

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Advanced DRUG DELIVERY Reviews

Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 56 (2004) 275-300

www.elsevier.com/locate/addr

High-throughput crystallization: polymorphs, salts, co-crystals and solvates of pharmaceutical solids

Sherry L. Morissette^{a,*}, Örn Almarsson^a, Matthew L. Peterson^a, Julius F. Remenar^a, Michael J. Read^a, Anthony V. Lemmo^a, Steve Ellis^a, Michael J. Cima^b, Colin R. Gardner^a

^a TransForm Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 29 Hartwell Avenue, Lexington, MA 02421, USA ^b Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Room 12-011, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Received 21 August 2003; accepted 6 October 2003

Abstract

The concepts of high-throughput (HT) screening and combinatorial synthesis have been integrated into the pharmaceutical discovery process, but are not yet commonplace in the pharmaceutical development arena. Emerging strategies to speed pharmaceutical development and capture solid form diversity of pharmaceutical substances have resulted in the emergence of HT crystallization technologies. The primary type of diversity often refers to polymorphs, which are different crystal forms of the same chemical composition. However, diverse salt forms, co-crystals, hydrates and solvates are also amenable to study in HT crystallization systems. The impact of form diversity encompasses issues of stability and bioavailability, as well as development considerations such as process definition, formulation design, patent protection and regulatory control. This review highlights the opportunities and challenges of HT crystallization technologies as they apply to pharmaceutical research and development.

© 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: High-throughput; Crystallization; Polymorph; Solvate; Salt; Co-crystal

Contents

1.	Introdu	rction	276		
2.	Develo	pment of high-throughput crystallization technologies	278		
3.	Applications of high-throughput crystallization screening in pharmaceutical research and development: case studies.				
	3.1.	High-throughput salt selection	285		
	3.2.	Solid form discovery in highly polymorphic systems	287		
	3.3.	Avoiding latent polymorphism	290		
	3.4.	Prediction of crystallization and polymorphism: applications to pharmaceutical form studies	291		
	3.4.	Prediction of crystallization and polymorphism: applications to pharmaceutical form studies.	29		

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-781-674-7823; fax: +1-781-863-6519. *E-mail address:* morissette@transformpharma.com (S.L. Morissette).

⁰¹⁶⁹⁻⁴⁰⁹X/\$ - see front matter 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2003.10.020

S.L. Morissette et al. / Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 56 (2004) 275-300

	3.5. Engineering of co-crystals	292
4.	Post-screening analyses and form selection with a departed of the provide state of the selection with the provide state of the selection of th	295
5.	Summary and outlook	296
Refe	rences, $z \in \mathbb{R}_{2,2}$ with which we denote the complete electronic we with the without the complete state $z \in \mathbb{R}_{2,2}$	297

1. Introduction

Active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) are frequently delivered to the patient in the solid-state as part of an approved dosage form (e.g., tablets, capsules, etc.). Solids provide a convenient, compact and generally stable format to store an API or a drug product. Understanding and controlling the solid-state chemistry of APIs, both as pure drug substances and in formulated products, is therefore an important aspect of the drug development process. APIs can exist in a variety of distinct solid forms, including polymorphs, solvates, hydrates, salts, co-crystals and amorphous solids. Each form displays unique physicochemical properties that can profoundly influence the bioavailability, manufacturability purification, stability and other performance characteristics of the drug []]. Hence, it is critical to understand the relationship between the particular solid form of a compound and its functional properties. Discovery and characterization of the diversity of solid forms of a drug substance provide options from which to select a form that exhibits the appropriate balance of critical properties for development into the drug product. Importantly, the desired properties may vary with each mode of delivery (i.e., oral, pulmonary, parenteral, transdermal, etc.), such that the solid form may differ for each optimized dosage form. Given these options, the choice and design of pharmaceutical solid forms can be critically important to successful drug development.

Solid form discovery and design depends on the nature of the molecule of interest and type of physical property challenges faced in its development. The preferred solid form is generally the thermodynamically most stable crystalline form of the compound [1,2]. However, the stable crystal form of the parent compound may exhibit inadequate solubility or dissolution rate resulting in poor oral absorption, particularly for water-insoluble compounds. In this case, alternative solid forms may be investigated. For ionizable compounds, preparation of salt forms using pharmaceutically acceptable acids and bases is a common strategy to improve bioavailability [1,3,4].

DOCKE.

Like the parent compound, pharmaceutical salts may exist in several polymorphic, solvated and/or hydrated forms.

