

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

OPENTV, Inc.
Patent Owner.

Case No. _____

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,900,229 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 14-16, 19, 21, 24,
26, 28, 30 and 31 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §321, 37 C.F.R. §42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING AND FEE AUTHORIZATION	1
III. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. §42.8).....	1
IV. SUMMARY OF CHALLENGES.....	2
V. THE CHALLENGED PATENT.....	3
A. Overview of the '229 Patent	3
B. Summary of the '229 Patent Prosecution History	5
C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	7
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	8
A. “activity related to television viewing” and “activity unrelated to television viewing” (Claims 14 and 26).....	8
B. “remote unit” (Claims 14, 16, 21, and 28).....	10
C. “set-top box” and “broadcast station” (Claims 14, 16, and 21)	10
VII. CLAIMS 14-16, 19, 21, 24, 26, 28, 30 AND 31 ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	10
A. Ground 1: Claims 14-16, 19, 21, 24, 26, 28, 30 and 31 of the '229 Patent Are Anticipated by Tomioka (Apple 1003).....	11
1. Tomioka Anticipates Independent Claim 14 and Dependent Claims 15-16, 19, 21, and 24.....	11
2. Tomioka Anticipates Independent Claim 24 and Dependent Claims 26, 28, and 30-31	31
B. Ground 2: Claims 14-16, 19, 21, 24, 26, 28, 30, and 31 Are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) in View of Tomioka (Apple 1003).....	33
C. Ground 3: Claims 14-16, 19, 21, 24, 26, 28, 30, and 31 Are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Tomioka (Apple 1003) in View of Schiller (Apple 1004).....	34

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
D. Ground 4: Claims 14-16, 19, 21, 24, 26, 28, 30, and 31 Are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Tomioka (Apple 1003) in View of Kotani (Apple 1005).....	36
E. Ground 5: Claims 14-16, 19, 21, 24, 26, 28, 30, and 31 Are Anticipated Under 35 U.S.C. §102 by Cristofalo (Apple 1006).....	38
1. Cristofalo Anticipates Independent Claim 14 and Dependent Claims 15-16, 19, 21, and 24.....	39
2. Cristofalo Anticipates Independent Claim 26 and Dependent Claims 28, 30, and 31.....	54
F. Ground 6: Claims 14-16, 19, 21, 24, 26, 28, 30, and 31 Are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) in View of Cristofalo (Apple 1006).....	56
G. Ground 7: Claims 14-16, 19, 21, 24, 26, 28, 30, and 31 Are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Cristofalo (Apple 1006) in View of Eldering (Apple 1007).....	57
VIII. CONCLUSION.....	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
<u>CASES</u>	
<i>In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.</i> , 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	10
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	10
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014)	11
<u>STATUTES</u>	
35 U.S.C. §102	44
35 U.S.C. §102(a)	12, 40
35 U.S.C. §102(b)	12, 40, 42
35 U.S.C. §102(e)	44, 63
35 U.S.C. §103(a)	passim
<u>OTHER AUTHORITIES</u>	
77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012)	11
<u>REGULATIONS</u>	
37 C.F.R. §42.100(b)	10

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Apple 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,900,229 (“the ’229 Patent”)
Apple 1002	Excerpts from File History for U.S. Patent Application No. 10/271,801, which ultimately issued as U.S. Patent No. 7,900,229
Apple 1003	European Patent Application No. 00124464.9, Publication No. EP 11 00268 A2 to Tomioka (“Tomioka”)
Apple 1004	Excerpts from Jochen Schiller, <i>Mobile Communications</i> (2000) (“Schiller”)
Apple 1005	Certified English Translation of Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H11-7453 to Kotani, and Japanese language reference (“Kotani”)
Apple 1006	U.S. Patent No. 7,305,691 (“Cristofalo”)
Apple 1007	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0111154 (“Eldering”)
Apple 1008	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0111172 (“DeWolf”)
Apple 1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,861,881 (“Freeman”)
Apple 1010	Excerpts from Gerard O’Driscoll, <i>The Essential Guide to Set-Top Boxes and Interactive TV</i> (2000) (“O’Driscoll”)
Apple 1011	Andrew Tokmakoff and Harry van Vliet, “Home Media Server Content Management,” <i>Internet Multimedia Management Systems II</i> , Proceedings of SPIE Vol. 4519 (July 2001) (“Tokmakoff”)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.