SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

17 CFR PART 242

Release No. 34-61595; File No. S7-08-09

RIN 3235-AK35

Amendments to Regulation SHO

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is adopting amendments to Regulation SHO under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"). We are adopting a short sale-related circuit breaker that, if triggered, will impose a restriction on the prices at which securities may be sold short ("short sale price test" or "short sale price test restriction"). Specifically, the Rule requires that a trading center establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent the execution or display of a short sale order of a covered security at a price that is less than or equal to the current national best bid if the price of that covered security decreases by 10% or more from the covered security's closing price as determined by the listing market for the covered security as of the end of regular trading hours on the prior day. In addition, the Rule requires that the trading center establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to impose this short sale price test restriction for the remainder of the day and the following day when a national best bid for the covered security is calculated and disseminated on a current and continuing basis by a plan processor pursuant to an effective national market system plan. We believe it is appropriate at this time to adopt a short sale-related circuit breaker because, when triggered, it will prevent short selling, including potentially manipulative or abusive short selling,



from driving down further the price of a security that has already experienced a significant intra-

day price decline, and will facilitate the ability of long sellers to sell first upon such a decline.

This approach establishes a narrowly-tailored Rule that will target only those securities that are

experiencing significant intra-day price declines. We believe that addressing short selling in

connection with such declines in individual securities will help address erosion of investor

confidence in our markets generally.

In addition, we are amending Regulation SHO to provide that a broker-dealer may mark

certain qualifying sell orders "short exempt." In particular, if the broker-dealer chooses to rely

on its own determination that it is submitting the short sale order to the trading center at a price

that is above the current national best bid at the time of submission or to rely on an exception

specified in the Rule, it must mark the order as "short exempt." This "short exempt" marking

requirement will aid surveillance by self-regulatory organizations ("SROs") and the Commission

for compliance with the provisions of Rule 201 of Regulation SHO.

DATES: Effective Date: May 10, 2010

Compliance Date: November 10, 2010

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Josephine J. Tao, Assistant Director;

Victoria Crane, Branch Chief; Katrina Wilson, Staff Attorney; and Angela Moudy, Staff

Attorney, Division of Trading and Markets, at (202) 551-5720, at the Commission, 100 F Street,

NE, Washington, DC 20549-6628.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission is amending Rules 200(g) and 201

of Regulation SHO [17 CFR 242.200(g) and 17 CFR 242.201] under the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

I. **Executive Summary**

Background on Short Sale Restrictions II.



- A. Short Selling and its Market Impact
- B. History of Short Sale Price Test Restrictions in the U.S.
- C. Proposal to Adopt a Short Sale Price Test Restriction or Circuit Breaker
- D. Empirical Data Regarding Potential Market Impact of Short Sale Price Test Restrictions Submitted in Response to the Proposal and Re-Opening Release
- III. Discussion of Rule 201 of Regulation SHO
 - A. Operation of the Circuit Breaker Plus Alternative Uptick Rule
 - 1. Covered Securities
 - 2. Pricing Increment
 - 3. Alternative Uptick Rule
 - 4. Circuit Breaker Approach Generally
 - 5. Circuit Breaker Trigger Level and Duration
 - 6. Determination Regarding Securities Subject to Rule 201 and Dissemination of Such Information
 - 7. Policies and Procedures Approach
 - B. "Short Exempt" Provisions of Rule 201
 - 1. Broker-Dealer Provision
 - 2. Seller's Delay in Delivery
 - 3. Odd Lot Transactions
 - 4. Domestic Arbitrage
 - 5. International Arbitrage
 - 6. Over-Allotments and Lay-Off Sales
 - 7. Riskless Principal Transactions
 - 8. Transactions on a Volume-Weighted Average Price Basis
 - 9. Decision Not to Adopt a Provision that a Broker-Dealer may Mark an Order "Short Exempt" in Connection with Bona Fide Market Making Activity
- IV. Order Marking
- V. Exemptive Procedures
- VI. Overseas Transactions
- VII. Rule 201 Implementation Period
- VIII. Decision Not to Implement Rule 201 on a Pilot Basis
- IX. Paperwork Reduction Act
 - A. Background
 - B. Summary
 - 1. Policies and Procedures Requirement under Rule 201
 - Policies and Procedures Requirement under the Broker-Dealer and Riskless Principal Provisions
 - 3. Marking Requirements
 - C. Use of Information
 - 1. Policies and Procedures Requirement under Rule 201
 - 2. Policies and Procedures Requirement under the Broker-Dealer and Riskless Principal Provisions
 - 3. Marking Requirements
 - D. Respondents
 - 1. Policies and Procedures Requirement under Rule 201



