1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	
3	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
4	
5	LUPIN, LTC. and LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
6	Petitioners
7	V.
8	SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO. LTD., BAUSCH & LOMB, INC.,
9	and BAUSCH & LOMB PHARMA HOLDINGS CORP.
10	Patent Owner
11	
12	IPR2015-01097 (US Patent No. 8,751,131)
13	IPR2015-01099 (US Patent No. 8,669,290)
14	IPR2015-01100 (US Patent No. 8,927,606)
15	IPR2015-01105 (US Patent No. 8,871,813)
16	
17	DEPOSITION OF:
18	SHIROU SAWA
19	Friday, March 18, 2016
20	
21	
22	

IPR2015-01099 IPR2015-01097 IPR2015-01100 IPR2015-01105



Washing	ton, D.C	·.	
Page 2			Page 4
1 SHIROU SAWA, called for cross-examination by	1	CONTENTS	
2 counsel for Petitioners, pursuant to notice, at the	2		
3 office of Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &	3	EXAMINATION BY:	PAGE
Dunner, LLP, 901 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington,	4	Counsel for Petitioners	5
5 D.C., before SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, CRR, RPR, a Notary	5	Counsel for Patent Owner	128
6 Public in and for the District of Columbia,	6		
beginning at 10:07 a.m., when were present on behalf	7	INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
8 of the respective parties:	8	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	PAGE
9	9	Lupin 1086: Petitioner's Notice of	
10	10	Cross-Examination of Shirou	
11	11	Lupin 1001: United States Patent 8,6	669,290 B2 7
12	12	Senju 2098: Sawa Declaration	
13	13	Japanese/Translations	15
14	14	(F-1-1-14441-14-4	
16	15	(Exhibits attached to transcript.)	
17	16 17		
18	18		
19	19		
20	20		
21	21		
22	22		
Page 3			Page 5
1 APPEARANCES 2 On behalf of Petitioners:	1	PROCEEDINGS	
CHIEMI D. SUZUKI, ESQUIRE	2	Whereupon,	
Crowell & Moring, LLP 590 Madison Avenue, 20th Floor New York, New York 10022-2524	3	RIKA T. MITRIK and YUKO	KASHIWAG
(212) 803-4050	4	were first duly sworn to interpret the	questions
5 csuzuki@crowell.com -and-	5	from English into Japanese and the a	inswers from
6 SHANNON LENTZ, ESQUIRE Crowell & Moring, LLP	6	Japanese into English to the best of t	heir knowledg
7 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2595	7	and ability.	
8 (202) 624-2947 slentz@crowell.com	8	Whereupon,	
On behalf of Patent Owner:	9	SHIROU SAWA,	
0 JESSICA M. LEBEIS, ESQUIRE Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garret &	10	was called as a witness by counsel for	-
Dunner, LLP 3500 Sun Trust Plaza	11	and having been duly sworn, was ex	amined and
2 303 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30308-3263	12	testified as follows:	
3 (404) 653-6400 jessica.lebeis@finnegan.com	13	CROSS-EXAMINATIO	N
4 -and- CHIAKI FUJIWARA, ESQUIRE	14	BY MS. SUZUKI:	
5 Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner, LLP	15	Q. Good morning. Can you ple	ease state your
901 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-4413	16	name for the record.	
.7 (202) 408-4000	17	A. My name is Shirou Sawa.	0
chiaki.fujiwara@finnegan.com	18	Q. What is your current address	5?
chiaki.fujiwara@finnegan.com		A TZ 1 - F 01 0	
chiaki.fujiwara@finnegan.com  8  ALSO PRESENT: Naoko Kishida, Senju	19	A. Kosugadai, 5-Chome 9-9, N	ishi-ku, Kobe,
chiaki.fujiwara@finnegan.com  ALSO PRESENT:  Naoko Kishida, Senju Rika T. Mitrik, Japanese Interpreter Yuko Kashiwaga, Japanese Check	19 20	Hyogo Prefecture, Japan.	
chiaki.fujiwara@finnegan.com  ALSO PRESENT:  Naoko Kishida, Senju Rika T. Mitrik, Japanese Interpreter	19	_	

2 (Pages 2 to 5)



Washington, D.C.

