BAUSCH+LOMB

125/C0130

Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Integrated Phase III Clinical Trials of a Once Concentration, Modified Bromfenac Ophthalmic Solution Following Cataract S **Focus on Zero to Trace Anterior Chamber Inflammation**

J.A. Gow¹, J.D. Boyce², H.J. Reiser³, R. Berry⁴, J.T. Dao⁵, and S.P. Chandler¹ for the Low Concentration Bromfenac Ophthalmic Solution Once Daily Study Group

Bausch & Lomb Inc., Irvine, CA, Porange County Ophthalmology Medical Group, Garden Grove, CA, Eye Care Specialists, Kingston, PA, Eye Care Arkansas PA Little Rock, AR, Carden Grove, CA, Declarity, Irvine, CA, Porange County Ophthalmology Medical Group, Garden Grove, CA, Declarity, Irvine, CA, Porange County Ophthalmology Medical Group, Garden Grove, CA, Declarity, Irvine, CA, Porange County Ophthalmology Medical Group, Garden Grove, CA, Declarity, Carden Grove, CA, Declarity, Carden Grove, CA, Declarity, CA, De rnea Consult

Abstract

Parprise: To evaluate in a post-foc analysis the reduction of ocular inflammation to 0 or trace anterior chamber inflammation of low-concentration, modified bromferac contributing is solution dised once daily compared to placebo following cateract surgery in 2 integrated clinical trials:

cateract surgery in 2 integrated clinical trials.

**Moderates subjects undergoing unlateral cateract surgery (phacoemulalification or extracepada cateract extraction) with protein chamber (2CL implantation were randomized to either low-concentration, modified bromtiens caterithatic solution surgery, continued on the day of surgery, and through post-surgery Day 14. The proportion of subjects with trace enterior chamber inflammation, defined as a Summed Coulci Inflammation Score (SIDS) of 0.0.5 (IO-5 cells in the enterior chamber and fibre grade of 0), was assessed by the incidence and inequency of ooliar and systemic adverse exercises, and ophthalmological evaluations (visual outley, sitt lamp exemination, introouter pressure, and distend fundamental continuation). Statistical significance was determined using a Fisher's executed.

determined using a Fisher's exect text.

Revisits: In the intent-for-treat population, subjects had a mean age of 68.0 years, were predominantly Caucasian (14.8%), and included a higher percentage of female subjects (65.2%). Baseline characteristics were similar across treatment groups. A significantly higher proportion of subjects achieved trace ocular inflammation in the bromitenest group compared to placebo as early as Day 5.279% vs. 31.3%, p.=0.00013, and through Days 15.7(1.2% vs. 3.9.4%, p.<0.00013, compared to placebo, low-concentration, modified bromitenas ophthalmic solution doesd once daily produced a lower overall incidence of ocular adverse events.

- Bromfenac is a non-steroidal anti inflammatory drug (NSAID) with an extensive history of clinical efficacy; it acts by blocking prostaglandin synthesis by inhibiting cyclooxygenase 1 and 2 in the arachidonic acid pathway ¹
- The bromine moiety in bromfenac enhances lipophilicity and facilitates penetration throughout ocular tissues $^{2\cdot 3}$
- Bronuck® (bromfenac sodium ophthalmic solution) 0.1% was initially approved in Japan in July 2000 and was subsequently approved for the treatment of biepharitis, conjunctivitis, scientis (including episcientis) and post-operative inflammation?
- Xibrom¹¹¹ (bromfenac ophthalmic solution) 0.09%, administered twice daily, was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) on March 244, 2005 for the treatment of potentias with post-cataract ocular inflammation, and in January 2006 for the treatment of ocular pain following cataracts surgery?
- Bromday™ (bromfenac opithalmic solution) 0.09% administered once daily, was approved by the FDA on October 16, 2010 for the treatment of postoperative inflammation and reduction of ocular pain in patients who have undergone cataract extraction¹
- Based on extensive post-marketing experience and data from clinical trials, bromfenac ophthalmic solution has demonstrated a favorable
- The advanced formulation of bromfenac facilitates intraocular penetration, thereby allowing a lower medication load while maintaining clinical efficacy with once daily dosing

To evaluate in a post-hoc analysis the reduction of ocular inflammation to 0 or trace anterior chamber inflammation of advanced formulation, low-concentration, bromfenac ophthalmic solution dosed once daily compared to placebo following cataract surgery in 2 integrated clinical trials

Methods

Study Design and Subjects

- Phase 3, placebo-controlled, randomized, double-masked, multi-center study
- 440 subjects randomized (222 in the bromfenac group, 218 in the placebo group)at 39 clinical sites
- Eligible subjects were scheduled for a unilateral cataract surgery (phacoemulsification or extracapsular) with PCIOL implantation

- Subjects were assigned to receive either bromfenac ophthalmic solution or placebo dosed QD
- ophthatmic solution or placebo dosed QD.
 Subjects must have met inclusion and exclusion criteria to be eligible for clinical trial.
 Primary efficacy endpoint was clearance of ocular inflammation (Summed Ocular Inflammation Score (SOIS) = 0] by day 15.
 Secondary efficacy endpoint was a secondary efficacy endpoint.
- Secondary efficacy endpoint was proportion of subjects with trace inflammation (SOIS= 0-0.5)



- Subjects began dosing on Day -1 (~ 24 hours before surgery) Subjects returned to the office on Day 1 for evaluation of
- -Subjects returned to the office on Day 1 for evaluation of safety and efficacy -Subjects returned to the office on Day 3±1 for evaluation of safety and efficacy -Subjects returned to the office on Day 8±1 for evaluation of safety and efficacy -Discontinued test agent on day 14 and subjects returned to the office on Day 15±1 for evaluation of safety and efficacy




Follow-up Phase : Day 22+3 or 7+3 Days After Final Dose

Subjects returned to the office on Day 22+3 or 7+3 days after discontinuation of test agent for termination evaluation

| Table 1 Subject 1 | emingraphics (III) | opulation. |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | | |
| Age (Years) | | |
| Mean (SD) | 68.4 (10.70) | 68,5 (9,68) |
| Sex | | |
| Female | 141 (63.5.) | 146 (67:0%) |

Results

| 10000 | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Anterior Chamber Cells | | Anterior Chamber Flare | |
| Grade | Cell Count | Grade | Flare Count |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | Complete absence |
| 1 | 1-5 cells (trace) | | |
| 2 | 6-15 | 1 | Very slight (barely visible) |
| 3 | 16-25 | 2 | Moderate (iris and lens clear) |
| 4 | 26-50 | 3 | Marked (iris and lens hazy) |
| 5 | > 50 | 4 | Intense (fibrin clot) |



Compilar

Mean!

Early Discon Subjects who test agent ea Due to lack o

Safety

Subjects rep Eve Pain Anterior cha Conjunctival Photophobia

Foreign body Ocular hype Oystond Ma

The incide group com Conclus

Advan effect Once

U.S. I Referenc

Presented at the 85th Annual Meeting of The Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology, Inc.; May 5-9, 2013; Seattle, WA.

