

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC, and
MERCEDES-BENZ U.S. INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00359
Case IPR2015-00360
Case IPR2015-00361
Case IPR2015-00363
Case IPR2015-00366
Case IPR2015-00368
Case IPR2015-00994
Case IPR2015-01044
Case IPR2015-01067
Case IPR2015-01113
Case IPR2015-01114
Case IPR2015-01115

Before LORA M. GREEN, THOMAS L. GIANNETTI,
MIRIAM L. QUINN, and BEVERLY M. BUNTING,
Administrative Patent Judges.

GIANNETTI, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

JUDGMENT
Termination of Proceedings
37 C.F.R. § 42.72

IPR2015-00359
IPR2015-00360
IPR2015-00361
IPR2015-00363

IPR2015-00366
IPR2015-00368
IPR2015-00994
IPR2015-01044

IPR2015-01067
IPR2015-01113
IPR2015-01114
IPR2015-01115

On June 30, 2015, the parties filed a joint motion to terminate each of these proceedings pursuant to a settlement agreement. Paper 25.¹ The parties also filed true copies of their written settlement agreement, made in connection with the termination of these proceedings, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b). Exhibit 1025. Additionally, the parties submitted joint requests to have their settlement agreement treated as confidential business information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). Paper 26.

These proceedings are in the preliminary stages. The parties submit that termination is appropriate because the parties have settled their dispute and have reached agreement to terminate all of these IPRs. Paper 25, 2. The parties represent that their pending district court litigation has been dismissed and the settlement agreement ends all patent disputes between the parties. Paper 25, 4.

Upon consideration of the requests before us, we determine that terminating these proceedings with respect to both Petitioners and Patent Owner, at this early juncture, promotes efficiency and minimizes unnecessary costs. Based on the facts of these cases, it is appropriate to enter judgment terminating the proceedings without rendering a final written decision. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.72.

¹ Paper and exhibit numbers refer to Case IPR2015-00359. Corresponding motions and settlement agreements were filed in each of the other cases.

IPR2015-00359
IPR2015-00360
IPR2015-00361
IPR2015-00363

IPR2015-00366
IPR2015-00368
IPR2015-00994
IPR2015-01044

IPR2015-01067
IPR2015-01113
IPR2015-01114
IPR2015-01115

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the joint motions to terminate the following cases are *granted*: Case IPR2015-00359; Case IPR2015-00360; Case IPR2015-00361; Case IPR2015-00363; Case IPR2015-00366; Case IPR2015-00368; Case IPR2015-00994; Case IPR2015-01044; Case IPR2015-01067; Case IPR2015-01113; Case IPR2015-01114; and Case IPR2015-01115;

FURTHER ORDERED that the above cases are hereby *terminated* as to all parties, including Petitioners and Patent Owner; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties' joint requests that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information, kept separate from the patent file, and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), are *granted*.

IPR2015-00359
IPR2015-00360
IPR2015-00361
IPR2015-00363

IPR2015-00366
IPR2015-00368
IPR2015-00994
IPR2015-01044

IPR2015-01067
IPR2015-01113
IPR2015-01114
IPR2015-01115

PETITIONERS:

Scott W. Doyle
Jonathan R. DeFoose
FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP
scott.doyle@friedfrank.com
jonathan.defosse@friedfrank.com

PATENT OWNER:

Justin B. Kimble
Jeffrey R. Bragaline
BRAGALONE CONROY P.C.
jkimble@bcpc-law.com
jbragalone@bcpc-law.com

George W. Webb III
AHMAD, ZAVITSANSOS, ANAIPAKOS, ALAVI
& MENSING P.C.
gwebb@azalaw.com