

Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 23 (1997) 3-25



Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings

Christopher A. Lipinski*, Franco Lombardo, Beryl W. Dominy, Paul J. Feeney

Central Research Division, Pfizer Inc., Groton, CT 06340, USA

Received 9 August 1996; accepted 14 August 1996

Abstract

Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in discovery and development settings are described. In the discovery setting 'the rule of 5' predicts that poor absorption or permeation is more likely when there are more than 5 H-bond donors, 10 H-bond acceptors, the molecular weight (MWT) is greater than 500 and the calculated Log P (CLogP) is greater than 5 (or MlogP>4.15). Computational methodology for the rule-based Moriguchi Log P (MLogP) calculation is described. Turbidimetric solubility measurement is described and applied to known drugs. High throughput screening (HTS) leads tend to have higher MWT and Log P and lower turbidimetric solubility than leads in the pre-HTS era. In the development setting, solubility calculations focus on exact value prediction and are difficult because of polymorphism. Recent work on linear free energy relationships and Log P approaches are critically reviewed. Useful predictions are possible in closely related analog series when coupled with experimental thermodynamic solubility measurements.

Keywords: Rule of 5; Computational alert; Poor absorption or permeation; MWT; MLogP; H-Bond donors and acceptors; Turbidimetric solubility; Thermodynamic solubility; Solubility calculation

Contents

Introduction	4
. The drug discovery setting	4
2.1. Changes in drug leads and physico-chemical properties	4
2.2. Factors affecting physico-chemical lead profiles	5
2.3. Identifying a library with favorable physico-chemical properties	6
2.4. The target audience — medicinal chemists	7
2.5. Calculated properties of the 'USAN' library	7
2.6. The 'rule of 5' and its implementation	8
2.7. Orally active drugs outside the 'rule of 5' mnemonic and biologic transporters	9
2.8. High MWT USANs and the trend in MLogP	9
2.8. High MWT USANs and the trend in MLogP 2.9. New chemical entities, calculations	10
	10
	10
	12
2.13. The rationale for measuring drug solubility in a discovery setting	13
2.14. Drugs have high turbidimetric solubility	14

0169-409X/97/\$32.00 Convright © 1997 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved



^{*}Corresponding author. Tel: +1 860 4413561; e-mail: LIPINSKI@PFIZER.COM.

	2.15. High throughput screening hits, calculations and solubility measurements	14
	2.16. The triad of potency, solubility and permeability	15
	2.17. Protocols for measuring drug solubility in a discovery setting	15
	2.18. Technical considerations and signal processing.	16
3.	Calculation of absorption parameters	17
	3.1. Overall approach	17
	3.2. MLogP. Log P by the method of Moriguchi	17
	3.3. MLogP calculations	18
4.	The development setting: prediction of aqueous thermodynamic solubility	18
	4.1. General considerations	18
	4.2. LSERs and TLSER methods	19
	4.3. LogP and AQUAFAC methods	21
	4.4. Other calculation methods	22
5.	Conclusion	23
R	eferences	24

1. Introduction

This review presents distinctly different but complementary experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and drug development settings. In the discovery setting, we describe an experimental approach to turbidimetric solubility measurement as well as computational approaches to absorption and permeability. The absence of discovery experimental approaches to permeation measurements reflects the authors' experience at Pfizer Central Research. Accordingly, the balance of poor solubility and poor permeation as a cause of absorption problems may be significantly different at other drug discovery locations, especially if chemistry focuses on peptidiclike compounds. This review deals only with solubility and permeability as barriers to absorption. Intestinal wall active transporters and intestinal wall metabolic events that influence the measurement of drug bioavailability are beyond the scope of this review. We hope to spark lively debate with our hypothesis that changes in recent years in medicinal chemistry physical property profiles may be the result of leads generated through high throughput screening. In the development setting, computational approaches to estimate solubility are critically reviewed based on current computational solubility coord and avanimental collability maccuramenta

