1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	
3	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
4	
5	LUPIN, LTC. and LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
6	Petitioners
7	V.
8	SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO. LTD., BAUSCH & LOMB, INC.,
9	and BAUSCH & LOMB PHARMA HOLDINGS CORP.
10	Patent Owner
11	
12	IPR2015-01087 (US Patent No. 8,751,131)
13	IPR2015-01099 (US Patent No. 8,669,290)
14	IPR2015-01100 (US Patent No. 8,927,606)
15	IPR2015-01105 (US Patent No. 8,871,813)
16	
17	DEPOSITION OF:
18	JOHN C. JAROSZ
19	Wednesday, March 16, 2016
20	
21	
22	

IPR2015-01099 IPR2015-01097 IPR2015-01100 IPR2015-01105



	Washing	gton, DC		
	Page 2			Page 4
1	JOHN C. JAROSZ, called for cross-examination by	1	CONTENTS	
2	counsel for Petitioners, pursuant to notice, at the	2		
3	office of Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &	3	EXAMINATION BY:	PAGE
4	Dunner, LLP, 901 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington,	4		7, 203
5	D.C., before SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, CRR, RPR, a Notary	5	Counsel for Patent Owner	172
6	Public in and for the District of Columbia,	6		
7	beginning at 9:08 a.m., when were present on behalf	7		
8	of the respective parties:	8		
9	•	9		
10		10		
11		11		
12		12		
13		13		
14		14		
15		15		
16		16		
17		17		
18		18		
19		19		
20		20		
21		21		
22		22		
22				
	Page 3			Page 5
1	APPEARANCES	1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
2	On behalf of Petitioners:	2	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	CHIEMI D. SUZUKI, ESQUIRE	3	Senju 2130 John C. Jarosz declarations in	n IPRs
4	Crowell & Moring, LLP	4	2015-01097, 01099, 01100, 01105	
5	590 Madison Avenue, 20th Floor	5	Senju 2052 Alkharashi, et al. article	152
6	New York, New York 10022-2524	6	Senju 2157 Management Science (01097	137
7	(212) 803-4050	7	Senju 2142 September 2000 Journal of In	-
8	csuzuki@crowell.com	8	-	44
	-and-	9	Senju 2143 Ching, et al. article (01097)	146
9	DEBORAH H. YELLIN, ESQUIRE	10	Senju 2119 Rajpal, et al. (01097)	148
10	Crowell & Moring, LLP	11	Senju 2221 Selecting an NSAID, Jackson	1
11	1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	12	PROL028722-748 (01100)	156
12	Washington, D.C. 20004-2595	13	Senju 2160 Ophthalmology Times Donn	
13	(202) 624-2947	14	(01100) 159	=
14	dyellin@crowell.com	15	Senju 2232 Guha, et al. (01100)	118
15	On behalf of Patent Owner:	16	Senju 2191 ASCRS EyeWorld (01097)	159
16	JUSTIN J. HASFORD, ESQUIRE	17	Senju 2228 Bromfenac Ophthalmic Solu	
17	Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett &	18	Dosed Once Daily for Cataract	
18	Dunner, LLP	19	Surgery (01097) 163	3
19 20	901 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-4413	20	Senju 2218 Bausch & Lomb Press Relea	
Z. U.	washingidii 174, 70001=4413	~	zanja 2210 zausen ez zonio i ress Reica	
	9 '	21	(01100) 167	
21 22	(202) 408-4000 justin.hasford@finnegan.com	21 22	(01100) 167 Lupin 1076 Notice of Cross-Examination	n 172

2 (Pages 2 to 5)



