

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UMICORE AG & CO. KG,

Petitioner,

v.

BASF CORPORATION

Patent Owner.

IPR2015-01124

U.S. Patent 8,404,203

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,404,203
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. THE 203 PATENT4

 A. Background4

 B. Summary of the Invention.....6

 C. Inter Partes Reexamination of the 662 Patent.....8

 1. Dedecek in view of Chung.....10

 D. Claim Construction11

 1. “A process for the reduction of oxides of nitrogen contained in a gas stream in the presence of oxygen”11

 2. “[C]atalyst” (claim 1).....12

 3. “[Z]eolite having the CHA crystal structure” (claim 1)13

III. GROUNDS 1 AND 2 SHOULD NOT BE INSTITUTED13

 A. Maeshima and Breck Do Not Disclose That a Cu-Zeolite Having the CHA Structure is a Lead Compound for the Reduction of NO_x15

 B. Maeshima Does Not Disclose a Cu/Al Ratio of a CuCHA21

 C. Breck Does Not Provide Adequate Motivation to Increase The Silica to Alumina Ratio of a CuCHA.....23

 D. Unexpected Results25

 1. There is Substantial Evidence That the Claimed Invention Produced Unexpected Results25

 2. The Schutze Declaration Submitted By Petitioner Is Legally Irrelevant.....28

 E. Other Secondary Considerations of Nonobviousness.....32

 1. Skepticism.....33

 2. Long-Felt Need34

 3. Praise.....36

 F. The Evidence of Secondary Considerations is Commensurate With the Scope of the Claims.....37

 G. Failure of Proof as to Claims 17, 18, 21, and 22.....39

IV. GROUNDS 3 AND 4 SHOULD NOT BE INSTITUTED40

 A. Dedecek Has Previously Been Rejected as a Lead Reference.....41

 B. Breck is Cumulative of Chung43

 C. Secondary Considerations of Nonobviousness45

 D. Failure of Proof as to Claims 17, 18, 21, and 22.....46

V. CONCLUSION.....46

Table of Exhibits

Exhibit 2001	Declaration of Stanley Roth in the <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662
Exhibit 2002	Cavataio, G., et. al., "Enhanced Durability of a Cu/Zeolite Based SCR Catalyst." SAE Int. J. Fuels. Lubr., Vol. 1, Issue 1 (2008).
Exhibit 2003	Declaration of Ahmad Moini in the <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662
Exhibit 2004	Second Declaration of Pramod Ravindran in the <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662
Exhibit 2005	Third Party Comments After Patent Owner's Response After ACP in the <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662
Exhibit 2006	USPTO Right of Appeal Notice for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662
Exhibit 2007	Request for <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination in the proceedings of U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662
Exhibit 2008	Order Granting/Denying Request for <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662
Exhibit 2009	Declaration of Stacey I. Zones in the <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662
Exhibit 2010	Declaration of Gary L. Haller in the <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662
Exhibit 2011	Second Declaration of Ahmad Moini in the <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662
Exhibit 2012	Centi, G., et. al., "Nature of Active Species in Copper-Based Catalysts and their Chemistry of Transformation of Nitrogen Oxides," Applied Catalysis A: General, Vol. 132, Issue 2 (1995)

Exhibit 2013	Second Declaration of Stanley Roth in the <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination of Ivor Bull et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,601,662
Exhibit 2014	Kwak, J., et. al., "Excellent Activity and Selectivity of Cu-SSZ-13 in the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO _x with NH ₃ ," Journal of Catalysis (2010)
Exhibit 2015	Dedecek, J., et. al., "Effect of Framework Charge Density on Catalytic Activity of Copper Loaded Molecular Sieves on Chabazite Structure in Nitrogen (II) Oxide Decomposition," Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., Vol. 65 (2000)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.