BAUSCH+LOMB 125/C0130

Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Integrated Phase III Clinical Trials of a Once Daily, Low-**Concentration, Modified Bromfenac Ophthalmic Solution Following Cataract Surgery:** Focus on Zero to Trace Anterior Chamber Inflammation

J.A. Gow¹, J.D. Boyce², H.J. Reiser³, R. Berry⁴, J.T. Dao⁵, and S.P. Chandler¹ for the Low Concentration Bromfenac Ophthalmic Solution Once Daily Study Group

¹Bausch & Lomb Inc., Irvine, CA, ²Orange County Ophthalmology Medical Group, Garden Grove, CA, ³Eye Care Specialists, Kingston, PA, ⁴Eye Care Arkansas PA Little Rock, AR, ⁵Cornea Consultants of Arizona, Phoenix, AZ Results

Anterior Chamber Cells

Anterior Chamber Flare

Abstract

Parentee: To evaluate in a post-hoc analysis the reduction of ocular inflammation to 0 or trace anterior chamber inflammation of low-concentration, modified bromfenac ophthalmic solution dosed once daily compared to placebo following cataract surgery in 2 integrated clinical trials.

Signatures Subjects undergoing unilateral cataract surgery (phacoemulsification or extracapsular cataract extraction) with posterior chamber IOL implantation were randomized to either low-concentration, modified bromfenac ophthelmic solution (n=222) or placebo (n=218). Once daily dosing began 1 day before cataract surgery, continued on the day of surgery, and through post-surgery Day 14. The proportion of subjects with trace anterior chamber inflammation, defined as a Summed Octavity of subjects with a de anterior United information, beined as a Summed Octavity of the anterior Score (SOI) of 0-0.5 (0-5 cells in the anterior chamber and flare grade of 0), was assessed at Days 1, 3, 8, and 15. Safety was and ophthalmological evaluations (visual acuity, slit lamp examination, intraocular section). pressure, and dilated funduscopic examination). Statistical significance was determined using a Fisher's exact test.

 $\aleph \ll \omega$ is: In the Intent-to-treat population, subjects had a mean age of 68.0 years, were predominantly Caucasian (74.8%), and included a higher percentage of female subjects (65.2%). Baseline characteristics were similar across treatment tende subjets (02.7%) caseline Oracutatistics were samilar actors treatment of subjects activities of the subject subject sub daily produced a lower overall incidence of ocular adverse events

Conclusion: Low concentration, modified bromfenac ophthalmic solution dosed cataract surgery.

Introduction

- ° Bromfenac is a non-steroidal anti inflammatory drug (NSAID) with an extensive history of clinical efficacy; it acts by blocking prostaglandin synthesis by inhibiting cyclooxygenase 1 and 2 in the arachidonic acid path way
- * The bromine molety in bromfenac enhances lipophilicity and facilitates penetration throughout ocular tissues 2-3
- Bronuck® (bromfenac sodium ophthalmic solution) 0.1% was initially approved in Japan in July 2000 and was subsequently approved for the treatment of blepharitis, conjunctivitis, scleritis (including episcleritis) and post-operative inflammation⁴
- Xibrom $^{\mbox{\tiny TM}}$ (bromfenac ophthalmic solution) 0.09%, administered twice daily, was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) on March 24, 2005 for the treatment of patients with post-cataract ocular inflammation, and in January 2006 for the treatment of ocular pain following cataract surgery⁴
- Bromday™ (bromfenac ophthalmic solution) 0.09% administered once daily, was approved by the FDA on October 16, 2010 for the treatment of postoperative inflammation and reduction of ocular pain in patients who have undergone cataract extraction¹
- Based on extensive post-marketing experience and data from clinical trials, bromfenac ophthalmic solution has demonstrated a favorable safety profile
- The advanced formulation of bromfenac facilitates intraocular penetration, thereby allowing a lower medication load while maintaining clinical efficacy with once daily dosing

Purpose

* To evaluate in a post-hoc analysis the reduction of ocular inflammation to 0 or trace anterior chamber inflammation of advanced formulation, low-concentration, bromfenac ophthalmic solution dosed once daily compared to placebo following cataract surgery in 2 integrated clinical trials.