Washington, DC

	Page 6	Page 8
1	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE	the firm, and I help in management of the firm.
2	Lupin 1077 Arise Health Plan 172	2 Q. Did these engagements of the firm include
3	Lupin 1078 2015 Aetna Pharmacy Plan Drug List	3 litigation consulting?
4	Three Tier Open 172	4 A Sometimes
5	Lupin 1079 Urban article 172	5 Q. What percentage of your work involves
6	Lupin 1080 Galderma Laboratories v Tolmar 172	6 litigation consulting?
7	Lupin 1081 Rajpal ProPublica 172	7 A. Are you putting that question to me
8	Lupin 1082 Jackson ProPublica 172	8 personally or as a representative of Analysis Group?
9	Lupin 1083 172 Donnenfield ProPublica 172	9 Q. I'll put it to you personally.
10	Lupin 1084 Silverstein ProPublica 172	10 A. It changes of course from time to time.
11	Lupin 1085 Trattler ProPublica 172	But over the last 20 years, something on the order
12	Senju 2323 Reply Expert Report of Jarosz on	of 85 percent of my work has been in the context of
13	Objective Indicia of	pending litigation, likely litigation, arbitration
14	Non-obviousness - IPR2015-01097,	14 or mediation.
15	01099, 01100 and 01105 178	Q. What is the other 15 percent?
16		16 A. It's outside the context of a dispute.
17		Q. Mr. Jarosz, I introduced myself to you
18		off the record, but my name is Chiemi Suzuki, and
19		19 I'm with the law firm of Crowell & Moring. We
20		represent the Lupin Petitioners against Senju in IPR
21		21 2015-01097 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,751,131;
22		IPR 2015-01099 regarding U.S. Patent Number
	Page 7	Page 9
1	Page 7 PROCEEDINGS	Page 9 1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent
1 2	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon,	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR
	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ,	 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813.
2	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners,	 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these
2	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and	 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean?
2 3 4 5 6	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? A. I think so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION	 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? A. I think so, yes. Q. I'm going to ask you a number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI:	 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? A. I think so, yes. Q. I'm going to ask you a number of questions today and you're to answer the questions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the	 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? A. I think so, yes. Q. I'm going to ask you a number of questions today and you're to answer the questions to the best of your ability. Do you understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the record?	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 3 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. 4 If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these 5 IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. I'm going to ask you a number of 8 questions today and you're to answer the questions 9 to the best of your ability. Do you understand 10 that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the record? A. John C. Jarosz.	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 3 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. 4 If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these 5 IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. I'm going to ask you a number of 8 questions today and you're to answer the questions 9 to the best of your ability. Do you understand 10 that? 11 A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the record? A. John C. Jarosz. Q. And what is your address?	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 3 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. 4 If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these 5 IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. I'm going to ask you a number of 8 questions today and you're to answer the questions 9 to the best of your ability. Do you understand 10 that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Is there any reason that you cannot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the record? A. John C. Jarosz. Q. And what is your address? A. My work address is Analysis Group, 800	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 3 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. 4 If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these 5 IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. I'm going to ask you a number of 8 questions today and you're to answer the questions 9 to the best of your ability. Do you understand 10 that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Is there any reason that you cannot 13 testify truthfully today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the record? A. John C. Jarosz. Q. And what is your address? A. My work address is Analysis Group, 800 17th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., 20006. My	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 3 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. 4 If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these 5 IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. I'm going to ask you a number of 8 questions today and you're to answer the questions 9 to the best of your ability. Do you understand 10 that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Is there any reason that you cannot 13 testify truthfully today? 14 A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the record? A. John C. Jarosz. Q. And what is your address? A. My work address is Analysis Group, 800 17th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., 20006. My home address is 703 Ninovan, which is spelled	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 3 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. 4 If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these 5 IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. I'm going to ask you a number of 8 questions today and you're to answer the questions 9 to the best of your ability. Do you understand 10 that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Is there any reason that you cannot 13 testify truthfully today? 14 A. No. 15 Q. It's important that you understand each
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the record? A. John C. Jarosz. Q. And what is your address? A. My work address is Analysis Group, 800 17th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., 20006. My home address is 703 Ninovan, which is spelled N-I-N-O-V-A-N, Road, Vienna, Virginia, 22180.	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 3 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. 4 If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these 5 IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. I'm going to ask you a number of 8 questions today and you're to answer the questions 9 to the best of your ability. Do you understand 10 that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Is there any reason that you cannot 13 testify truthfully today? 14 A. No. 15 Q. It's important that you understand each 16 question that I ask. If for any reason you do not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the record? A. John C. Jarosz. Q. And what is your address? A. My work address is Analysis Group, 800 17th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., 20006. My home address is 703 Ninovan, which is spelled N-I-N-O-V-A-N, Road, Vienna, Virginia, 22180. Q. What is your current place of employment?	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 3 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. 4 If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these 5 IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. I'm going to ask you a number of 8 questions today and you're to answer the questions 9 to the best of your ability. Do you understand 10 that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Is there any reason that you cannot 13 testify truthfully today? 14 A. No. 15 Q. It's important that you understand each 16 question that I ask. If for any reason you do not 17 understand the question, please tell me and I will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the record? A. John C. Jarosz. Q. And what is your address? A. My work address is Analysis Group, 800 17th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., 20006. My home address is 703 Ninovan, which is spelled N-I-N-O-V-A-N, Road, Vienna, Virginia, 22180. Q. What is your current place of employment? A. Analysis Group.	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 3 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. 4 If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these 5 IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. I'm going to ask you a number of 8 questions today and you're to answer the questions 9 to the best of your ability. Do you understand 10 that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Is there any reason that you cannot 13 testify truthfully today? 14 A. No. 15 Q. It's important that you understand each 16 question that I ask. If for any reason you do not 17 understand the question, please tell me and I will 18 rephrase the question into one you can understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the record? A. John C. Jarosz. Q. And what is your address? A. My work address is Analysis Group, 800 17th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., 20006. My home address is 703 Ninovan, which is spelled N-I-N-O-V-A-N, Road, Vienna, Virginia, 22180. Q. What is your current place of employment? A. Analysis Group. Q. What is your title?	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 3 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. 4 If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these 5 IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. I'm going to ask you a number of 8 questions today and you're to answer the questions 9 to the best of your ability. Do you understand 10 that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Is there any reason that you cannot 13 testify truthfully today? 14 A. No. 15 Q. It's important that you understand each 16 question that I ask. If for any reason you do not 17 understand the question, please tell me and I will 18 rephrase the question into one you can understand. 19 Will you tell me if you do not understand the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the record? A. John C. Jarosz. Q. And what is your address? A. My work address is Analysis Group, 800 17th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., 20006. My home address is 703 Ninovan, which is spelled N-I-N-O-V-A-N, Road, Vienna, Virginia, 22180. Q. What is your current place of employment? A. Analysis Group. Q. What is your title? A. Managing principal.	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 3 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. 4 If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these 5 IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. I'm going to ask you a number of 8 questions today and you're to answer the questions 9 to the best of your ability. Do you understand 10 that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Is there any reason that you cannot 13 testify truthfully today? 14 A. No. 15 Q. It's important that you understand each 16 question that I ask. If for any reason you do not 17 understand the question, please tell me and I will 18 rephrase the question into one you can understand. 19 Will you tell me if you do not understand the 20 question that I ask?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROCEEDINGS Whereupon, JOHN C. JAROSZ, was called as a witness by counsel for Petitioners, and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUZUKI: Q. Can you please state your name for the record? A. John C. Jarosz. Q. And what is your address? A. My work address is Analysis Group, 800 17th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., 20006. My home address is 703 Ninovan, which is spelled N-I-N-O-V-A-N, Road, Vienna, Virginia, 22180. Q. What is your current place of employment? A. Analysis Group. Q. What is your title?	1 8,669,290; IPR 2015-01100 regarding U.S. Patent 2 Number 9 excuse me, 8,927,606; and IPR 3 2015-001105 regarding U.S. Patent Number 8,871,813. 4 If I refer to those IPR proceedings today as these 5 IPRs or this IPR, will you understand what I mean? 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. I'm going to ask you a number of 8 questions today and you're to answer the questions 9 to the best of your ability. Do you understand 10 that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Is there any reason that you cannot 13 testify truthfully today? 14 A. No. 15 Q. It's important that you understand each 16 question that I ask. If for any reason you do not 17 understand the question, please tell me and I will 18 rephrase the question into one you can understand. 19 Will you tell me if you do not understand the