- 2. Policies and Procedures Requirement under the Broker-Dealer and Riskless Principal Provisions
- 3. Marking Requirements
- E. Total Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Burdens
- 1. Policies and Procedures Requirement under Rule 201
- 2. Policies and Procedures Requirement under the Broker-Dealer and Riskless Principal Provisions
- 3. Marking Requirements
- F. Collection of Information Is Mandatory
- 1. Policies and Procedures Requirements
- 2. Marking Requirements
- G. Confidentiality
- 1. Policies and Procedures Requirements
- 2. Marking Requirements
- H. Record Retention Period
- 1. Policies and Procedures Requirements
- 2. Marking Requirements
- X. Cost-Benefit Analysis
 - A. Benefits
 - 1. Alternative Uptick Rule
 - 2. Circuit Breaker Approach
 - 3. Marking Requirements
 - B. Costs
 - 1. Alternative Uptick Rule
 - a. Impact on Market Quality
 - b. Implementation and On-going Monitoring and Surveillance Costs
 - i. Policies and Procedures Requirement under Rule 201
 - Policies and Procedures Requirement under the Broker-Dealer and Riskless Principal Provisions
 - 2. Circuit Breaker Approach
 - a. Impact on Market Quality
 - b. Implementation and On-going Monitoring and Surveillance Costs
 - 3. Implementation Period
 - 4. Marking Requirements
- XI. Consideration of Burden on Competition and Promotion of Efficiency, Competition, and Capital Formation
 - A. Competition
 - 1. Market Structure for Trading Centers and Broker-Dealers
 - 2. Discussion of Impacts of Rules 200(g) and 201 on Competition
 - B. Capital Formation
 - C. Efficiency
- XII. Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis
 - A. Need for and Objectives of the Rule
 - B. Significant Issues Raised by Public Comment
 - C. Small Entities Affected by the Rule
 - D. Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping and Other Compliance Requirements



- E. Agency Action to Minimize Effect on Small Entities
- F. Significant Alternatives
- XIII. Statutory Authority
- XIV. Text of the Amendments to Regulation SHO

I. Executive Summary

In July 2007, the Commission eliminated all short sale price test restrictions. Prior to that time, short sale price test restrictions included Rule 10a-1 under the Exchange Act, also known as the "uptick rule" or "tick test" ("former Rule 10a-1"), that applied to exchange-listed securities, and the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.'s ("NASD") bid test, Rule 3350 ("NASD's former bid test"), that applied to certain Nasdaq securities. The Commission's removal of short sale price test restrictions followed a careful, deliberative rulemaking process, carried out in multiple stages from 1999 through 2006, and was open to the public at every stage.

The Commission took a number of steps as part of that process, including seeking extensive public comment and conducting a comprehensive staff study to assess whether then-current short sale price test restrictions were appropriate. For example, beginning in 1999, the Commission published a concept release in which it sought comment regarding short sale price test regulation, including comment on whether to eliminate such regulation.⁴ In 2004, the Commission initiated a year-long pilot ("Pilot") to study the removal of short sale price tests for approximately one-third of the largest stocks.⁵ Short sale data was made publicly available during this Pilot to allow the public and Commission staff (the "Staff") to study the effects of eliminating short sale price test restrictions. The findings of third party researchers were

⁵ See Exchange Act Release No. 50104 (July 28, 2004), 69 FR 48032 (Aug. 6, 2004) ("Pilot Release").



See infra note 41 and accompanying text.

NASD is now known as the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA").

See infra note 43 and accompanying text.

See Exchange Act Release No. 42037 (Oct. 20, 1999), 64 FR 57996 (Oct. 28, 1999) ("1999 Concept Release").

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