		nnianfation				
(phacoemulsification or extrac	apsular) with PCIOL III		0	0	0	Complete absence
Screening Phase: Days -8 to -	-1	3				
 Subjects were assigned to re ophthalmic solution or place 	eceive either bromfen: ebo dosed QD	ac	1	1-5 cells (trace)	-	-
 Subjects must have met incl eligible for clinical trial Primary efficacy endpoint w 	lusion and exclusion ci as clearance of ocular	riteria to be	2	6-15	1	Very slight (barely visible)
inflammation [Summed Ocu 0] by day 15 Secondary officacy and point	ilar Inflammation Scor	re (SOIS) =	3	16-25	2	Moderate (iris and lens clear)
trace inflammation (SOIS=)	0-0.5)		4	26-50	3	Marked (iris and lens hazy)
-	₽ -		5	> 50	4	Intense (fibrin clot)
Treatment Phase: Day -1 to D	Day 15		100			
•Subjects began dosing on Day •Subjects returned to the office	y -1 (~ 24 hours before te on Day 1 for evaluat	e surgery) ion of		 Bromfenac 0. 	07% (n=	:222)
safety and efficacy •Subjects returned to the office	e on Day 3±1 for evalu	uation of	5 90 -	~∞~ Placebo (n=2	18)	
safety and efficacy •Subjects returned to the office	e on Day 8±1 for evalu	uation of	nati	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
		······································	2 80 -			*
•Discontinued test agent on da	av 14 and subjects retr	urned to the	a			71.21
safety and efficacy •Discontinued test agent on da office on Day 15±1 for evaluat	ay 14 and subjects retu tion of safety and effic	urned to the acy	Inflan			71.2
satety and efficacy •Discontinued test agent on da office on Day 15±1 for evaluat	ay 14 and subjects retu tion of safety and effic	urned to the acy	ace Inflan		÷	71.2
safety and efficacy ·Discontinued test agent on da office on Day 15±1 for evaluat	ay 14 and subjects retu tion of safety and effic	urned to the acy	j Trace Inflan 0 - 02		, 55.4	71.2 4
safety and efficacy - Discontinued test agent on da office on Day 15±1 for evaluat	ay 14 and subjects retu tion of safety and effic	urned to the acy	ving Trace Inflan		55.4	71.2 4
safety and efficacy - Discontinued test agent on da office on Day 15±1 for evaluat 	ay 14 and subjects retu tion of safety and effic	urned to the acy	chieving Trace Inflan 09 04 04		55.4	71.2 4
safety and efficacy - Discontinued test agent on da office on Day 15±1 for evaluat 	ay 14 and subjects retu tion of safety and effic The safety and effic r 7+3 Days After Final Tice on Day 22+3 or 7+ It for termination evalu	urned to the acy I Dose 3 days after uation	s Achieving Trace Inflan		55 ©	4
safety and efficacy - Discontinued test agent on da office on Day 15±1 for evaluat 	ay 14 and subjects retu tion of safety and effic 	urned to the acy Dose -3 days after uation	jects Achieving Trace Inflan 6 6 8 8 2	27.0	55.4 Ø	71.2 4 30.4
safety and efficacy - Discontinued test agent on da office on Day 1511 for evaluat 	ay 14 and subjects retu tion of safety and effic 	urned to the acy Dose -3 days after uation	Subjects Achieving Trace Inflan 00 06 00 00	27.9	55.	71.2 4 39.4
safety and efficacy office on Day 1511 for evaluat	ay 14 and subjects retu tion of safety and effic tr 7+3 Days After Final Tice on Day 22+3 or 7+ tt for termination evalu	urned to the acy 1 Dose -3 days after uation	t of Subjects Achieving Trace Inflan	27.9	55.	71.2 4 39.4
salety and efficacy - Discontinued test agent on da office on Day 1511 for evaluat 	and subjects retuined of safety and efficient of safety and efficient of safety and efficient of the safety of the	umed to the acy Dose -3 days after uation	cent of Subjects Achieving Trace Inflan 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	27.9 6. surray	55 °	71.2 4 39.4 3
safety and efficacy office on Day 1511 for evaluat	and subjects returned of the safety and efficient of safety and efficient of the safety and efficient of the safety of the safet	umed to the acy Dose -3 days after uation	Percent of Subjects Achieving Trace Inflan OP 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	27.9 6 13.8	55 °	71.2 4 39.4 3
salety and efficacy - Discontinued test agent on da office on Day 15±1 for evaluat 	y 14 and subjects ret tion of safety and effic 	umed to the acy Dose -3 days after uation	Percent of Subjects Achieving Trace Inflan	27.9 6 13.8 0	55. • •	71.2 4 3 3 ********************************
salety and efficacy - Discontinued test agent on da office on Day 15±1 for evaluat 	y 14 and subjects ret tion of safety and effic tion of safety and effic r 7+3 Days After Final Tice on Day 22+3 or 7+ t for termination evalu and the safety and the safety and the safety and t	umed to the acy	Percent of Subjects Achieving Trace Inflan	27.9 6 13.8 0 3	55., ° ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	71.2 4 39.4 3 *P < 0.001 15
salety and efficacy - Discontinued test agent on da office on Day 15±1 for evaluat 	A (10,70)	umed to the acy	Percent of Subjects Achieving Trace Inflan	27.9 6 13.8 0 3	55 ° 24	712 4 39.4 3 *P < 0.001 15

