

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UMICORE AG & CO. KG,

Petitioner

Patent No. 7,601,662

Original Issue Date: Oct. 13, 2009

Reexamination Certificated Issued: June 7, 2013

Title: COPPER CHA ZEOLITE CATALYSTS

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,601,662
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 312 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

Case No. IPR2015-01125

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8).....	1
A.	Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)).....	1
B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	1
C.	Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)).....	2
II.	Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. § 42.103).....	2
III.	Requirements for IPR (37 C.F.R. § 42.104).....	2
A.	Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).....	2
B.	Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)-(3)) and Relief Requested (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(1))	3
C.	Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3))	4
1.	“Catalyst” (claim 1)	5
2.	“[Z]eolite having the CHA crystal structure” (claim 1)	5
3.	“[I]on-exchanged copper” and “non-exchanged copper” (claim 9).....	6
4.	“[T]he catalyst effective to promote the reaction of ammonia with nitrogen oxides to form nitrogen and H ₂ O selectively” (claim 1).....	6
IV.	Summary of the ’662 Patent	9
V.	How Challenged Claims are Unpatentable (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)-(5))	10
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-11 and 30 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Maeshima in view of Breck.	10
B.	Ground 2: Claims 12-24 and 32-50 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Maeshima and Breck in view of Patchett.	24
C.	Ground 4: Claims 1-11 and 30 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Dedecek in view of Breck.....	42
D.	Ground 4: Claims 12-24 and 32-50 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Dedecek and Breck in view of Patchett.....	51

VI. PURPORTED SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS..... 54

VII. CONCLUSION..... 60

LISTING OF EXHIBITS

- Exhibit 1101 U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662 to Bull et al.
- Exhibit 1102 U.S. Patent No. 4,046,888 to Maeshima et al.
- Exhibit 1103 U.S. Patent No. 4,503,023 to Breck et al.
- Exhibit 1104 U.S. Patent No. 6,709,644 to Zones et al.
- Exhibit 1105 U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2006/0039843 to Patchett et al.
- Exhibit 1106 U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2005/0031514 to Patchett et al.
- Exhibit 1107 Dedecek et al., "Siting of the Cu⁺ Ions in Dehydrated Ion Exchanged Synthetic and Natural Chabasites: a Cu⁺ Photoluminescence Study" Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, Vol. 32, pp. 63-74 (1999).
- Exhibit 1108 Expert Declaration of Johannes A. Lercher, Ph.D
- Exhibit 1109 Excerpts from the File History of U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662 to Bull et al. and Reexamination No. 95/001,453
- Exhibit 1110 U.S. Patent No. 4,961,917 to Byrne
- Exhibit 1111 U.S. Patent No. 5,516,497 to Speronello et al.
- Exhibit 1112 Ishihara et al., "Copper Ion-Exchanged SAPO-34 as a Thermostable Catalyst for Selective Reduction of NO with C₃H₆," 169 Journal of Catalysis 93-102 (1997)
- Exhibit 1113 U.S. Patent No. 4,297,328 to Ritscher et al.
- Exhibit 1114 Chung, S.Y. et al., "Effect of Si/Al Ratio of Mordenite and ZSM-5 Type Zeolite Catalysts on Hydrothermal Stability for NO Reduction by Hydrocarbons," Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis, vol. 130, pp. 1511-1516 (2000)
- Exhibit 1115 Declaration of Dr. Frank-Walter Schütze

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42, real party-in-interest, Umicore AG & Co. KG (“Umicore” or “Petitioner”) respectfully requests *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of claims 1-24, 30, and 32-50 of U.S. 7,601,662 (“the ’662 patent”) to Ivor Bull et al., which was filed February 27, 2008 and issued October 13, 2009. The ’662 patent was subject to a reexamination that commenced on November 16, 2011. A reexamination certificate issued on June 7, 2013. According to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (“US PTO”) assignment records, the ’662 patent is currently assigned to BASF Corporation (“Patent Owner”). There is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner will prevail with respect to at least one claim challenged in this Petition.

I. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8)

A. Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))

Petitioner, Umicore, along with parent Umicore S.A. (also referred to as “Umicore NV”) and its wholly owned subsidiaries Umicore USA Inc., Umicore Autocat Canada Corp., and Umicore Autocat USA Inc. are the real parties-in-interest.

B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))

Petitioner is not aware of any existing related matters. Petitioner is concurrently filing IPR Petition No. IPR2015-01121, which also relates to the ’662 patent. This petition focuses on primary prior art references that disclose aluminosilicate CHA catalysts with copper to aluminum atomic ratios within the claimed range, and secondary references that disclose and provide the motivation to modify the primary reference catalysts to use silica to alumina mole ratios within the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.