Paper No.			
Filed:	October	$\overline{11}$ ,	2016

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys Naveen Modi

Paul Hastings LLP
875 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 551-1996
Paul Hastings LLP
875 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 551-1996
Telephone: (202) 551-1996

Telephone: (202) 551-1996 Telephone: (202) 551-1990 Facsimile: (202) 551-0496 Facsimile: (202) 551-0490

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND, LTD., and APPLE INC., Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC., Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01046<sup>1</sup> Patent No. 6,502,135

Patent Owner's Request for Rehearing and Suggestion for Expanded Panel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Apple Inc., who filed a petition in IPR2016-00062, has been joined as a Petitioner in the instant proceeding.



## **Table of Contents**

I.	INT	INTRODUCTION AND PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED		
II.	BAC	CKGROUND	3	
	A.	Prior Challenges to the '135 Patent	3	
	B.	Current Challenge to the '135 Patent	4	
III.	LEG	AL STANDARD	6	
IV.	STA	TEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED	7	
	A.	The Board Should Have Found at Least the Mangrove Partners Hedge Fund, a Company That Provided Funding for the IPR Petition, and Whose Executives Dictated the Contents of the Petition and Corresponding Expert Declaration, to be an RPI	7	
	B.	The Board Should Not Have Given Any Weight to Dr. Guerin's Declaration Given That It Was Altered, After Being Signed, by an Executive of a Company Involved in Preparing the IPR Petition.	11	
V.	PATENT OWNER SUGGESTS REHEARING BY AN EXPANDED PANEL THAT INCLUDES THE CHIEF JUDGE		14	
VI.	CON	NCLUSION	15	



### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
Cases	
Aceto Agricultural Chems. Corp. v. Gowan Co., IPR2015-01016, Paper No. 15 at 11 (Oct. 2, 2015)	11, 15
Atlanta Gas Light Co. v. Bennett Regulator Guards, Inc., IPR2013-00453, Paper No. 88 at 13 (Jan. 6, 2015)	11, 15
Galderma S.A. v. Allergan Industrie, SAS, IPR2014-01422, Paper No. 14 at 6-7, 12 (Mar. 5, 2015)	10, 15
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	3, 4
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)	6
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 157 (Aug. 14, 2012)	10, 14
Standard Operating Procedure 1 Rev. 14 (May 8, 2015)	2 14



### I. INTRODUCTION AND PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Patent Owner VirnetX Inc. requests rehearing of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's Final Written Decision entered September 9, 2016 (Paper No. 71, "Decision"). This request presents two issues:

- (1) Whether a company that provides funding for an IPR petition, and whose executives dictate the contents of that petition and corresponding expert declaration, is a real party-in-interest ("RPI")?
- (2) Whether an expert declaration accompanying an IPR petition should be given any weight by the Board if, after being signed by the declarant, the declaration was altered by an executive of a company involved in preparing the petition?

The Decision misapprehended or overlooked arguments and evidence in the Patent Owner's Response as to each of these issues.<sup>2</sup>

With respect to the first issue, the Decision misapprehended or overlooked evidence regarding representations by The Mangrove Partners Master Fund, Ltd. ("Petitioner Mangrove") to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), and evidence uncovered during additional discovery, showing that RPIs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In presenting this Request for Rehearing, Patent Owner reserves all rights to appeal these and other aspects of the Decision.



were improperly omitted. Paper No. 44 ("Patent Owner's Response" or "Response") at 51–52, 54–56. The Board should vacate its Decision and terminate this proceeding for this failure to disclose RPIs, as other panels have done before.

With respect to the second issue, the Decision misapprehended or overlooked arguments and evidence that the content of the declaration of Dr. Roch Guerin (Petitioner's expert), Exhibit 1003, was improperly changed, after being signed by Dr. Guerin, by (at a minimum) the Chief Operating Officer of an entity involved in preparing the Petition in this proceeding. *Id.* at 39–41. The Board should find that Exhibit 1003 is entitled to no weight and reverse its findings of unpatentability, which rely on Exhibit 1003.

VirnetX suggests rehearing by an expanded panel that includes the Chief Judge in deciding at least the RPI issues raised in this request. Standard Operating Procedure 1, Rev. 14 (May 8, 2015), Section III.D ("When a judge, a merits panel, or an interlocutory panel . . . receives a suggestion for an expanded panel, the judge, merits panel, or interlocutory panel shall notify the Chief Judge, Deputy Chief Judge, and the Vice Chief Judges of the suggestion, in writing."). The RPI issues need to be considered "by an expanded panel . . . to secure and maintain uniformity of the Board's decisions," given that the Decision here conflicts with what other panels have done under similar circumstances. *See* Standard Operating Procedure 1, Rev. 14, Section III.A.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

