IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT AND TRIAL APPEAL BOARD

INITIATIVE FOR RESPONSIBILITY IN DRUG PRICING, LLC Petitioner

v.

CELGENE CORPORATION Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 6,315,720 to Williams *et al*.

Issue Date: November 13, 2001

Title: Methods For Delivering A Drug To A Patient While Avoiding The Occurrence Of An Adverse Side Effect Known Or Suspected

Of Being Caused By The Drug

Inter Partes Review No. Unassigned

Petition For *Inter Partes* Review Of U.S. Patent No. 6,315,720 Under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-.80, 42.100-.123

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



Table Of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	OVERVIEW	1
III	. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)); PROCEDURAL STATEMENTS	
IV	. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))	6
V.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS THEREFOR (37 C.F.R. §42.22(A))	9
VI	. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,315,720	.10
VI	I. PROSECUTION HISTORY	.15
VI	II.CLAIM TERMS REQUIRING CONSTRUCTION	.19
IX	. IDENTIFICATION OF THE GROUNDS FOR CHALLENGE (37 C.F. § 42.104(B))	
A	A. The Petition Establishes A Reasonable Likelihood That At Least One Challenged Claim Is Obvious	27
	(i) Challenge 1: Claims 1-32	.30
	(iii) Challenge 2: Claims 1-32	.48
X.	CONCLUSION	.59
CE	ERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	61



Table of Authorities

Cases

Al-Site Corp. v. VSI Int'l, Inc., 174 F.3d 1308, 1323-24 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	26
Corning Glass Works v. Sumitomo Elec. U.S.A., Inc., 868 F.2d 1251, 1257 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	32
Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	2, 28
In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr., 367 F.3d 1359, 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	18
In re GPAC Inc., 57 F.3d 1573, 1578 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	26
Innova/Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Systems, Inc., 381 F.3d 1111, 1116 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	18
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	26, 27
Multiform Desiccants, Inc. v. Medzam, Ltd., 133 F.3d 1473, 1477 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	18
Nat'l Steel Car, Ltd. v. Canadian Pac. Ry., Ltd., 357 F.3d 1319, 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	26
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	18
State Contracting & Eng. Corp. v. Condotte America Inc., 346 F.3d 1057, 1069 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	26
Teleflex, Inc. v. Ficosa North America Corp, 299 F. 3d 1313, 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	19



Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	2, 29, 46
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	1, 25, 46
35 U.S.C. §§311-319	1
Other Authorities	
M.P.E.P. § 2111.01 (IV)	19
M.P.E.P. § 2111.02	32
M.P.E.P. § 2141	27
M.P.E.P. §2143.	28
W.IE.I . 82145.	(1
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766	(Aug. 14, 2012)18
	(Aug. 14, 2012)18
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766	
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766 Rules	6
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766 Rules 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)	6
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766 Rules 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)	6
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766 Rules 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)	6
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766 Rules 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)	
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766 Rules 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)	6 25 1 28
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766 Rules 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c) 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(d) 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	6 25 1 28 6
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766 Rules 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)	



Exhibits

- Exhibit 1001 U.S. Patent No. 6,315,720
- Exhibit 1002 Powell et al., Guideline for the clinical use and dispensing of thalidomide, <u>Postgrad. Med. J.</u> 70:901 (1994)
- Exhibit 1003, Office Action, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/694,217, January 18, 2001, Paper 2
- Exhibit 1004 Amendment, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/694,217, March 23, 2001
- Exhibit 1005 Amendment, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/694,217, June 25, 2001
- Exhibit 1006 Dishman et al., Pharmacists' role in clozapine therapy at a Veterans Affairs medical center, Am. J. Hosp. Pharm 51: 899 (1994)
- Exhibit 1007 Bastani et al., Development of the Clozaril Patient Management System, <u>Psychopharmacology</u> 99:S122 (1989)
- Exhibit 1008(a) and (b) The 47th Meeting of the Dermatologic and Ophthalmic Advisory Board (September 4-5, 1997, (the "FDA Meeting")
- Exhibit 1009 CDC Meeting, Centers for Disease Control, Preventing Birth Defects, March 26, 1997
- Exhibit 1010 Mitchell et al., A Pregnancy-Prevention Program in Women of Childbearing Age Receiving Isotrertinoin, New England J. Med. 333(2):101 (1995)
- Exhibit 1011 Honigfeld, Effects of the Clozapine National Registry System on Incidence of Deaths Related to Agranulocytosis, <u>Psychiatric Services</u> 47:52 (1996)
- Exhibit 1012 Declaration Matthew W. Davis M.D. RhP.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