3 (Pages 6 to 9)



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

Washington, DC Page 10 1 question of yours, I'm not necessarily acknowledging 2 that my understanding of your question is the same 3 as your understanding of your question. If I think 4 I understand it, I will answer it. If I think I 5 need clarification, I'll ask for that, but of 6 course, we are not one and the same person, and so 7 I'm not sure that your understanding of a question 8 will be equivalent to mine. 9 Q. It is also important that you finish your 10 answer before I begin my next question. If I 11 inadvertently cut you off before you've had a chance 12 to finish your answer, I apologize in advance, but I 13 do want you to have the chance to finish your 14 answer. Will you tell me if you have not finished 15 an answer at the time that I ask my next question? 16 A. I will attempt to. 17 Q. Now, please recognize there is a court reporter here recording the deposition. Please keep 18

Page 12

been in my capacity as an expert witness.

- Q. Without getting into details, what was the subject matter of the deposition where you were a fact witness?
- A. I had done, alongside people at my firm, an evaluation of certain IP rights and wrote a report or white paper associated with that, and was asked fact questions about that report as I recall.
 - Q. Were the IP rights patent rights?
- A. I'm not remembering exactly, but it's possible.
 - Q. What year was that deposition?
- A. It was probably 10 years ago. It might have been a little bit more. It might have been a little bit less.
- Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about your preparation for this deposition. And I'm going to attempt not to tread into privileged territory. Yes or no. Did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition?
- A. Yes.
 - Without revealing privileged information, Q.

