

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

UMICORE AG & CO. KG,

Petitioner,

v.

BASF CORPORATION

Patent Owner.

---

IPR2015-01123  
U.S. Patent 8,404,203

**PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Board's Order dated October 29, 2015 (Paper 9), Patent Owner BASF Corporation ("Patent Owner") respectfully submits this Request for Oral Argument. The Board has currently scheduled the oral hearing in IPR2015-01121, -01123, -01124, and -01125 for July 28, 2016. Patent Owner believes that all four IPRs can be addressed in a single hearing. Patent Owner specifies the following issues to be argued:

- IPR2015-01121
  - Whether Petitioner has met its burden in proving that claims 1-8 and 30 of the 662 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the combination of Zones and Maeshima;
  - Whether Petitioner has met its burden in proving that claims 12-24 and 32-50 of the 662 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the combination of Zones, Maeshima, and Patchett.
  
- IPR2015-01123
  - Whether Petitioner has met its burden in proving that claims 1, 14, 15, 17-22, 26 and 27 of the 203 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the combination of Zones and Maeshima;
  - Whether Petitioner has met its burden in proving that claims 2-13, 16, 23-25, and 28-31 of the 203 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the combination of Zones, Maeshima, and Patchett.

- IPR2015-01124
  - Whether Petitioner has met its burden in proving that claims 1, 14, 15, 19, 20, 26 and 27 of the 203 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the combination of Maeshima and Breck;
  - Whether Petitioner has met its burden in proving that claims 2-13, 16, 23-25, and 28-31 of the 203 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the combination of Maeshima, Breck and Patchett.
  - Whether Petitioner has met its burden in proving that claims 1, 14, 15, 19, 20, 26 and 27 of the 203 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the combination of Maeshima and Dedecek;
  - Whether Petitioner has met its burden in proving that claims 2-13, 16, 23-25, and 28-31 of the 203 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the combination of Maeshima, Dedecek and Patchett.
  
- IPR2015-01125
  - Whether Petitioner has met its burden in proving that claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 30 of the 662 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the combination of Maeshima and Breck;
  - Whether Petitioner has met its burden in proving that claims 12-24 and 32-38 of the 662 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the combination of Maeshima, Breck and Patchett.

- Whether Petitioner has met its burden in proving that claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 30 of the 662 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the combination of Maeshima and Dedecek;
- Whether Petitioner has met its burden in proving that claims 12-24 and 32-38 of the 662 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the combination of Maeshima, Dedecek and Patchett.
- Any issues identified in Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument;
- Any issues raised in Motions to Exclude or Motions for Observations on Cross Examination; and
- Any other issues the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision.

Patent Owner also requests permission to use a computer, projector and screen to display possible demonstratives and exhibits.

Dated: June 20, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/Anish R. Desai/

Brian E. Ferguson (Reg. No. 36,801)  
Anish R. Desai (Reg. No. 73,760)  
1300 Eye Street NW, Suite 900  
Washington, DC 20005  
T: 202-682-7000  
E: [anish.desai@weil.com](mailto:anish.desai@weil.com)

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on June 20, 2016, the foregoing  
PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was served via  
electronic mail, upon the following:

Elizabeth Gardner  
Richard L. DeLucia  
K. Patrick Herman  
A. Anthony Pfeffer  
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP  
51 West 52nd Street  
New York, NY 10019-6142  
[egardner@orrick.com](mailto:egardner@orrick.com)  
[rdelucia@orrick.com](mailto:rdelucia@orrick.com)  
[pherman@orrick.com](mailto:pherman@orrick.com)  
[apfeffer@orrick.com](mailto:apfeffer@orrick.com)

*/Timothy J. Andersen/*\_\_\_\_\_

Timothy J. Andersen  
Case Manager  
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP  
1300 Eye Street NW, Suite 900  
Washington, DC 20005  
[timothy.andersen@weil.com](mailto:timothy.andersen@weil.com)