Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	13/340,522	BUGGY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	UMAMAHESWARI RAMACHANDRAN	1627
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Atty. Uale Taotafa.	(3)	
(2) <u>Uma Ramachandran</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 December 2012.		
Type: ⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal [copy given to: □ applicant □ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:		
Issues Discussed		
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)		
Applicants' representative Atty. Hostetler was contacted for Restriction Election requirement. The examiner appreciates Atty. Taotafa for contacting the examiner on 12/21/2012. Applicants' attorney stated that Applicants would like to elect Group III, a method for treating relapsed or refractory non-hodkins lymphoma in an individual comprising administering Btk inhibitors. Applicants were requested to elect a species for BTK inhibitor. Applicants will respond to the office action (Restriction Election Requirement) by electing a species for Btk Inhibitor.		
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.		
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.		
☐ Attachment		
/UMAMAHESWARI RAMACHANDRAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 1627		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (Rev. 8/11/2010) Interview	Summary	Paper No. 20121221