	Page 6		Page 8
1	Q. What is your current title?	1	A. No.
2	A. I'm a manager.	2	Q. It's important that you understand each
3	Q. In any particular department?	3	question that I ask you. If for any reason you do
4	A. Yes, it is.	4	not understand the question, please let me know and
5	Q. What department?	5	I will rephrase the question into one that you can
6	A. Ocular science laboratory. Formulation	6	understand. Will you let me know if you do not
7	design group.	7	understand a question that I ask?
8	INTERPRETER KASHIWAGI: The check	8	A. Yes.
9	interpreter for Senju says perhaps drug discovery	9	Q. It's also important that you finish your
10	design.	10	answer before I begin to ask my next question. Will
11	INTERPRETER MITRIK: Discovery design	11	you let me know if you have not finished an answer
12	group. The Japanese title is Senju manager. In	12	at the time that I ask my next question?
13	English, it's simply a manager.	13	A. Yes.
14	(Recess.)	14	Q. Please recognize that there is a court
15	MS. SUZUKI: I want to backtrack a little	15	reporter here recording this deposition, and a
16	bit and just have everyone state their appearances	16	translator, several translators here translating
17	for the record. I'm Chiemi Suzuki from Crowell &	17	between English and Japanese. Please keep two
18	Moring here for the Lupin Petitioners and with me is	18	things in mind. First, the reporter and translator
19	Shannon Lentz also of Crowell & Moring.	19	must be able to hear you. Second, the reporter and
20	MS. LEBEIS: I'm Jessica Lebeis, I'm with	20	translator can only record and translate one person
21	Finnegan, on behalf of the Patent Owner. I'm here	21	at a time. So we should try to avoid cross-talking
22	with Chiaki Fujiwara, Naoko Kishida of Senju, and	22	or more than one person speaking at the same time.
	Page 7		Page 9
1	Yuko Kashiwagi, the check interpreter for the Patent	1	Do you understand that?
2	Owners.	2	A. Yes.
3	BY MS. SUZUKI:	3	Q. Also, the reporter cannot take down nods
4	Q. Mr. Sawa, I just introduced myself on the	4	of the head, hand gestures or any other non-verbal
5	record, but my name is Chiemi Suzuki, and I'm from	5	responses. So on behalf of the reporter and me,
6	the law firm of Crowell & Moring. We represent the	6	please respond loudly and clearly and verbally at
7	Lupin Petitioners against Senju in IPR 2015-01097,	7	all times. Will you do so?
8	regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,751,131; IPR	8	A. Yes.
9	2015-01099, regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,669,290;	9	Q. Mr. Sawa, you've had your deposition
10	IPR 2015-01100, regarding U.S. Patent Number	10	taken previously, correct?
11	8,927,60; and IPR 2015-01105, regarding U.S. Patent	11	A. Yes.
12	Number 8,871,813. And if I refer to those IPRs, for	12	Q. On how many occasions have you had your
13	1097, 1099, 1100 and 1105 IPRs today as these IPRs	13	deposition taken previously?
14	or this IPR, would you understand what I mean?	14	A. What kind of deposition are you referring
15	A. Yes.	15	to? Are you referring to any and all depositions?
16	Q. I'm going to ask you a number of	16	Q. Why don't I ask you a different question.
17	questions today and you're to answer the questions	17	You've had your deposition taken previously
18	to the best of your ability. Do you understand	18	regarding the Prolensa patents in another IPR and in
19	that?	19	a District Court litigation, is that right?
20	A. Yes.	20	A. I don't understand.
21	Q. Is there any reason you cannot testify	21	<ul> <li>Q. You had your deposition taken in an inter</li> </ul>

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

partes review proceeding regarding Prolensa several



22

truthfully today?

22

Washington, D.C.