2. The drug discovery setting

2.1. Changes in drug leads and physico-chemical properties

In recent years, the sources of drug leads in the pharmaceutical industry have changed significantly. From about 1970 on, what were considered at that time to be large empirically-based screening programs became less and less important in the drug industry as the knowledge base grew for rational drug design [1]. Leads in this era were discovered using both in vitro and primary in vivo screening assays and came from sources other than massive primary in vitro screens. Lead sources were varied coming from natural products; clinical observations of drug side effects [1]; published unexamined patents; presentations and posters at scientific meetings; published reports in scientific journals and collaborations with academic investigators. Most of these lead sources had the common theme that the 'chemical lead' already had undergone considerable scientific investigation prior to being identified as a drug lead. From a physical property viewpoint, the most poorly behaved compounds in an analogue series were eliminated and most often the starting lead was in a range of physical properties consistent with the previous historical record of discovering arallu aativa aamnaunda



This situation changed dramatically about 1989-1991. Prior to 1989, it was technically unfeasible to screen for in vitro activity across hundreds of thousands of compounds, the volume of random screening required to efficiently discover new leads. With the advent of high throughput screening in the 1989-1991 time period, it became technically feasible to screen hundreds of thousands of compounds across in vitro assays [2-4]. Combinatorial chemistry soon began and allowed automated synthesis of massive numbers of compounds for screening in the new HTS screens. The process was accelerated by the rapid progress in molecular genetics which made possible the expression of animal and human receptor subtypes in cells lacking receptors that might interfere with an assay and by the construction of receptor constructs to facilitate signal detection. The screening of very large numbers of compounds necessitated a radical departure from the traditional method of drug solubilization. Compounds were no longer solubilized in aqueous media under thermodynamic equilibrating conditions. Rather, compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as stock solutions, typically at about 20-30 mmol and then were serially diluted into 96-well plates for assays (perhaps with some non ionic surfactant to improve solubility). In this paradigm, even very insoluble drugs could be tested because the kinetics of compound crystallization determined the apparent 'solubility' level. Moreover, compounds could partition into assay components such as membrane particulate material or cells or could bind to protein attached to the walls of the wells in the assay plate. The net effect was a screening technology for compounds in the µM concentration range that was largely divorced from the compounds true aqueous thermodynamic solubility. The apparent 'solubility' in the HTS screen is always higher, sometimes dramatically so, than the true thermodynamic solubility achieved by equilibration of a well characterized solid with aqueous media. The in vitro HTS testing process is quite reproducible and potential problems related to poor compound solubility are

often compensated for by the follow-up to the primary screen. This is typically a more careful, more labor-intensive process of in vitro retesting to determine IC50s from dose response curves with more attention paid to solubilization. The net result of all these testing changes is that in vitro activity is reliably detected in compounds with very poor thermodynamic solubility properties. A corollary result is that the measurement of the true thermodynamic aqueous solubility is not very relevant to the screening manner in which leads are detected.

2.2. Factors affecting physico-chemical lead profiles

The physico-chemical profile of current leads i.e. the 'hits' in HTS screens now no longer depends on compound solubility sufficient for in vivo activity but depends on: (1) the medicinal chemistry principles relating structure to in vitro activity; (2) the nature of the HTS screen; (3) the physico-chemical profile of the compound set being screened and (4) to human decision making, both overt and hidden as to the acceptability of compounds as starting points for medicinal chemistry structure activity relationship (SAR) studies.

