Paper No	
Filed: August 13,	2015

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE DRUGS VI LLC

PETITIONER

V.

CELGENE CORPORATION

PATENT OWNER

CASE NO.: IPR2015-01096 PATENT NO. 6,315,720 FILED: OCTOBER 23, 2000 ISSUED: NOVEMBER 13, 2001

INVENTORS: BRUCE A. WILLIAMS, JOSEPH K. KAMINSKI

TITLE: METHODS FOR DELIVERING A DRUG TO A PATIENT WHILE AVOIDING THE OCCURRENCE OF AN ADVERSE SIDE EFFECT KNOWN OR SUSPECTED OF BEING CAUSED BY THE DRUG

OPPOSITION TO PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(6) AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.12



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Statement of Material Facts	3
III.	Response to Patent Owner's "Relevant Facts"	5
	Argument	
	A. The Petitions are proper under the AIA and serve public interests	
В	B. Neither the Petition nor RPI abused or improperly used process	9
C	C. The Board cannot dismiss petitions prior to institution as a sanction	14
V	Conclusion	15



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Abbott Labs. v. Brennan, 952 F.2d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	13
Baker Driveaway Co. v. Bankhead Enterprises, Inc., 478 F. Supp. 857	
(E.D. Mich. 1979)	
Cooper Techs. Co. v. Dudas, 536 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	15
Earl v. Winne, 34 N.J. Super. 606 (Law Div. 1955)	6
Edward Katzinger Co. v. Chicago Metallic Mfg., 329 U.S. 394 (1947)	9
Houlahan v. WorldWide, 677 F. Supp. 2d 195 (D.D.C. 2010)	10, 11, 12
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. JP Morgan Chase & Co., 781 F.3d	
1372 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	14, 15
Lear v. Adkins, 395 U.S. 653 (1969)	1, 9
Morowitz v. Marvel, 423 A.2d 196 (D.C. 1980)	11
Nader v. Democratic Nat'l Comm., 555 F. Supp. 2d (D.D.C. 2008)	10, 12, 13
Neumann v. Vidal, 710 F.2d 856 (D.C. Cir. 1983)	10
Prof'l Real Estate Investors, Inc. v. Columbia Pictures Indus., 508	
U.S. 49 (1993)	13
Scott v. District of Columbia, 101 F.3d 748 (D.C. Cir. 1996)	11
SmithKline Beecham Corp. v. Apotex Corp., 403 F.3d 1131 (Fed. Cir.	
2005)	9
State v. Roth, 289 N.J. Super. 152 (1996)	6
Tedards v. Auty, 232 N.J. Super. 541 (App. Div. 1989)	6
U.S. v. Pendergraft, 297 F.3d 1198 (11th Cir. 2002)	6
Federal Communications Commission	
5 FCC Rcd. 3911 (1999)	10
U.S. Code	
35 U.S.C. § 316	14
37 C.F.R. § 42.1(d)	12



TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
1026	Orange Book Drug Product Listing Corresponding to the '501 Patent, as published by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration on August 4, 2015
1027	Orange Book Drug Product Listing Corresponding to U.S. Patent No. 6,315,720, as published by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration on August 4, 2015
1028	Pricing Information for Revlimid® (lenalidomide) capsules from "Information for Vermont Prescribers of Prescription Drugs," from Medi-Span and Celgene Corporation (July 1, 2015)
1029	Pricing Information for Thalomid® (thalidomide) capsules from "Information for Vermont Prescribers of Prescription Drugs," from Medi-Span and Celgene Corporation (July 1, 2015)
1030	Pricing Information for Pomalyst® (pomalidomide) capsules from "Information for Vermont Prescribers of Prescription Drugs," from Medi-Span and Celgene Corporation (July 1, 2015)
1031	Celgene Corp. v. Barr Laboratories, Inc. et al., Complaint, filed January 18, 2007, U.S. Dist. Ct. New Jersey, Case No.: 2:33-av-00001
1032	Celgene Corp. v. Natco Pharma Ltd., U.S. Dist. Ct. New Jersey, Complaint, Case No: 2:10-cv-05197-SDW-SCM
1033	Celgene Corp. v. Lannett Holdings Inc., et al., Complaint, U.S. Dist. Ct. New Jersey, Case No. 2:15-cv-00697-SDW-SCM
1034	Celgene v. Barr, Stipulated Dismissal, dated and filed May 21, 2010, in U.S. Dist. Ct. New Jersey Case No. 2:07-cv-00286-SDW-MCA
1035	International Union of Bricklayers and Allied Craft Workers Local 1 Health Fund v. Celgene Corp., Class Action Antitrust Complaint, filed November 7, 2014, U.S. Dist. Ct. New Jersey, 2:14-cv-06997-KSH-CLW



Exhibit No.	Description
1036	Celgene Letter Motion dated September 3, 2014, to Bifurcate and Stay Expert discovery re: REMS Patents, in <i>Celgene Corp. v Natco Pharma Ltd.</i> , U.S. Dist. Ct. New Jersey, Case No: 2:10-cv-05197-SDW-SCM
1037	October 24, 2014, Court Order granting Celgene Motion to Bifurcate and Stay Expert discovery re: REMS Patents, in <i>Celgene</i> <i>Corp. v Natco Pharma Ltd.</i> , U.S. Dist. Ct. New Jersey, Case No: 2:10-cv-05197-SDW-SCM
1038	Orange Book Patents Corresponding to Celgene's Thalomid® (thalidomide) capsules, as published by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration on August 6, 2015
1039	Orange Book Patents Corresponding to Celgene's Revlimid® (lenalidomide) capsules, as published by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration on August 6, 2015
1040	Orange Book Patents Corresponding to Celgene's Pomalyst® (pomalidomide) capsules, as published by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration on August 6, 2015
1041	To Promote Innovation: The Proper Balance of Competition and Patent Law and Policy—A Report by the Federal Trade Commission October 2003
1042	"Evergreening: A Deceptive Device in Patent Rights," Gaurav Dwivedi et al., Tech. in Society (2010) 32:324–30
1043	"Pay-for-Delay: How Drug Company Pay-Offs Cost Consumers Billions," FTC Staff Study January 2010
1044	May 28, 2015 Statement of the Federal Trade Commission on FTC v. Cephalon, Inc.
1045	"The Impact of Exempting the Pharmaceutical Industry from Patent Reviews," Dean Baker, Center for Economic and Policy Research (July 2015)
1046	Declaration of Juan (Julie) Wu, Ph.D., M.S. ("Wu Decl.")
1047	Review of Recent Judicial Decisions on Patent Law: Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Intellectual Property, Competition, and the Internet of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 112 th Cong. 29 (2011)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