	Page 10		Page 12
1	weeks ago, correct?	1	A. Yes. The interpreter Kashiwagi and
2	MS. LEBEIS: Objection. Vague and	2	employee Miss Kishida.
3	ambiguous.	3	Q. Is Miss Kashiwagi here as an interpreter
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.	4	today?
5	BY MS. SUZUKI:	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Have you also had your deposition taken	6	Q. Is Miss Kashiwagi an attorney?
7	in the United States District Court proceeding Senju	7	MS. LEBEIS: Objection to the extent it
8	v. Watson and other parties, regarding Prolensa	8	calls for a legal conclusion and form of the
9	patents?	9	question.
10	MS. LEBEIS: Objection. Vague and	10	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
11	ambiguous.	11	BY MS. SUZUKI:
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	12	Q. In your preparation for the deposition,
13	BY MS. SUZUKI:	13	in addition to your meeting for about eight hours
14	Q. I'm going to ask you some questions about	14	yesterday, did you have any other meetings with
15	your preparation for today's deposition, and I am	15	counsel to prepare?
16	going to try to avoid asking anything that would be	16	A. No.
17	privileged, but yes or no, did you do anything to	17	Q. In your preparation for this deposition,
18	prepare for this deposition today?	18	did you review any documents?
19	MS. LEBEIS: I would just caution the	19	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness
20	witness to answer yes or no.	20	that he may answer yes or no, but not to disclose
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	21	any documents that he might have reviewed.
22	BY MS. SUZUKI:	22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Q. Without revealing privileged information,	4	MS. SUZUKI: Counsel, can you represent
		1	Wis. Sozoki. Counsel, can you represent
2	what did you do to prepare for this deposition	2	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in
2 3	what did you do to prepare for this deposition today?		
		2	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in
3	today?	2	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced
3 4	today?  MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness	2 3 4	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?
3 4 5	today?  MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any	2 3 4 5	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes.
3 4 5 6	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.	2 3 4 5	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes. BY MS. SUZUKI:
3 4 5 6 7	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.  THE WITNESS: I talked with Finnegan	2 3 4 5 6 7	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes. BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Mr. Sawa, did you review any deposition
3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.  THE WITNESS: I talked with Finnegan attorneys yesterday.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes. BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Mr. Sawa, did you review any deposition transcripts in preparation for today's deposition?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.  THE WITNESS: I talked with Finnegan attorneys yesterday. BY MS. SUZUKI:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes.  BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Mr. Sawa, did you review any deposition transcripts in preparation for today's deposition?  MS. LEBEIS: Again, I caution the witness
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.  THE WITNESS: I talked with Finnegan attorneys yesterday.  BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Which Finnegan attorneys did you speak	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes.  BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Mr. Sawa, did you review any deposition transcripts in preparation for today's deposition?  MS. LEBEIS: Again, I caution the witness that he may answer yes or no, but not to disclose
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.  THE WITNESS: I talked with Finnegan attorneys yesterday.  BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Which Finnegan attorneys did you speak with yesterday?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes. BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Mr. Sawa, did you review any deposition transcripts in preparation for today's deposition?  MS. LEBEIS: Again, I caution the witness that he may answer yes or no, but not to disclose any documents that he reviewed.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.  THE WITNESS: I talked with Finnegan attorneys yesterday. BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Which Finnegan attorneys did you speak with yesterday? A. I don't know the last name, but Jessica	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes.  BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Mr. Sawa, did you review any deposition transcripts in preparation for today's deposition?  MS. LEBEIS: Again, I caution the witness that he may answer yes or no, but not to disclose any documents that he reviewed.  INTERPRETER MITRIK: The witness asked to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.  THE WITNESS: I talked with Finnegan attorneys yesterday.  BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Which Finnegan attorneys did you speak with yesterday?  A. I don't know the last name, but Jessica and Chiaki Fujiwara.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes.  BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Mr. Sawa, did you review any deposition transcripts in preparation for today's deposition?  MS. LEBEIS: Again, I caution the witness that he may answer yes or no, but not to disclose any documents that he reviewed.  INTERPRETER MITRIK: The witness asked to explain what the transcript is in Japanese.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.  THE WITNESS: I talked with Finnegan attorneys yesterday. BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Which Finnegan attorneys did you speak with yesterday? A. I don't know the last name, but Jessica and Chiaki Fujiwara. Q. Other than Ms. Lebeis and Ms. Fujiwara,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes. BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Mr. Sawa, did you review any deposition transcripts in preparation for today's deposition?  MS. LEBEIS: Again, I caution the witness that he may answer yes or no, but not to disclose any documents that he reviewed.  INTERPRETER MITRIK: The witness asked to explain what the transcript is in Japanese.  INTERPRETER KASHIWAGI: The check
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.  THE WITNESS: I talked with Finnegan attorneys yesterday.  BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Which Finnegan attorneys did you speak with yesterday?  A. I don't know the last name, but Jessica and Chiaki Fujiwara.  Q. Other than Ms. Lebeis and Ms. Fujiwara, were there any other counsel present during your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes. BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Mr. Sawa, did you review any deposition transcripts in preparation for today's deposition?  MS. LEBEIS: Again, I caution the witness that he may answer yes or no, but not to disclose any documents that he reviewed.  INTERPRETER MITRIK: The witness asked to explain what the transcript is in Japanese.  INTERPRETER KASHIWAGI: The check interpreter suggests shogenroku.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.  THE WITNESS: I talked with Finnegan attorneys yesterday. BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Which Finnegan attorneys did you speak with yesterday? A. I don't know the last name, but Jessica and Chiaki Fujiwara. Q. Other than Ms. Lebeis and Ms. Fujiwara, were there any other counsel present during your meetings yesterday?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes.  BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Mr. Sawa, did you review any deposition transcripts in preparation for today's deposition?  MS. LEBEIS: Again, I caution the witness that he may answer yes or no, but not to disclose any documents that he reviewed.  INTERPRETER MITRIK: The witness asked to explain what the transcript is in Japanese.  INTERPRETER KASHIWAGI: The check interpreter suggests shogenroku.  THE WITNESS: No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.  THE WITNESS: I talked with Finnegan attorneys yesterday. BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Which Finnegan attorneys did you speak with yesterday? A. I don't know the last name, but Jessica and Chiaki Fujiwara. Q. Other than Ms. Lebeis and Ms. Fujiwara, were there any other counsel present during your meetings yesterday? A. No, they were not present.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes.  BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Mr. Sawa, did you review any deposition transcripts in preparation for today's deposition?  MS. LEBEIS: Again, I caution the witness that he may answer yes or no, but not to disclose any documents that he reviewed.  INTERPRETER MITRIK: The witness asked to explain what the transcript is in Japanese.  INTERPRETER KASHIWAGI: The check interpreter suggests shogenroku.  THE WITNESS: No.  BY MS. SUZUKI:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness that you can answer, but not to disclose any communications he might have had with counsel.  THE WITNESS: I talked with Finnegan attorneys yesterday.  BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Which Finnegan attorneys did you speak with yesterday?  A. I don't know the last name, but Jessica and Chiaki Fujiwara.  Q. Other than Ms. Lebeis and Ms. Fujiwara, were there any other counsel present during your meetings yesterday?  A. No, they were not present.  Q. For how long did you meet with counsel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that all of the documents that Mr. Sawa reviewed in preparation for this deposition have been produced as part of this IPR?  MS. LEBEIS: I believe so. Yes. BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Mr. Sawa, did you review any deposition transcripts in preparation for today's deposition?  MS. LEBEIS: Again, I caution the witness that he may answer yes or no, but not to disclose any documents that he reviewed.  INTERPRETER MITRIK: The witness asked to explain what the transcript is in Japanese.  INTERPRETER KASHIWAGI: The check interpreter suggests shogenroku.  THE WITNESS: No. BY MS. SUZUKI:  Q. Have you ever at any time reviewed your