Page 13

Page 11

- 1 as possible. Do you understand that?
 - A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. Also the reporter cannot take down nods of the head, hand gestures or any other non-verbal responses, so on behalf of the reporter and me, please respond loudly and clearly at all times.

two things in mind. First, the reporter must be

record one person as a time so we should try to

able to hear you. Secondly, the reporter can only

avoid cross talking of more than one person as much

- 7 Will you do so?
 - A. I will respond to your questions verbally. I may occasionally nod my head or make a hand gesture, but I don't mean for any of those to be picked up on the transcript.
 - Q. Have you had your deposition taken before?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. On how many occasions?
 - A. Over the years, I've probably been deposed on something like 220 occasions. I'm probably off, but reasonably close.
 - Q. How many of those depositions have been in your capacity as an expert witness?
 - A. All of those. Oh, no, there was one that I was a fact witness for, but all the others have

what did you do to prepare?

- A. Everything I've done in this matter was with the thought that I may eventually give deposition testimony, so everything I've done on this matter is in some sense in preparation for this deposition.
 - Q. Did you meet with counsel?
- A. Over the course of our engagement, yes.
- 9 Q. Did you meet with counsel specifically regarding this deposition in the last several days up to today's deposition?
 - A. Yes. Over the phone.
 - Q. How many phone conversations?
 - A. Over the last few days, have I had with counsel? Is that the question?
 - Q. Yes.
- 17 A. I think one. Although I should mention 18 that there was one in-person meeting.
 - When was the in-person meeting?
 - A. It started about 40 minutes ago.
 - Do you recall when the phone conversation Q. was?

4 (Pages 10 to 13)



