

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1996

Facsimile: (202) 551-0496

E-mail: josephpalys@paulhastings.com

Naveen Modi

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1990

Facsimile: (202) 551-0490

E-mail: naveenmodi@paulhastings.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND, LTD. and APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01046¹
Patent 6,502,135

Patent Owner's Motion to Seal

¹ Apple Inc., who filed a petition in IPR2016-00062, has been joined as a Petitioner in the instant proceeding.

I. Introduction

Patent Owner VirnetX Inc. (“Patent Owner”) hereby moves to seal Exhibits 2049, 2061 and 2062, and the Patent Owner’s Response, in accordance with the default protective order filed by Petitioner Mangrove Partners Master Fund, Ltd. (“Petitioner Mangrove”) on March 3, 2016 (Paper No. 39). *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.14, 42.54. Good cause exists for granting the instant motion to seal because Exhibits 2049, 2061 and 2062, as well as information in the Patent Owner’s Response, has been designated as confidential during discovery by Petitioner Mangrove.

Patent Owner intends to ask Petitioner Mangrove what material it believes should be redacted from public versions of the Patent Owner’s Response and Exhibits 2049, 2061 and 2062. Once so indicated, Patent Owner will promptly file such papers after such filing has been authorized by the Board.

II. Good Cause Exists for Sealing Certain Information Designated as Confidential

The standard governing the Board’s determination of whether to grant a motion to seal is “good cause.” *Garmin v. Cuozzo*, IPR2012-00001, Paper No. 36 (Apr. 5, 2013). In that regard, the Board must “strike a balance between the public’s interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history and the parties’ interest in protecting truly sensitive information.” *Id.*

Exhibits 2049, 2061 and 2062, and the Patent Owner’s Response, include information that Petitioner Mangrove has asserted as confidential during discovery.

Because the relevant information has been designated as confidential by Petitioner Mangrove, Patent Owner intends to ask Petitioner Mangrove what material it believes should be redacted from public versions of the Patent Owner's Response and Exhibits 2042, 2058, and 2059. Once so indicated, Patent Owner will promptly file such papers after such filing has been authorized by the Board.

III. Proposed Protective Order

Petitioner Mangrove filed a Motion for Entry of the Default Protective Order on March 3, 2016. (Paper No. 39). Pursuant to Section 4(B) of the protective order, Exhibits 2049, 2061 and 2062, and Patent Owner's Response, have been clearly marked as "PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL."

IV. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board seal Exhibits 2049, 2061 and 2062, and the Patent Owner's Response.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 21, 2016

By: /Joseph E. Palys/
Joseph E. Palys
Registration No. 46,508
Counsel for VirnetX Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), I certify that I caused to be served on the counsel for Petitioners a true and correct copy of the foregoing Patent Owner's Motion to Seal by electronic means on the date below at the following address of record:

Abraham Kasdan (akasdan@wiggin.com)
Wiggin and Dana LLP
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
IP@wiggin.com

James T. Bailey (jtb@jtbaileylaw.com)
504 W. 136th St. #1B
New York, NY 10031

Jeffrey P. Kushan
Scott Border
Thomas A. Broughan III
Sidley Austin LLP
1501 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
iprnotices@sidley.com

Dated: March 21, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/Joseph E. Palys/
Joseph E. Palys
Counsel for VirnetX Inc.