One of the most reliable methods in medicinal chemistry to improve in vitro activity is to incorporate properly positioned lipophilic groups. For example, addition of a single methyl group that can occupy a receptor 'pocket' improves binding by about 0.7 kcal/mol [6]. By way of contrast, it is generally difficult to improve in vitro potency by manipulation of the polar groups that are involved in ionic receptor interactions. The interaction of a polar group in a drug with solvent versus interaction with the target receptor is a 'wash' unless positioning of the polar group in the drug is precise. The traditional lore is that the lead has the polar groups in the correct (or almost correct) position and that in vitro potency is improved by correctly positioned lipophilic groups that occupy receptor pockets. Polar groups in the drug that are not required for binding can be tolerated if they occupy solvent space but they do not add to receptor binding. The net effect of these simple medicinal chemistry principles is that, other factors being equal, compounds with correctly

¹A search through SciSearch and Chemical Abstracts for references to combinatorial chemistry in titles or descriptors using the truncated terms COMBIN? and CHEMISTR? gave the following number of references respectively: 1990, 0 and 0; 1991, 2 and 1;

detectable in HTS screens if they are larger and more lipophilic.

The nature of the screen determines the physicochemical profile of the resultant 'hits'. The larger the number of hits that are detected, the more the physico-chemical profile of the 'hits' resembles the overall compound set being screened. Technical factors such as the design of the screen and human cultural factors such as the stringency of the evaluation as to what is a suitable lead worth are major determinants of the physico-chemical profiles of the eventual leads. Screens designed with very high specificity, for example many receptor based assays, generate small numbers of hits in the µM range. In these types of screens the signal is easy to detect against background noise, the hits are few or can be made few by altering potency criteria and the physico-chemical profiles tend towards more lipophilic, larger, less soluble compounds. Tight control of the criteria for activity detection in the initial HTS screen minimizes labor-intensive secondary evaluation and minimizes the effect of human biases. The downside is that lower potency hits with more favorable physico-chemical property profiles may be discarded.

Cell-based assays, by their very nature tend to produce more 'hits' than receptor-based screens. These types of assays monitor a functional event, for example a change in the level of a signaling intermediate or the expression level of M-RNA or protein. Multiple mechanisms may lead to the measured end point and only a few of these mechanisms may be desirable. This leads to a larger number of hits and therefore their physico-chemical profile will more closely resemble that of the compound set being screened. Perhaps, equally importantly, a larger volume of secondary evaluation allows for a greater expression of human bias. Bias is especially difficult to quantify in the chemists perception of a desirable lead structure.

The physico-chemical profile of the compound set being screened is the first filter in the physicochemical profile of an HTS 'hit'. Obviously high molecular weight, high lipophilicity compounds will not be detected by a screen if they are not present in the library. In the real world, trade-offs occur in the choice of profiles for compound sets. An exclusively low molecular weight, low lipophilicity library likely

Alan differente of decarious blice have at

plifies the process of discovering an orally active drug once the lead is identified. The converse is true of a high molecular weight high lipophilicity library. In our experience, commercially available (non combinatorial) compounds like those available from chemical supply houses tend towards lower molecular weights and lipophilicities.

Human decision making, both overt and hidden can play a large part in the profile of HTS 'hits'. For example, a requirement that 'hits' possess an acceptable range of measured or calculated physico-chemical properties will obviously affect the starting compound profiles for medicinal chemistry SAR. Less obvious are hidden biases. Are the criteria for a 'hit' changing to higher potency (lower IC50) as the HTS screen runs? Labor-intensive secondary followup is decreased but less potent, perhaps physicochemically more attractive leads, may be eliminated. How do chemists react to potential lead structures? In an interesting experiment, we presented a panel of our most experienced medicinal chemists with a group of theoretical lead structures — all containing literature 'toxic' moieties. Our chemists split into two very divergent groups; those who saw the toxic moieties as a bar to lead pursuit and those who recognized the toxic moiety but thought they might be able to replace the offending moiety. An easy way to illustrate the complexity of the chemists perception of lead attractiveness is to examine the remarkably diverse structures of the new chemical entities (NCEs) introduced to market that appear at the back of recent volumes of Annual Reports in Medicinal Chemistry. No single pharmaceutical company can conduct research in all therapeutic areas and so some of these compounds, which are all marketed drugs, will inevitably be less familiar and potentially less desirable to the medicinal chemist at one research location, but may be familiar and desirable to a chemist at another research site.

