IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.
Petitioner
v.
HYPERION THERAPEUTICS, INC.
Patent Owner

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,642,012 <u>PURSUANT TO §§ 35 U.S.C. 311–319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42</u>

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD

Patent Trial and Appeal Board United States Patent and Trademark Office PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313–1450



Table of Contents

					<u>Page</u>			
I.	INTF	INTRODUCTION						
II.	OVERVIEW							
	A.	. Summary of the '012 Patent			1			
	B.	Sumn	nary o	f the Prosecution History of the '012 Patent	3			
III.		KGROUND ON THE UREA CYCLE, UREA CYCLE ORDER, AND NITROGEN SCAVENGING DRUGS						
IV.	GRO	UNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))						
V.	PAYMENT OF FEES (37 C.F.R. § 42.103)							
VI.	MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8)							
	A.	Real-Parties-in-Interest						
	B.	Related Matters.						
	C.	Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))						
VII.	PERS	SON O	F OR	DINARY SKILL IN THE ART	8			
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION							
	A.	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation Standard						
	B.	Terms of the '012 Patent						
IX.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS THEREFORE (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22(a) & 42.104(b))							
	A.	Ground 1: Independent Claims 1 and 8 and Dependent Claims 3, 4 7, 10, and 12 Are Obvious under § 103(a) over <i>Brusilow '91</i> in View of <i>Sherwin</i> and <i>Shiple</i>						
		1.	Over	view of Prior Art Applied in Ground 1	15			
		2.	Moti	vation to Combine Art Applied in Ground 1	16			
		3.	Indep	pendent Claim 1	18			
			(a)	Determining – Part (a) of Independent Claim 1	18			
			(b)	Calculating – Part (b) of Independent Claim 1	18			
			(c)	Administering – Part (c) of Independent Claim 1	23			



	4.	Inde	Independent Claim 8					
		(a)	Administering – Part (a) of Independent Claim 8	23				
		(b)	Measuring – Part (b) of Independent Claim 8	24				
		(c)	Calculating - Part (c) of Independent Claim 8	24				
		(d)	Administering – Part (d) of Independent Claim 8	26				
	5.	Depe	endent Claims 3, 4, 7, 10, and 12	27				
B.	Ground 2: Dependent Claim 5 Is Obvious under § 103(a) over Brusilow '91 in view of Sherwin, Shiple, and Fernandes							
	1.	Over	rview of Prior Art Applied in Ground 2	29				
	2.	Motivation to Combine Art Applied in Ground 2						
	3.	Depe	endent Claim 5	30				
C.		Ground 3: Dependent Claims 2 and 9 Are Obvious under § 103(a) over <i>Brusilow '91</i> in view of <i>Sherwin</i> , <i>Shiple</i> , and the '647 Patent 3						
	1.	Over	rview of Prior Art Applied in Ground 3	31				
	2.	Moti	ivation to Combine Prior Art Applied in Ground 3	32				
	3.	Depe	endent Claims 2 and 9	33				
D.	over	Ground 4: Dependent Claims 6 and 11 Are Obvious under § 103(a) over <i>Brusilow '91</i> in view of <i>Sherwin</i> , <i>Shiple</i> , <i>Kasumov</i> , <i>and the</i>						
	9/9 1.		t					
	2.		rview of Prior Art Applied in Ground 4ivation to Combine Prior Art Applied in Ground 4					
	3.		endent Claims 6 and 11	35				
E.	Grov 7, 10	und 5: 1 0, and 1	nd 5: Independent Claims 1 and 8 and Dependent Claims 3, 4, and 12 Are Obvious under § 103(a) over <i>Brusilow '91</i> in of <i>Simell</i>					
F.		Ground 6: Dependent Claims 5 Is Obvious under § 103(a) over Brusilow '91 in view of Simell and Fernandes						
	1.	Over	rview of Prior Art Applied in Ground 6	45				
	2.	Moti	ivation to Combine Art Applied in Ground 6	46				
	3.	Depe	endent Claim 5	46				



Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,642,012

	G.	Ground 7: Dependent Claims 2 and 9 Are Unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as Obvious over <i>Brusilow '91</i> in view of <i>Simell</i> , and the '647 Patent				
		1.	Overview of Prior Art Applied in Ground 7			
		2.	Motivation to Combine Prior Art Applied in Ground 7			
		3.	Dependent Claims 2 and 9	48		
	Н.	Ground 8: Dependent Claims 6 and 11 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over <i>Brusilow '91</i> in view of <i>Simell, Kasumov</i> , an the '979 Patent				
		1.	Overview of Prior Art Applied in Ground 8	50		
		2.	Motivation to Combine Prior Art Applied in Ground 8	50		
		3.	Dependent Claims 6 and 11	51		
	I.	Ground 9: Claims 1–4, 7, 9, 10, and 12 Are Obvious under § 103(a) over <i>Brusilow '91</i>				
		5.	Dependent Claims 2 and 9	59		
	J.		and 10: Claims 6 and 11 Are Obvious under § 103(a) over <i>ilow '91</i> in view of <i>Kasumov</i> and the '979 Patent	59		
X.	CON	ICLUS	SION	60		



Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,642,012

List of Exhibits

Ex. 1001: U.S. Patent No. 8,642,012 to Scharschmidt ("the '012 patent), filed January 7, 2009, issued February 4, 2014.

Ex. 1002: Declaration of Dr. Neal Sondheimer.

Ex. 1003: Curriculum vitae of Dr. Neal Sondheimer.

Ex. 1004: Reserved.

Ex. 1005: Simell, et al.., Waste Nitrogen Excretion Via Amino Acid Acylation:

Benzoate and Phenylacetate in Lysinuric Protein Intolerance, 20

Pediatric Research, 1117–1121 (1986). ("Simell").

Ex. 1006: Reserved.

Ex. 1007: Reserved.

Ex. 1008: Reserved.

Ex. 1009: Reserved.

Ex. 1010: Reserved.

Ex. 1011: Fernandes, Saudubray Berghe (editors), *Inborn Metabolic Diseases*Diagnosis and Treatment, 219–220 (3d ed. 2000). ("Fernandes").

Ex. 1012: Brusilow, Phenylacetylglutamine May Replace Urea as a Vehicle for Waste Nitrogen Excretion, 29 Pediatric Research, 147–150 (1991). ("Brusilow '91").

Ex. 1013: Reserved.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

