Trials@uspto.gov Tel: 571-272-7822 Paper 18 Entered: September 4, 2015

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., Petitioner,

v.

NISSAN CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES, LTD., Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2015-01069 Patent 5,856,336

Before JACQUELINE WRIGHT BONILLA, SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, and TINA E. HULSE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

SNEDDEN, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKET

DECISION Granting Petitioner's Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission of Deepro R. Mukerjee 37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Petitioner moves for *pro hac vice* admission of Deepro R. Mukerjee. Paper 14 ("Motion"). Petitioner provides a declaration from Mr. Mukerjee in support of its Motion. Ex. 1046. Petitioner represents in an e-mail to the Board that Patent Owner does not oppose Petitioner's Motion.

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying declaration from Mr. Mukerjee, we conclude that Mr. Mukerjee has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner and that there is a need for Petitioner to have counsel with experience as a litigation attorney in intellectual property matters involved in these cases. Accordingly, Petitioner has established good cause for Mr. Mukerjee's *pro hac vice* admission. Mr. Mukerjee will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Deepro R. Mukerjee is *granted*, and Mr. Mukerjee is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Mukerjee comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Mukerjee is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq*.

IPR2015-01069 Patent 5,856,336

PETITIONER:

Jitendra Malik jitty.malik@alston.com

Deanne Mazzochi dmazzochi@rmmslegal.com

PATENT OWNER:

David Conlin dgconlin@mintz.com

Kathleen Carr KBCarr@mintz.com