Percent Compliance	Bromfenac (n = 222)	Placebo (n = 218)	
Mean ¹	91.21%	75.98%	
Early Discontinuations			
Subjects who discontinued test agent early	34 (15.3%)	96 (44.0%	
Due to lack of efficacy	7 (3.2%)	52 (23.9%	
010101 000 . 200 CTTTTT X02 TT100, UT071 000, 01071			
	Bromfenac	Placebo	
Adverse Event	Bromfenac (n = 212)	Placebo (n = 204	
Adverse Event Subjects reporting an AE affecting the study eye or both eyes	Bromfenac (n = 212) 14 (6.6%)	Placebo (n = 204 43 (21.1°	
Adverse Event Subjects reporting an AE affecting the study eye or both eyes Eye Pain	Bromfenac (n = 212) 14 (6.6%) 6 (2.8%)	Placebo (n = 204 43 (21.1° 16 (7.8%	
Adverse Event Subjects reporting an AE affecting the study eye or both eyes Eye Pain Anterior chamber inflammation	Bromfenac (n = 212) 14 (6.6%) 6 (2.8%) 5 (2.4%)	Placebo (n = 204 43 (21.1° 16 (7.8% 11 (5.4%	
Adverse Event Subjects reporting an AE affecting the study eye or both eyes Eye Pain Anterior chamber inflammation Conjunctival hyperemia	Bromfenac (n = 212) 14 (6.6%) 6 (2.8%) 5 (2.4%) 2 (0.9%)	Placebo (n = 204 43 (21.1° 16 (7.8% 11 (5.4% 8 (3.9%	
Adverse Event Subjects reporting an AE affecting the study eye or both eyes Eye Pain Anterior chamber inflammation Conjunctival hyperemia Photophobia	Bromfenac (n = 212) 14 (6,6%) 6 (2,8%) 5 (2,4%) 2 (0,9%) 1 (0.5%)	Placeb (n = 20) 43 (21.14 16 (7.89) 11 (5.49) 8 (3.9% 8 (3.9%)	
Adverse Event Subjects reporting an AE affecting the study eye or both eyes Eye Pain Anterior chamber inflammation Conjunctival hyperemia Photophobia Corneal edema	Bromienac (n = 212) 14 (6.6%) 6 (2.8%) 5 (2.4%) 2 (0.9%) 1 (0.5%) 1 (0.5%)	Placeb (n = 20) 43 (21.14 16 (7.8% 11 (5.4% 8 (3.9% 8 (3.9% 5 (2.5%)	
Adverse Event Subjects reporting an AE affecting the study eye or both eyes Eye Pain Anterior chamber inflammation Conjunctival hyperemia Photophobia Corneal edema Lacrimation increased	Bromfenac (n = 212) 14 (6.6%) 6 (2.8%) 5 (2.4%) 2 (0.9%) 1 (0.5%) 1 (0.5%) 1 (0.5%)	Placebr (n = 20) 43 (21.1) 16 (7.8% 11 (5.4% 8 (3.9% 8 (3.9% 5 (2.5% 5 (2.5%	
Adverse Event Subjects reporting an AE affecting the study eye or both eyes Eye Pain Anterior chamber inflammation Conjunctival hyperemia Photophobia Corneal edema Lacrimation increased Foreign body sensation	Bromlenac (n = 212) 14 (6.6%) 6 (2.8%) 5 (2.4%) 2 (0.9%) 1 (0.5%) 1 (0.5%) 1 (0.5%) 0 (0.5%)	Placebo (n = 20) 43 (21.1° 16 (7.8°) 11 (5.4°) 8 (3.9% 8 (3.9% 5 (2.5% 5 (2.5% 5 (2.5%) 5 (2.5%)	

Cystold Maeniar Sdome (CME)/Mecular Edema (ME) * The incidence of CME/ME was 0.5% (1/212) in the bromfer group compared with 2.0% (4/204) in the placebo group.

Conclusions

Advanced formulation, low-concentrati bromfenac ophthalmic solution dosed once da effectively and safely reduced ocul inflammation associated with cataract surgery

Once daily bromfenac ophthalmic soluti 0.07% was approved on April 5th, 2013 by t U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) **PROLENSA™**⁶

References

- Brom day^w [package insert], Irvine, CA: ISTA Pharm aceuticals, Inc.; 2010. Brown HA, Taylor P. In: Hardman JG, Limbird LE, Molinoff Pa, et al eds. Goodman and Giliman' *Pharmacological Basis of Tharagevalues*. 9th ed. New York: McGrav-Hill 1906:141-60. Baklayan GA, Patterson HM, Song CX, et al. J *Otalar Pharmacol Tharageutics* 2008;24:392-8. Hondreson BA, Qaton JA, Chandler SP et al. *Optharmology*. 2011;18:2120-7.
- Donnenfeld ED, Donnenfeld A. Int Ophthalmol Clin. 2006;46:21-40. PROLENSA™ [package insert]. Irvine, CA: Bausch & Lomb, Inc.; 2013

Tinencial support: Bausch & Lomb, Inc., Irvine, CA, USA Financial disdosures: J.A. Gow and S.P. Chandre are employees of Bausch & Lomb, Inc. D. Boyoe, H.J. Refer, R. Berry, and J.T. Dac are consultants for Bausch & Lomb Inc.

SENJU EXHIBIT 2224

LUPIN v. SENJU

IPR2015-01097



Contact inform

Clinical Affairs, Bausch & Lo 50 Technology Drive, Irvine, C

PROL0280

Presented at the 85th Annual Meeting of The Association for Research

Methods

center study

Study Design and Subjects

placebo group)at 39 clinical sites

* Phase 3, placebo-controlled, randomized, double-masked, multi-

* 440 subjects randomized (222 in the bromfenac group, 218 in the

Page 1 of 1