Washington, DC

	Page 14		Page 16
1	A. Yes.	1	A. No. Not that I recall.
2	Q. When was that?	2	Q. Did you review deposition transcripts in
3	A. Yesterday.	3	advance of today's deposition in preparation for
4	Q. How long was your phone conversation?	4	today's deposition?
5	A. About an hour.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Who was present on your phone	6	Q. Were those your own deposition
7	conversation with counsel?	7	transcripts?
8	A. Mr. Hasford and Ms. Lebeis.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Who is Ms. Lebeis?	9	Q. Did you review any deposition transcripts
10	A. Jessica Lebeis is a lawyer for Finnegan	10	of other people in preparation for today's
11	who is working on this matter on behalf of the	11	deposition?
12	patentors.	12	A. We have looked at deposition transcripts
13	Q. And in addition to Mr. Hasford and	13	over the course of our engagement, but over the last
14	Ms. Lebeis, was anyone else present on that phone	14	several days, the only transcripts that I've looked
15	conversation other than yourself?	15	at are my two transcripts.
16	A. No. Not that I know of.	16	Q. In answering the previous question, you
17	Q. In the in-person meeting that was about	17	said we have looked at deposition transcripts. Who
18	40 minutes ago or started about 40 minutes ago, who	18	is we?
19	was present at that meeting?	19	A. People at Analysis Group and myself that
20	A. Mr. Hasford and myself.	20	have worked on this matter.
21	Q. Anyone else in addition to you two?	21	Q. What people at Analysis Group?
22	A. No.	22	A. The person who has been most intimately
	Page 15		Page 17
1		1	_
1 2	Q. Did you review documents in preparation	1 2	Page 17 involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our
	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition?		involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan.
2	Q. Did you review documents in preparation	2	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our
2 3	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition?A. Again, everything I've done on this	2	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team.
2 3 4	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be	2 3 4	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis
2 3 4 5	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents.	2 3 4 5	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group?
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I	2 3 4 5 6	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents?	2 3 4 5 6 7	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents? A. I don't know quite how to answer that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at Analysis Group?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents? A. I don't know quite how to answer that besides saying during the call, we did make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at Analysis Group? A. Senior analyst.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents? A. I don't know quite how to answer that besides saying during the call, we did make reference to my deposition transcript from a couple	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at Analysis Group? A. Senior analyst. Q. You said that you have reviewed your two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents? A. I don't know quite how to answer that besides saying during the call, we did make reference to my deposition transcript from a couple of weeks ago.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at Analysis Group? A. Senior analyst. Q. You said that you have reviewed your two deposition transcripts. Which two deposition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents? A. I don't know quite how to answer that besides saying during the call, we did make reference to my deposition transcript from a couple of weeks ago. Q. Do you recall what case that deposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at Analysis Group? A. Senior analyst. Q. You said that you have reviewed your two deposition transcripts. Which two deposition transcripts were you referring to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents? A. I don't know quite how to answer that besides saying during the call, we did make reference to my deposition transcript from a couple of weeks ago. Q. Do you recall what case that deposition transcript was a part of?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at Analysis Group? A. Senior analyst. Q. You said that you have reviewed your two deposition transcripts. Which two deposition transcripts were you referring to? A. The one that was taken in the federal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents? A. I don't know quite how to answer that besides saying during the call, we did make reference to my deposition transcript from a couple of weeks ago. Q. Do you recall what case that deposition transcript was a part of? A. I generally think of it as the PTAB	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at Analysis Group? A. Senior analyst. Q. You said that you have reviewed your two deposition transcripts. Which two deposition transcripts were you referring to? A. The one that was taken in the federal case and the one that was taken in the PTAB matter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents? A. I don't know quite how to answer that besides saying during the call, we did make reference to my deposition transcript from a couple of weeks ago. Q. Do you recall what case that deposition transcript was a part of? A. I generally think of it as the PTAB matter and I think it was this case or set of cases	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at Analysis Group? A. Senior analyst. Q. You said that you have reviewed your two deposition transcripts. Which two deposition transcripts were you referring to? A. The one that was taken in the federal case and the one that was taken in the PTAB matter where I was questioned by a lawyer from InnoPharma.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents? A. I don't know quite how to answer that besides saying during the call, we did make reference to my deposition transcript from a couple of weeks ago. Q. Do you recall what case that deposition transcript was a part of? A. I generally think of it as the PTAB matter and I think it was this case or set of cases in which InnoPharma took the lead in deposing me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at Analysis Group? A. Senior analyst. Q. You said that you have reviewed your two deposition transcripts. Which two deposition transcripts were you referring to? A. The one that was taken in the federal case and the one that was taken in the PTAB matter where I was questioned by a lawyer from InnoPharma. Q. The federal case you're talking about, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents? A. I don't know quite how to answer that besides saying during the call, we did make reference to my deposition transcript from a couple of weeks ago. Q. Do you recall what case that deposition transcript was a part of? A. I generally think of it as the PTAB matter and I think it was this case or set of cases in which InnoPharma took the lead in deposing me. Q. In addition to the deposition transcript,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at Analysis Group? A. Senior analyst. Q. You said that you have reviewed your two deposition transcripts. Which two deposition transcripts were you referring to? A. The one that was taken in the federal case and the one that was taken in the PTAB matter where I was questioned by a lawyer from InnoPharma. Q. The federal case you're talking about, is that Senju v. Watson and others?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents? A. I don't know quite how to answer that besides saying during the call, we did make reference to my deposition transcript from a couple of weeks ago. Q. Do you recall what case that deposition transcript was a part of? A. I generally think of it as the PTAB matter and I think it was this case or set of cases in which InnoPharma took the lead in deposing me. Q. In addition to the deposition transcript, during that phone conversation, did you review to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at Analysis Group? A. Senior analyst. Q. You said that you have reviewed your two deposition transcripts. Which two deposition transcripts were you referring to? A. The one that was taken in the federal case and the one that was taken in the PTAB matter where I was questioned by a lawyer from InnoPharma. Q. The federal case you're talking about, is that Senju v. Watson and others? A. That sounds right to me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Did you review documents in preparation for today's deposition? A. Again, everything I've done on this matter has been with the thought that I would be deposed, so yes, I have reviewed many documents. Q. During your telephone conference of, I believe it was yesterday, did you review documents? A. I don't know quite how to answer that besides saying during the call, we did make reference to my deposition transcript from a couple of weeks ago. Q. Do you recall what case that deposition transcript was a part of? A. I generally think of it as the PTAB matter and I think it was this case or set of cases in which InnoPharma took the lead in deposing me. Q. In addition to the deposition transcript, during that phone conversation, did you review to any other documents?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	involved in working with me has been Mike Nolan. Asula Belacova has been an important part of our team. Q. What is Mr. Nolan's position at Analysis Group? A. His title is manager. Q. What is Ms. Belacova's position at Analysis Group? A. Senior analyst. Q. You said that you have reviewed your two deposition transcripts. Which two deposition transcripts were you referring to? A. The one that was taken in the federal case and the one that was taken in the PTAB matter where I was questioned by a lawyer from InnoPharma. Q. The federal case you're talking about, is that Senju v. Watson and others? A. That sounds right to me. Q. And that's a case that's pending in New

5 (Pages 14 to 17)



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