Most APIs and their salts are purified and isolated by crystallization from an appropriate solvent during the final step in the synthetic process. A large number of factors can influence crystal nucleation and growth during this process, including the composition of the crystallization medium and the process(es) used to generate supersaturation and promote crystallization [1,5-13]. The most notable variables of composition and processing are summarized in Table 1. Solid form screening is used to understand the effects that these variables have on the polymorphic outcome of a crystallization experiment, so that a robust process can be identified to produce the desired crystal form. Traditionally, the study of solid form diversity of active compounds has relied on the use of a variety of common process methods for generation of new forms, coupled with modern characterization methods for analysis of the solids produced [2,14]. Most often, however, a combination of solvent recrystallization (cooling or evaporative, as well as slurry conversion) and thermal analysis (e.g., hot stage microscopy, differential scanning calorimetry) are employed for initial form screening. Such methods are inherently slow and only allow exploration of a small fraction of the composition and process space that can contribute to form diversity. Before suggesting a form for development, scientists may have carried out only a few dozen crystallization experiments and possibly prepared a handful of different salts of a compound. The main reasons for the limited number of experiments are the constraints on availability of compound and scientists' analytical capacity in a given time frame, and they are therefore often forced to make form selection decisions on incomplete data. Accordingly, it is not surprising that unexpected and undesired outcomes can, and do, occur later on in development.

Despite more than a century of research [13], the fundamental mechanisms and molecular properties that drive crystal form diversity, specifically the nucleation of polymorphic forms, are not well under-

Composition type		Process variables ^a					
Polymorph/ solvates	Salts/ co-crystals	Thermal	Anti-solvent	Evaporation	Slurry conversion	Other variables	
 Solvent/ solvent combinations 	 Counter-ion type 	 Heating rate 	 Anti-solvent type 	 Rate of evaporation 	 Solvent type 	 Mixing rate 	
 Degree of supersaturation 	 Acid/base ratio 	* Cooling rate	 Rate of anti- solvent addition 	 Evaporation time 	 Incubation temperature 	 Impeller design 	
 Additive type 	 Solvent/ solvent combinations 	 Maximum temperature 	 Temperature of anti-solvent addition 	 Carrier gas 	 Incubation time 	 Crystallization vessel design (including capillaries, etc.) 	
 Additive concentration 	 Degree of super-saturation Additive type and concentration pH Ionic strength 	 Incubation temperature(s) Incubation time 	• Time of anti- solvent addition	 Surface-volume ratio 	 Thermal cycling and gradients 		

Table 1 Crystallization composition and processing variables (1.2.23

^a Applicable to all types of screens.

stood [13,16]. As a result, predictive methods of assessing polymorphic behavior of pharmaceutical compounds by ab initio calculations remain a formidable challenge. Even in cases where the existence of a crystalline form is predicted, the stability relative to other crystalline packing arrangements has been difficult to estimate with accuracy [17]. Moreover, the prediction of packing structures for multicomponent (e.g., solvates, hydrates, co-crystals) or ionic systems is not yet possible [17]. Due to these limitations, solid form discovery remains an experimental exercise, where manual screening methods are employed to explore form diversity of a compound.

Control over solid form throughout the drug development process is of paramount importance. Reliable preparation and preservation of the desired form of the drug substance must be demonstrated, and has become increasingly scrutinized by regulatory agencies as more sensitive and quantitative solid-state analytical methods have become available [18] Many strategies to influence and control the crystallization process to produce the solid form of interest have been reported. Some examples include stereochemical control using tailor-made auxiliaries [19-21], targeted solvent recrystallization [22-24], and templating using a variety of surfaces (e.g., organic single crystal substrates [25], surfaces of metastable crystal faces [25,26], inorganic crystal

DOCKE.

surfaces [27] and polymeric materials [28]). Recent studies have also begun to uncover the role of reaction byproducts and other impurities in determining polymorphic outcome and crystal properties [29-32], and in fact, it has been shown that in some cases such species can stabilize metastable crystal forms [33,34]. In addition, new processing methods continue to be developed to improve discovery and characterization of new forms, including precipitation by supercritical fluid [35,36], laser induced nucleation [37-39] and capillary crystallization [40-42]. However, there remains a lack of fundamental understanding of the nucleation process and the specific factors that contribute to crystallization of diverse forms of a compound {13,21,23}. In order to fully control the crystallization process, the link between the physical or chemical processes that influence nucleation and crystal growth needs to be better established. It is in this area that new experimental methodologies have the potential to enable development of this knowledge base.

There is reason to believe that the already complicated landscape of pharmaceutical solid forms will become even more complex in the future. It is now increasingly appreciated that hydrogen bonded cocrystal structures between active agents and molecules other than water or solvent can be prepared. For example, co-crystals of aspirin, rac-ibuprofen and

277

rac-flurbiprofen have been prepared by disrupting the carboxylic acid dimers using 4,4' -bipyridine [43]. These structures are formally molecular compounds (or co-crystals) but do not involve formation of covalent bonds or charge transfer from or to the active substance. Recent demonstrations of these principles with drug compounds have been published [43]-45[.

