

Paper No. _____
Filed: March 21, 2016

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1996

Facsimile: (202) 551-0496

E-mail: josephpalys@paulhastings.com

Naveen Modi

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1990

Facsimile: (202) 551-0490

E-mail: naveenmodi@paulhastings.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND, LTD., APPLE INC., and
BLACK SWAMP IP, LLC,
Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01047¹
Patent 7,490,151

Patent Owner's Response

¹ Apple Inc. and Black Swamp IP, LLC, who filed petitions in IPR2016-00063 and IPR2016-00167, respectively, have been joined as a Petitioner in the instant proceeding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....1

II. Claim Construction.....2

 A. “DNS Request” (Claims 1, 7, and 13).....4

 B. “Determining” (Claims 1, 7, 13)6

 C. “Secure Server” (Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 12-14)7

 D. “Client” (Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 12-14).....8

 E. “Between [A] and [B]” (Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 12-14).....10

 F. Other Terms.....11

III. Kiuchi Does Not Anticipate Claims 1, 2, 6-8, and 12-1412

 A. Kiuchi’s Disclosure12

 B. Kiuchi Does Not Anticipate Independent Claim 113

 1. Kiuchi Does Not Disclose the Recited DNS Features.....13

 2. Kiuchi Does Not Disclose a “Determining Whether the Intercepted DNS Request Corresponds to a Secure Server”17

 3. Kiuchi Does Not Disclose a “Automatically Initiating an Encrypted Channel Between the Client and the Secure Server”17

 a) The Theory Advanced by Petitioners Mangrove and Apple Is Deficient.....18

 b) The Theory Advanced by Petitioner Black Swamp Is Deficient.....19

 4. Kiuchi Does Not Disclose a “Domain Name Server (DNS) Proxy Module” that Performs the Recited Claim Steps “for Each Intercepted DNS Request”23

C. Kiuchi Does Not Anticipate Independent Claims 7 and 1324

D. Kiuchi Does Not Anticipate Dependent Claims 2, 6, 8, 12, and 1425

IV. Kiuchi Combined with Rescorla and/or RFC 1034 Do Not Render Obvious Claims 1, 2, 6-8, and 12-14.....27

A. Rescorla and/or RFC 1034 Do Not Remedy the Deficiencies of Kiuchi28

B. Long-Felt Need, Failure of Others, Skepticism, Commercial Success, and Praise and Acceptance by Others Demonstrate Nonobviousness.....29

1. Long-Felt Need29

2. Failure of Others31

3. Skepticism.....32

4. Commercial Success33

5. Praise and Acceptance by Others.....34

6. Nexus Between the Objective Evidence of Nonobviousness and the Claimed Invention35

V. Dr. Guerin’s Testimony Should be Accorded Little, If Any Weight.....36

A. Dr. Guerin Did Not Properly Analyze the Claims36

■ [REDACTED]37

C. Petitioner Black Swamp Was Improperly Joined39

VI. Petitioners Have Failed to Prove All References Are Prior Art.....40

A. Petitioners Do Not Establish by a Preponderance of the Evidence that RFC 1034 or Rescorla Was Publicly Accessible41

B. The Additional Evidence Presented by Petitioner Apple Is Insufficient to Establish by a Preponderance of the Evidence that RFC 1034 Was Publicly Accessible42

1. RFC 103443

2. Rescorla.....44

VII. Review is Barred by 35 U.S.C. §§ 312(a)(2) and 315(b)-(c)45

A. The Petition Filed by Mangrove Fails to Name All of the Real Parties-in-Interest46

1. The Complex Web of Mangrove Entities46

a) The Mangrove Entities47

b) The Unnamed Investors.....50

c) Recent Representations to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.....51

2. RPX Corporation.....52

■ [REDACTED]54

4. Failure to Identify All RPIs Prohibits Review56

B. Review is Barred by 35 U.S.C. § 315(b)-(c).....57

VIII. Conclusion60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Aceto Agricultural Chems. Corp. v. Gowan Co.</i> , IPR2015-01016, Paper No. 15 (Oct. 2, 2015)	56, 57
<i>Apple Inc. v. DSS Technology Management, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00369, Paper No. 9 (June 25, 2015).....	41
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00404, Paper No. 42 (July 29, 2015)	15
<i>Aspex Eyewear, Inc. v. Concepts In Optics, Inc.</i> , 111 F. App'x 582 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	36, 37
<i>Atlanta Gas Light Co. v. Bennett Regulator Guards, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00453, Paper No. 88 (Jan. 6, 2015).....	56
<i>Becton, Dickenson & Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Group, LP</i> , 616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	19
<i>Brand v. Miller</i> , 487 F.3d 862 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	36
<i>Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. Philip Morris Inc.</i> , 229 F.3d 1120 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	33
<i>Bruckelmyer v. Ground Heaters, Inc.</i> , 445 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	40
<i>Butamax Adv. Biofuels LLC v. Gevo, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00581, Paper No. 8 (Oct. 14, 2014)	39
<i>Centricut, LLC v. Esab Group, Inc.</i> , 390 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	36
<i>In re Cuozzo</i> , 793 F.3d 1297 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	4
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , No. 15-446, 2016 WL 205946 (U.S. Jan. 15, 2016).....	4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.