

NO:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND, LTD.
Petitioner,

v.

VIRNETX INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-_____
Patent U.S. 7,490,151

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO.
7,490,151
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
US Patent and Trademark Office
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	1
C. Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	2
D. Service Information.....	3
II. PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	3
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104	3
A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	3
B. Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested	3
IV. SUMMARY OF THE ‘151 PATENT.....	5
A. Brief Description	5
B. Summary of the Prosecution History of the ‘151 Patent.....	7
C. Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(3)	8
1. “Domain Name”	9
2. “DNS Request”	9
3. “Determining”.....	10
4. “Secure Server”	13
5. “Automatically”	14
6. “Client”	15
7. “Between [A] and [B]”	15
V. MANNER OF APPLYING CITED PRIOR ART TO EVERY CLAIM FOR WHICH AN IPR IS REQUESTED, THUS ESTABLISHING A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘151 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	16
A. [GROUND 1] – Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 1, 2, 6-8, and 12-14.....	16
1. Kiuchi Anticipates Claim 1.....	25
2. Kiuchi Anticipates Claim 7.....	32
3. Kiuchi Anticipates Claim 13.....	33
4. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 2, 8, and 14	35
5. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 6 and 12	36
B. [GROUND 2] – Kiuchi In View of RESCORLA Renders Obvious Claims 1, 2, 6-8, and 12-14	37
C. [GROUND 3] – Kiuchi In View of RFC 1034 Renders Obvious Claims 1, 2, 6-8, and 12-14	42
1. Kiuchi In View of RFC 1034 Renders Obvious Claim 1	46
2. Kiuchi In View of RFC 1034 Renders Obvious Claim 7	52
3. Kiuchi In View of RFC 1034 Renders Obvious Claim 13	53

4. Kiuchi In View of RFC 1034 Renders Obvious Claims 2, 8, and 14
56

5. Kiuchi In View of RFC 1034 Renders Obvious Claims 6 and 12.. 56

D. [GROUND 4] – Kiuchi In View of RFC 1034 and Further In View of
Rescorla Renders Obvious Claims 1, 2, 6-8, and 12-14 57

VI.CONCLUSION 58

EXHIBITS

Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,490,151 to Munger et al. (the "'151 Patent")
Ex. 1002	Takahiro Kiuchi and Shigekoto Kaihara, "C-HTTP - The Development of a Secure, Closed HTTP-based Network on the Internet," published by IEEE in the Proceedings of SNDSS 1996 ("Kiuchi")
Ex. 1003	Declaration of Dr. Roch Guerin
Ex. 1004	E. Rescorla and A. Schiffman, "The Secure Hypertext Transfer Protocol," Internet Draft (Feb. 1996)
Ex. 1005	Mockapetris, P., RFC 1034, "Domain Names—Concepts and Facilities," Nov. 1997
Ex. 1006	Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the '151 Patent
Ex. 1007	Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, Paper 7, in IPR2014-00610
Ex. 1008	Excerpts from Webster's Third New International Dictionary (1971)
Ex. 1009	VirnetX's Reply Claim Construction Brief in <i>VirnetX Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc. et al.</i> , 6:10-cv-417 (Dec. 19, 2011) (E.D. Tex.)
Ex. 1010	Bradner, S., RFC 2026, "The Internet Standards Process – Revision 3," Oct. 1996
Ex. 1011	Decision to Institute <i>Inter Partes</i> Review, Paper 9, in IPR2014-00610 (Oct. 15, 2014)
Ex. 1012	Chatel, M., RFC 1919, "Classical versus Transparent IP Proxies," March 1996
Ex. 1013	Fielding et al., RFC 2068, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol – HTTP/1.1," Jan. 1997
Ex. 1014	Berners-Lee et al., RFC 1945, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol - HTTP/1.0," May 1996
Ex. 1015	(Reserved)
Ex. 1016	(Reserved)
Ex. 1017	(Reserved)
Ex. 1018	(Reserved)
Ex. 1019	(Reserved)
Ex. 1020	(Reserved)
Ex. 1021	(Reserved)
Ex. 1022	(Reserved)

Ex. 1023	(Reserved)
Ex. 1024	E. Rescorla and A. Schiffman, RFC 2660, "The Secure Hypertext Transfer Protocol," Aug. 1999

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.