

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.
Petitioner

v.

C-CATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
Patent Owner

CASE IPR2015-01045
Patent 5,563,883

**PATENT OWNER C-CATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.107**

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. STANDARD FOR GRANTING INTER PARTES REVIEW 4

III. PETITIONER IS NOT THE ONLY REAL PARTY-IN-INTEREST 5

IV. THE BOARD SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION TO DENY THE
PETITION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 325(d)..... 9

 A. Procedural History 9

 1. This Petition is merely an identical copy of Arris’s currently-undecided
 second petition for *inter partes* review 9

 2. This Petition presents the same prior art and arguments as previously
 denied petitions for *inter partes* review 11

 B. Argument 12

V. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,563,883 16

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 19

VII. THE PETITION FAILS TO ADEQUATELY IDENTIFY HOW CLAIMS
1, 3, OR 4 OF THE ’883 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE 25

 A. Petitioner’s Reliance on the MPT Specifications 25

 B. The Channel Hunt Sequence and Normal Operation on Control Channel
 Does Not Disclose the Steps of Claim 1 27

 1. The Channel Hunt Sequence and Normal Operation on Control Channel
 functionality referenced and relied on in the Petition..... 27

 2. The Channel Hunt Sequence and Normal Operation on Control Channel,
 as relied on by the Petition, fails to describe the steps of claim 1 29

 C. The Fall-Back Procedures Do Not Disclose the Steps of Claim 1 32

 1. The Fall-Back Procedures functionality referenced and relied on in the
 Petition..... 32

 2. The Fall-Back Procedures, as relied on by the Petition, fail to describe
 the steps of claim 1 34

 D. Claim 3..... 36

 E. Claim 4..... 36

VIII. CONCLUSION..... 37

Table of Authorities

Cases

<i>ActiveVideo Networks v. Verizon Communs. Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed Cir. 2012)	5
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Aylus Networks, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-01566, Paper 10 (PTAB Apr. 23, 2015)	16
<i>August Tech. Corp. v. Camtek Ltd.</i> , 655 F.3d 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	5
<i>Butamax Adv. Biofuels LLC v. Gevo, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00581, Paper 8 (PTAB Oct. 14, 2014)	13
<i>Conopco, Inc. v. The Procter & Gamble Co.</i> , IPR2014-00507, Paper 17 (PTAB Jul. 7, 2014).....	16
<i>Dell Inc. v. Electronics and Telecom. Res. Inst.</i> , IPR2014-00152, Paper 12 (PTAB May 16, 2014)	5
<i>Ex parte Ronald A Katz Tech. Lic.L.P.</i> , Appl. No. 2008-005127 (BPAI Mar. 15, 2010).....	20
<i>Heckler v. Chaney</i> , 470 U.S. 821 (1985).....	13
<i>In re Guan et al. Inter Partes Reexamination Proceeding</i> , Control No. 95/001,045, Decision Vacating Filing Date (BPAI Aug. 25, 2008) ..	7
<i>In re Rambus, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 42 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	20
<i>Intelligent Bio- Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , IPR2013-00324, Paper 19 (PTAB Nov. 21, 2013)	13
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	5
<i>Medtronic, Inc. v. Nuvasive, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00487, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 11, 2014).....	13
<i>Medtronic, Inc. v. Robert Bosch Healthcare Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00436, Paper 17 (PTAB June 19, 2014)	14
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014).....	24
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (<i>en banc</i>)	20
<i>Prism Pharma Co., Ltd. v. Choongwae Pharma Corp.</i> , IPR2014-00315, Paper 14 (PTAB July 8, 2014)	13
<i>SAS Inst., Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00581, Paper 15 (PTAB Dec. 30, 2013)	5, 28

..

Unified Patents, Inc. v. Personalized Media Commc'ns, LLC,
IPR2015-00521, Paper 13 (PTAB June 8, 2015) 5, 6, 14, 15

Unified Patents, Inc. v. Personalized Media Commcn's, LLC,
IPR2015-00520, Paper 15 (PTAB June 8, 2015)15

Unified Patents, Inc. v. PersonalWeb Techs., LLC,
IPR2014-00702, Paper 13 (PTAB July 24, 2014) 14, 15

Unilever, Inc. v. Procter & Gamble Co.,
IPR2014-00506, Paper 17 (PTAB July 7, 2014) 5, 13

Vizio, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Com'n,
605 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2010)5

Wowza Media Sys., LLC v. Adobe Sys. Inc.,
IPR2013-00054, Paper 12 (PTAB Apr. 8, 2013)27

Zetec, Inc. v. Westinghouse Electric Co., LLC,
IPR2014-00384, Paper 10 (PTAB July 23, 2014) 26, 34

Zimmer Holdings, Inc. v. Bonutti Skeletal Innovations LLC,
IPR2014-01080, Paper 17 (PTAB Oct. 31, 2014).....16

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2).....2

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)..... 4, 5, 14

35 U.S.C. § 316(b)18

35 U.S.C. § 316(e)4

35 U.S.C. § 325(d)..... *passim*

Other Authorities

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756 (Aug. 14, 2012)4, 7

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)..... 5, 26

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5).....26

37 C.F.R. § 42.108(b)5

37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....4

37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(2)26

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....9

...

Exhibits List

Exhibit #	Exhibit Description
C-Cation 2001	Unified Patents, FAQ, Objective <i>obtained from</i> http://unifiedpatents.justdemo.org/faq/ (last visited July 21, 2015)
C-Cation 2002	Unified Patents, FAQ, Funding <i>obtained from</i> http://unifiedpatents.justdemo.org/faq/ (last visited July 21, 2015)
C-Cation 2003	Unified Patents, FAQ, Terminating IPRs <i>obtained from</i> http://unifiedpatents.justdemo.org/faq/ (last visited July 21, 2015)
C-Cation 2004	Claim Construction Memorandum and Order, <i>C-Cation Techs., LLC v. Time Warner Cable, Inc., et al.</i> , No. 2:14-cv- 59, dated April 20, 2015

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.