

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
14/165,976	5 01/28/2014 Shirou SAWA		2014-0075	4523
513 7590 03/13/2014 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East			EXAMINER	
			SOROUSH, LAYLA	
Washington, D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2014	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com



	Application No. 14/165,976		Applicant(s) SAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner LAYLA SOROUSH	Art Unit 1627	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status No	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he corresponden	ce address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABANL	be timely filed from the mailing date of	of this communication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/28/				
A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.1		<u></u>		
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.			
3) An election was made by the applicant in response	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ng the interview on	
 the restriction requirement and election Since this application is in condition for allowar 	· ·		to the morite is	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	•	-	to the ments is	
·	in parto Garagio, 1000 O.D. I	., 100 0.0. 210.		
Disposition of Claims* 5) ☐ Claim(s) 19-48 is/are pending in the application	n			
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.			
7) Claim(s) 19-48 is/are rejected.				
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
* If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be el	igible to benefit from the Patent	Prosecution High	nway program at a	
participating intellectual property office for the corresponding a	pplication. For more information,	please see		
http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send	an inquiry to PPHfeedback@us	pto.gov.		
Application Papers				
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
11)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See	37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
Certified copies:				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some** c)□ None of the:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		!' !' b ! 40/5	05.000	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	=	ceived in this Na	tional Stage	
application from the International Bureau ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certifie				
233 the attached detailed enforceduler for a list of the certific	ou copied not received.			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	3) Interview Sum			
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or PTO/SPaper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/14.	SB/08b) Paper No(s)/M 4) Other:	ail Date		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-13) Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper N	o./Mail Date 20140307	



Application/Control Number: 14/165,976 Page 2

Art Unit: 1627

DETAILED ACTION

The following is in response to the Preliminary amendments filed on 1/28/2014.

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper time wise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory double patenting rejection is appropriate where the claims at issue are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the reference application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of



Application/Control Number: 14/165,976 Page 3

Art Unit: 1627

activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement. A terminal disclaimer must be signed in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b).

The USPTO internet Web site contains terminal disclaimer forms which may be used. Please visit http://www.uspto.gov/forms/. The filing date of the application will determine what form should be used. A web-based eTerminal Disclaimer may be filled out completely online using web-screens. An eTerminal Disclaimer that meets all requirements is auto-processed and approved immediately upon submission. For more information about eTerminal Disclaimers, refer to http://www.uspto.gov/patents/process/file/efs/guidance/eTD-info-l.jsp.

Claims 19-48 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 8129431. Although the claims at issue are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the patent are drawn to an aqueous liquid preparation consisting essentially of the following two components, wherein the first component is 2-amino-3-(4-bromobenzoyl)phenylaceticacid or a pharmacologically acceptable salt thereof or a hydrate thereof, wherein the hydrate is at least one selected from a 1/2 hydrate, 1 hydrate, and 3/2 hydrate and the second component is tyloxapol wherein said liquid preparation is formulated for ophthalmic administration, and wherein when a quaternary ammonium compound is included in said liquid preparation, the quaternary ammonium compound is benzalkonium chloride whereas the claims herein are drawn to a stable aqueous liquid preparation comprising: (a) a first component; and (b) a second



Application/Control Number: 14/165,976 Page 4

Art Unit: 1627

component; wherein the first component is 2-amino-3-(4-bromobenzoyl)phenylacetic acid or a pharmacologically acceptable salt thereof or a hydrate thereof; wherein the hydrate is at least one selected from a 1/2 hydrate, 1 hydrate, and 3/2 hydrate; the first component is the sole pharmaceutical active ingredient contained in the preparation and is present in the preparation at a concentration from about 0.05 w/v % to about 0.2 w/v %; the second component is tyloxapol and is present in said liquid preparation in an amount sufficient to stabilize said first component; and wherein said stable liquid preparation is formulated for ophthalmic administration.

Claims 19-48 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 8497304. Although the claims at issue are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the copending application are drawn to an aqueous liquid preparation comprising 2-amino-3-(4- bromobenzoyl)phenylacetic acid sodium salt thereof or a hydrate thereof, and polyoxyl 40 stearate, wherein the concentration of the polyoxyl 40 stearate is selected from a range of a minimum concentration of 0.02 w/v % to a maximum concentration of O. 1 w/v% whereas the claims herein are drawn to a stable aqueous liquid preparation comprising: (a) a first component; and (b) a second component; wherein the first component is 2-amino-3-(4-bromobenzoyl)phenylacetic acid or a pharmacologically acceptable salt thereof or a hydrate thereof; wherein the hydrate is at least one selected from a 1/2 hydrate, 1 hydrate, and 3/2 hydrate; the first component is the sole pharmaceutical active ingredient contained in the preparation and is present in the preparation at a concentration from about 0.05 w/v % to about 0.2 w/v



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

