P	aper No.		
Filed:	October	21,	2015

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys Naveen Modi

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1996

Telephone: (202) 551-1996

Telephone: (202) 551-1996

Telephone: (202) 551-1996 Telephone: (202) 551-1990 Facsimile: (202) 551-0496 Facsimile: (202) 551-0490

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND, LTD.,
Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC. Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01047 Patent No. 7,490,151

Patent Owner's Request for Rehearing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)(1)



Table of Contents

I.	INTI	INTRODUCTION AND PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED		
II.	LEGAL STANDARD			
III.	STA	TEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED	2	
	A.	35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2) Requires Consideration of Real Party-in- Interest Issues Prior to Consideration of the Merits of a Petition, as Numerous Other Panels Have Found	3	
	В.	The Office Has Repeatedly Noted the Importance of Determining Real Party-in-Interest Issues During the Preliminary Stage of a Proceeding	6	
IV.	PATENT OWNER SUGGESTS REHEARING BY AN EXPANDED PANEL THAT INCLUDES THE CHIEF JUDGE		7	
V	CON	ICLUSION	Q	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)
Federal Cases
Apple Inc. v. DSS Technology Management, Inc., IPR2015-00369, Paper No. 14 (August 12, 2015)
Google Inc. v. SimpleAir, Inc., CBM2015-00019, Paper No. 15 (Aug. 19, 2015)
In re Guan, Control No. 95/001,045, Decision Vacating Filing Date (Aug. 25, 2008)
Intellectual Ventures Mgmt., LLC v. Xilinx, Inc., IPR2012-00018, Paper No. 12 (Jan. 24, 2013) (designated representative)
Metrics, Inc. v. Senju Pharm. Co., Ltd., IPR2014-01041, Paper No. 19 (Feb. 19, 2015)
Reflectix, Inc. v. Promethean Insulation Tech. LLC, IPR2015-00039, Paper No. 18 (Apr. 24, 2015)
TRW Auto. US LLC v. Magna Elecs., Inc., IPR2014-00293, Paper No. 18 (June 27, 2014) (designated representative) (expanded panel)
Federal Statutes
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2)
Rules and Regulations
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c)
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)
Amendments to the Rules of Practice for Trials Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, 80 Fed. Reg. 161 at 50730 (Aug. 20, 2015)



Case No. IPR2015-01047

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 157 at 48759 (Aug.		
14, 2012)	.6,	7
Standard Operating Procedure 1, Rev. 14 (May 8, 2015)	.7.	8



I. INTRODUCTION AND PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Patent Owner VirnetX Inc. requests rehearing of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's Decision entered October 7, 2015 ("Decision"), instituting an *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,490,151 ("the '151 patent"). As explained in Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (Paper No. 9), the Petition (Paper No. 2) should be denied because it fails to properly name all of the real parties-in-interest ("RPIs"), as required by 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2).

The Decision declined to address the RPI issue at the "preliminary stage" because the "present record does not reflect that Petitioner is precluded from modifying the named real-parties-in-interest to include any of the entities (or subset thereof) cited by Patent Owner [and] the record [does not] indicate that any such modification would result in rendering this proceeding improper." (Decision at 8.) But the Board's findings are contrary to section 312(a)(2), which states that "[a] petition filed under section 311 may be considered *only if* . . . the petition identifies all real parties in interest." As such, the Board should grant this request and deny the Petition.

Moreover, numerous other decisions by the Board have held that section 312(a)(2) permits consideration of a petition *only if* it includes a proper RPI designation. Given the inconsistency between the Decision and the Board's other decisions on this issue, Patent Owner suggests rehearing by an expanded panel that



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

