

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT AND TRIAL APPEAL BOARD

---

INITIATIVE FOR RESPONSIBILITY IN DRUG PRICING, LLC

Petitioner

v.

CELGENE CORPORATION

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 6,315,720 to Williams *et al.*

Issue Date: November 13, 2001

Title: Methods For Delivering A Drug To A Patient While Avoiding The  
Occurrence Of An Adverse Side Effect Known Or Suspected  
Of Being Caused By The Drug

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. Unassigned

---

**Petition For *Inter Partes* Review Of U.S. Patent No. 6,315,720  
Under 35 USC §§ 311-319 And 37 CFR §42.100 *Et Seq.***

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***

Patent Trial and Appeal Board

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)); PROCEDURAL STATEMENTS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>IV.MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)).....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>V. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS THEREFOR (37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)).....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>VI.OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,315,720 .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>VII. PROSECUTION HISTORY.....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>VIII. CLAIM TERMS REQUIRING CONSTRUCTION .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>IX.IDENTIFICATION OF THE GROUNDS FOR CHALLENGE (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)) .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>A. The Petition Establishes a Reasonable Likelihood that at Least One Challenged Claim is Anticipated.....</b>	<b>30</b>
(i) Challenge 1: Claims 1-32 .....	30
<b>X. CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....</b>	<b>45</b>

## Table of Authorities

### Cases

<i>Akzo N.V. v. U.S. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 808 F.2d 1471, 1479 (Fed. Cir. 1986) .....	28
<i>Amgen Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.</i> , 314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	28
<i>Corning Glass Works v. Sumitomo Elec. U.S.A., Inc.</i> , 868 F.2d 1251, 1257 (Fed. Cir. 1989) .....	30
<i>Elan Pharms. Inc. v. Mayo Found.</i> , 346 F.3d 1051, 1057 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	28
<i>Helifix, Ltd. v. Blok-Lok, Ltd.</i> , 208 F.3d 1339, 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2000) .....	28
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359, 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	19
<i>In re Baxter Travenol Labs</i> , 952 F.2d 388, 390 (Fed. Cir. 1991) .....	1, 29, 30
<i>In re Slayter</i> , 276 F.2d 408, 411 (CCPA 1960) .....	28
<i>Innova/Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Systems, Inc.</i> , 381 F.3d 1111, 1116 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	19
<i>Multiform Desiccants, Inc. v. Medzam, Ltd.</i> , 133 F.3d 1473, 1477 (Fed. Cir. 1998) .....	19
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	19
<i>Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.</i> , 868 F.2d 1226, 1236 (Fed. Cir. 1989) .....	28
<i>Scripps Clinic &amp; Research Found. V. Genentech, Inc.</i> , 927 F.2d 1565, 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1991) .....	28

<i>Teleflex, Inc. v. Ficos North America Corp</i> , 299 F. 3d 1313, 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2001) .....	19
<i>Verdegal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California</i> , 814 F.2d 628, 631 (Fed. Cir. 1987) .....	1, 27, 30, 41

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....	2, 29
35 U.S.C. § 314.....	1
35 U.S.C. §§311-319.....	1

**Other Authorities**

M.P.E.P. § 2111.01 (IV) .....	20
M.P.E.P. § 2111.02 .....	30
MPEP § 2131.02 .....	1, 27
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766 (Aug. 14, 2012) ..	18

**Rules**

37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) .....	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(d) .....	29
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	6
37 C.F.R. §42.22(a).....	7

37 CFR § 42.106(a).....5

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.