Pa	per No	
Filed:	January 4,	2016

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys Naveen Modi

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Talanhana: (202) 551 1006

Talanhana: (202) 551 1006

Talanhana: (202) 551 1006

Telephone: (202) 551-1996 Telephone: (202) 551-1990 Facsimile: (202) 551-0496 Facsimile: (202) 551-0490

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND, LTD.,
Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC. Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01047 Patent No. 7,490,151

Patent Owner's Request for Rehearing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)(1)



## **Table of Contents**

I.	INT	RODUCTION AND PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II.	LEGAL STANDARD		2
III.	STA	TEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED	2
	A.	The Decision Overlooked the Requirements of an RPI Inquiry	2
	В.	The Decision Overlooked Several Important Facts and Arguments That Should Have Compelled a Finding in Favor of Discovery	6
IV.	PATENT OWNER REQUESTS REHEARING BY AN EXPANDED PANEL THAT INCLUDES THE CHIEF JUDGE		8
V.	CON	ICLUSION	9



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
Atlanta Gas Light Co. v. Bennett Regulator Guards, Inc., IPR2013-00453, Paper No. 88 (Jan. 6, 2015)	4
Galderma S.A. v. Allergan Industrie, SAS, IPR2014-01422, Paper No. 14 (Mar. 5, 2015)	4, 5, 9
Garmin Int'l, Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC, IPR2012-00001, Paper No. 26 (Mar. 5, 2013)	.2, 5, 7, 9
Google Inc. v. SimpleAir, Inc., CBM2015-00019, Paper No. 15 (Aug. 19, 2015)	8
Reflectix, Inc. v. Promethean Insulation Tech. LLC, IPR2015-00039, Paper No. 18 (Apr. 24, 2015)	4
RPX Corp. v. ParkerVision, Inc., IPR2014-00946, Paper No. 25 (Feb. 20, 2015)	5
Unified Patents, Inc. v. Clouding IP, LLC, IPR2013-00586, Paper No. 12 (Apr. 22, 2014)	2
ZOLL Lifecor Corp. v. Philips Elec. N. Am. Corp., IPR2013-00606, Paper No. 13 (Mar. 20, 2014)	4
Rules and Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)	2
Standard Operating Procedure 1, Rev. 14 (May 8, 2015)	1, 8



### I. INTRODUCTION AND PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Patent Owner VirnetX Inc. requests rehearing of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's Decision entered December 21, 2015 ("Decision"), denying Patent Owner's Motion For Additional Discovery filed December 9, 2015 (Paper No. 22, "Motion"). The Decision denied the Motion because Patent Owner allegedly did not provide sufficient evidence to demonstrate "more than a mere possibility that something useful will be discovered" with respect to various issues. (*See, e.g.*, Decision at 2, 4, 5.) The Decision should be reversed for at least two reasons. First, the Decision overlooked several important points of law as to a real-party-in-interest ("RPI") determination in finding the Motion to be speculative. Indeed, certain facts that the Decision found to be so speculative as to not even warrant discovery have been found to be *determinative* of RPI issues by other panels. Second, the Decision overlooked several important facts and arguments.

Patent Owner requests rehearing by an expanded panel that includes the Chief Judge in deciding this request. Standard Operating Procedure 1, Rev. 14, Section III.D ("When a judge, a merits panel, or an interlocutory panel . . . receives a suggestion for an expanded panel, the judge, merits panel, or interlocutory panel shall notify the Chief Judge, Deputy Chief Judge, and the Vice Chief Judges of the suggestion, in writing."). An expanded panel that includes the Chief Judge is

necessary to secure and maintain uniformity given the large discrepancy in considering RPI issues between the Decision and numerous other panel decisions.

### II. LEGAL STANDARD

"A party dissatisfied with a decision may file a request for rehearing." 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d). "The request must specifically identify all matters the party believes the Board misapprehended or overlooked, and the place where each matter was previously addressed in a motion, an opposition, or a reply." *Id*.

### III. STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

### A. The Decision Overlooked the Requirements of an RPI Inquiry

In *Garmin Int'l, Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC*, IPR2012-00001, Paper No. 26 at 6 (Mar. 5, 2013), the Board explained that "[t]he mere possibility of finding something useful, and mere allegation that something useful will be found, are insufficient to demonstrate that the requested discovery is necessary in the interest of justice." It stated that "[t]he party requesting discovery should already be in possession of evidence *tending to show beyond speculation* that in fact something useful will be uncovered." *Garmin*, IPR2012-00001, Paper No. 26 at 6 (emphasis added). Thus, in the Motion, Patent Owner was only required to present evidence that can serve "as the foundation for taking Patent Owner's belief out of the realm of mere speculation." *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Clouding IP, LLC*, IPR2013-00586, Paper No. 12 at 3 (Apr. 22, 2014). Since the Motion was directed to improperly omitted RPIs in particular, the evidence presented in the Motion only



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

