does not form a serial link” (claim 1 from which claims 3-5, 10-14, 19, 48, 49, and 51 ultimately depend) and “is not a serial link”(claim 52)	11
	B. “not connected to a mains supply” (claim 1 from which claims 3-5, 10-14, 19, 48, 49, and 51 ultimately depend)	12
VII.	Claims 1, 3-5, 10-14, 19, 48, 49, 51, and 52 of the ’970 Patent are Unpatentable as Obvious Over Jahagirdar Combined With Schultz.....	13
	A. The Law of Obviousness.....	13
	B. Level of Ordinary Skill In The Art	14

;

C.	Overview of the Prior art.....	15
1.	Scope and Content of U.S. Pat. No. 6,125,286 ("Jahagirdar") (Exhibit 1004)	16
2.	Scope and content of the Touch Sensor References	22
a)	U.S. Patent No. 4,053,789 ("Schultz") (Ex. 1005).....	22
b)	Touch Sensors Prevalent in the Prior Art.....	23
VIII.	Independent Claim 1 would have been obvious over Jahagirdar in combination with Schultz	25
A.	Jahagirdar taught the limitations of claim 1's preamble	25
B.	Jahagirdar taught "said switch being a user interface and does not form a serial link in a circuit that transfers power from the power source to power the load"	27
C.	Jahagirdar taught "and said microchip controlling a luminous visible location indicator that is not the load".....	28
D.	Jahagirdar in combination with Schultz renders obvious "a) wherein the visible indicator at least indicates a condition of the product upon receiving a signal from the user interface switch, and wherein the switch is a touch sensor type switch;"	30
E.	Jahagirdar taught "b) wherein the visible indicator is activated at least to indicate an activation signal from the switch when the load is not activated;"	37
F.	Jahagirdar taught "and c) wherein the visible indicator is also used to indicate a power level of the power source when the load is switched off and the product is not connected to a mains supply."	39
IX.	Jahagirdar in combination with Schultz taught that "the user interface comprises at least a touch sensor switch."	41
X.	Jahagirdar disclosed that "the user interface comprises multiple switches and/or buttons."	42

XI. Jahagirdar disclosed that “the location indicator is activated only for a period of time.”	42
XII. Jahagirdar disclosed that “the touch sensor switch user interface is implemented to be structurally integral with the product housing.”	44
XIII. Jahagirdar disclosed “the location indicator also indicates a condition of the product.”	45
XIV. Jahagirdar disclosed that a function “selected by a user interface activation signal is automatically shut off after a predetermined period of time.”	46
XV. Jahagirdar disclosed that the product “comprises radio frequency (RF) circuitry.”	46
XVI. Jahagirdar disclosed that its “power source is a direct current source.”	47
XVII. Jahagirdar in view of Schultz disclosed that “the microchip also controls upon receiving a switch activation signal from a touch sensor, at least the activation of a function that automatically shuts off a period after such activation.”	47
XVIII. Jahagirdar in combination with Schultz disclosed “the user interface comprises at least a touch sensor switch.”	49
XIX. Jahagirdar disclosed that the “indicator, the switch, the power source and the load are all enclosed in and/or attached to the product housing.”	50
XX. Jahagirdar disclosed a product that “comprises radio frequency circuitry (RF).”	51
XXI. Independent Claim 52 would have been obvious over Jahagirdar in combination with Schultz	52
A. Jahagirdar taught the limitations of claim 52’s preamble	52
B. Jahagirdar combined with Schultz renders obvious a step of “operating a user interface switch, that is a touch sensor type switch which is not a serial link in a circuit from the power source to the load to power the load, to control the operation of	

a microchip”	53
C. Jahagirdar taught “using the microchip to control the connection of the power source to the load and the activation of the indicator”	56
D. Jahagirdar taught “activate the indicator to show at least one of the following when the load is not activated: a condition of the product, an activation of the switch, and a power level of the power source.”	57
XXII. The Challenged Claims Would Have Been Obvious Under the Construction of “Energy Consuming Load” Advanced by Apple	59
XXIII. Conclusion.....	60

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.