2.3. Identifying a library with favorable physicochemical properties

The idea in selecting a library with good absorption properties is to use the clinical Phase II selection process as a filter. Drug development is expensive and the most poorly behaved compounds are weeded out early. Our hypothesis was that poorer physico-



compounds that enter into and fail to survive preclinical stages and Phase I safety evaluation. We expected that the most insoluble and poorly permeable compounds would have been eliminated in those compounds that survived to enter Phase II efficacy studies. We could use the presence of United States Adopted Name (USAN) or International Non-proprietary Name (INN) names to identify compounds entering Phase II since most drug companies (including Pfizer) apply for these names at entry to Phase II.

The (WDI) World Drug Index is a very large computerized database of about 50 000 drugs from the Derwent Co. The process used to select a subset of 2245 compounds from this database that are likely to have superior physico-chemical properties is as follows: From the 50 427 compounds in the WDI File, 7894 with a data field for a USAN name were selected as were 6320 with a data field for an INN. From the two lists, 8548 compounds had one or both USAN or INN names. These were searched for a data field 'indications and usage' suggesting clinical exposure, resulting in 3704 entries. From the 3704 using a substructure data field we eliminated 1176 compounds with the text string 'POLY', 87 with the text string 'PEPTIDE' and 101 with the text string 'QUAT'. Also eliminated were 53 compounds containing the fragment O = P-O. We coined the term 'USAN' library for this collection of drugs.

2.4. The target audience — medicinal chemists

Having identified a library of drugs selected by the economics of entry to the Phase II process we sought to identify calculable parameters for that library that were likely related to absorption or permeability. Our approach and choice of parameters was dictated by very pragmatic considerations. We wanted to set up an absorption-permeability alert procedure to guide our medicinal chemists. Keeping in mind our target audience of organic chemists we wanted to focus on the chemists very strong pattern recognition and chemical structure recognition skills. If our target audience had been pharmaceutical scientists we would not have deliberately excluded equations or regression coefficients. Experience had taught us that a focus on the chemists very strong skills in pattern recognition and their outstanding chemistry structural recognition skills was likely to enhance information hanced educational effectiveness towards a well defined target audience at the expense of a loss of detail. Tailoring the message to the audience is a basic communications principle. One has only to look at the popular chemistry abstracting booklets with their page after page of chemistry structures and minimal text to appreciate the chemists structural recognition skills. We believe that our chemists have accepted our calculations at least in part because the calculated parameters are very readily visualized structurally and are presented in a pattern recognition format.

2.5. Calculated properties of the 'USAN' library

Molecular weight (formula weight in the case of a salt) is an obvious choice because of the literature relating poorer intestinal and blood brain barrier permeability to increasing molecular weight [7,8] and the more rapid decline in permeation time as a function of molecular weight in lipid bi-layers as opposed to aqueous media [9]. The molecular weights of compounds in the 2245 USANs were lower than those in the whole 50 427 WDI data set. In the USAN set 11% had MWTs > 500 compared to 22% in the entire data set. Compounds with MWT > 600 were present at 8% in the USAN set compared to 14% in the entire data set. This difference is not explainable by the elimination of the very high MWTs in the USAN selection process. Rather it reflects the fact that higher MWT compounds are in general less likely to be orally active than lower MWTs.

Lipophilicity expressed as a ratio of octanol solubility to aqueous solubility appears in some form in almost every analysis of physico-chemical properties related to absorption [10]. The computational problem is that an operationally useful computational alert to possible absorption—permeability problems must have a no fail log P calculation. In our experience, the widely used and accurate Pomona College Medicinal Chemistry program applied to our compound file failed to provide a calculated log P (CLogP) value because of missing fragments for at least 25% of compounds. The problem is not an inordinate number of 'strange fragments' in our chemistry libraries but rather lies in the direction of the trade off between accuracy and ability to calcu-



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