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

Q. And I have used this term probably



22

22

Miss Fujiwara yesterday, was anyone else present?

Washington, D.C.

	Page 14		Page 16
			_
1	several times now. IPR. If I refer to IPR, meaning	1	BY MS. SUZUKI:
2	inter partes review, will you understand what I	2	Q. Mr. Sawa, I handed you a document that
3	mean?	3	has previously been marked as Exhibit Senju Exhibit
4	A. Yes.	4	2098, which if you will turn to page 15 of 613,
5	Q. Have you discussed this IPR with anyone	5	appears to be your declaration and the appendices to
6	besides counsel?	6	that declaration. Do you have that in front of you?
7	MS. LEBEIS: I would caution the witness	7	A. Yes.
8	he can answer yes or no.	8	Q. Is Exhibit 2089 your declaration
9	THE WITNESS: No.	9	concerning U.S. patent numbers 8,669,290, 8,754,131,
10	BY MS. SUZUKI:	10	8,871,813 and 8,927,606?
11	Q. In addition to your meeting with counsel	11	A. Yes.
12	yesterday and your review of documents, have you	12	Q. Mr. Sawa, I'll represent to you that this
13	done anything else to prepare for today's	13	is the version of your declaration that counsel
14	deposition?	14	provided to us as part of these IPRs. It includes a
15	MS. LEBEIS: Same caution to the witness,	15	declaration and Appendices 1 through 3 to the
16	not to reveal any communications with counsel.	16	declaration in Japanese, and also an English
17	THE WITNESS: No.	17	translation of the declaration and Appendices 1
18	BY MS. SUZUKI:	18	through 3. And also some certificates of
19	Q. Mr. Sawa, I handed you a document	19	translation.
20	labelled Petitioner's Notice of Cross-examination of	20	MS. LEBEIS: Counsel, I believe it's
21	Shirou Sawa. I understand that has been filed in	21	Appendices A, B and C.
22	this IPR. Do you have that in front of you?	22	BY MS. SUZUKI:
	ans if it. Bo you have that in from or you.		21 Hat. Belletin.
	Page 15		Page 17
1	MS. LEBEIS: Counsel, Mr. Sawa has	1	Q. I apologize. Let me say that again.
2	testified previously that he doesn't read English,	2	I'll represent to you that this is the version that
3	so if you have a translation of this document, but I	3	counsel provided to us as part of these IPRs, and
4	don't think he is going to be able to answer based	4	that it includes a declaration and Appendices A, B
5		5	••
	on this English copy.  MS. SUZUKI: So he cannot even I did		and C to the declaration in Japanese, and an English
6		6	translation of the declaration and Appendices A, B
7	not ask him anything substantive about the document.	7	and C, and some certificates of translation.
8	MS. LEBEIS: But he won't be able to	8	And I'll just note for the record that
9	identify the English title.	9	page 1 of 613 of Senju Exhibit 2098 is an affidavit
10	MS. SUZUKI: Why don't we just strike	10	of translation. Page 29 of 613 is a certificate of
11	that question, and I'll just ask counsel, can we	11	translation. And that is for what is referred to in
12	stipulate that Mr. Sawa is here pursuant to this	12	page 29 as Exhibit A, Exhibit B, and Exhibit C.
13	notice of cross-examination?	13	MS. SUZUKI: Counsel, can we stipulate
14	MS. LEBEIS: Yes.	14	that those exhibits, exhibits A, B and C correspond
15	MS. SUZUKI: Mr. Sawa, you can put that	15	to Appendices A, B and C to Mr. Sawa's declaration.
16	document aside. Counsel, can we also stipulate that	16	MS. LEBEIS: Yes.
17	the questions I ask today, unless otherwise stated,	17	MS. SUZUKI: And it appears that that
18	apply equally to IPR 2015-01097, IPR 2015-01099, IPR	18	same certificate of translation at page 29 occurs
19	2015-01100 and IPR 2015-01105?	19	two other times in this volume, at page 379 and page
20	MS. LEBEIS: Yes.	20	387. Counsel, do you know if those are the exact
21	(Seniu Exhibit No. 2098 was	21	same copies of that certificate of translation?

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

MS. LEBEIS: Yes. They are the same



marked for identification.)

# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