Exploration of a given compound's polymorphs, hydrates, solvates, salts, co-crystals and combinations of all of these appears intractable by conventional experimental methods, and as the number of potential methods for exploring and controlling crystal form diversity continue to expand, existing strategies will become increasingly inadequate. In an effort to understand form diversity in a more comprehensive manner, high-throughput (HT) crystallization systems have recently been developed. This methodology uses a combinatorial approach to solid form generation, where large arrays of conditions and compositions are processed in parallel. Experiments are performed at small scale to reduce the material demand and to afford the largest number of conditions possible. The large number of crystallization trials performed in these experiments reflects the reality that nucleation rate has an extremely non-linear dependence on the experimental conditions, and as such, the probability of a chance occurrence of a particular form is increased by a HT approach. Supersaturation (solubility) and induction time of the various possible solid forms are independently controlled by these conditions, resulting in highly non-linear time dependence of crystallization. In addition, the combinatorial approach permits exploration of a chemical continuum, where use of many solvent mixtures may allow one to assess what underlying physical or chemical processes are required to produce a particular solid form. Once a variety of conditions that can be used to produce a given crystal form on the microscale are identified in the HT screen, scale-up studies are typically conducted to optimize the process for laboratory scale production.

In this review, the development and application of novel HT crystallization technologies for exploration of solid form diversity are discussed. The operational features of a fully integrated, automated HT crystallization system are presented, highlighting the design requirements for hardware and software components, as well as general specifications for consumables.

DOCKET

Case studies are used to illustrate the benefits and capabilities of the approach, including salt selection in early lead optimization (ELO) and pre-clinical development, polymorph and solvate screening in highly polymorphic systems, comprehensive discovery of crystal forms to reduce the risk of late displays of polymorphism, comparison of experimental and predictive methods of solid form discovery, and engineering of co-crystals. The need for post-screening characterization of crystal forms to enable ranking and selection of the most suitable form for development is briefly reviewed. Finally, the implications of HT crystallization technologies on the future of solid form screening processes, intellectual property protection and regulatory compliance are discussed.

2. Development of high-throughput crystallization technologies

HT crystallization systems have been developed to more rapidly and comprehensively explore the multiparameter space that contributes to solid form diversity [40,46-51]. In its simplest description, HT crystallization can be broken down into three key experimental steps: design of experiment (DOE), execution of experimental protocols and analysis of data. Systems designed to carry out these experiments generally consist of both hardware and software components that drive and track experimentation, and permit data storage, retrieval and analysis. Such systems should be designed to be flexible and scalable to ensure that a variety of experimental procedures can be carried out either serially or concurrently. Thus, the system can be employed at various stages of drug development, where differences exist in the quality and quantity of compound available. While it is highly desirable to have the ability to mine and model experimental data, and to use the subsequent knowledge to guide further experiments, not all HT crystallization systems are equipped with these features. In Section 3, the hardware and software considerations for design and development of a fully integrated, informatics-driven HT crystallization system are described.

While the concepts of HT screening are widely applied in the pharmaceutical industry, most notably in the drug discovery arena [52], the application of

HT approaches to drug development, in particular solid form screening, are just beginning to be realized. These latter approaches, however, are more akin to HT experimentation than HT screening. Hence, several important distinctions, which reflect on the design of HT experimental systems, need to be made. First, the goal of HT screening is to get a small number of successful outcomes, which are then passed on to the next stage of development. Little effort is typically made to learn why certain outcomes were positive and why others were negative. In contrast, HT experimentation, such as HT crystallization, is carried out with the goal of having each point in the experiment produce multiple types of data that can be interpreted, and the interpretation used to guide the experimental process to a successful conclusion. Second, unlike traditional HT screening assays where experiments are generally conducted under constant experimental conditions, HT crystallization experiments for solid form discovery are best conducted using a variety of process methods, each having varying experimental conditions (e.g., temperature variations as a function of time) over the course of the experiment. These additional process variables permit maximal diversity in the experimental space, increasing the likelihood that comprehensive coverage will be achieved. Finally, there is a distinction to be made in terms of relative "hit rates". In both HT screening and HT crystallization, a "hit" can be

thought of as a set of conditions that gives rise to a desired result. In HT screening, the desired result is typically an activity, or potency, that exceeds a predefined threshold. In HT crystallization, a hit is defined as the formation of a solid. The typical observed hit rate of HT screening is on the order of 0.1% of the total number of samples analyzed. In contrast, HT crystallization experiments can yield hit rates ranging from tens of percents to nearly 100%, depending on the type of experiment and the process mode(s) used. For example, while only a handful of compounds from a selection of thousands may exhibit the required potency, 10-50% of crystallization trials may yield solids. In fact, the range of wells that yield solids is very wide, depending on process mode and experimental time scale, as will be discussed in subsequent sections. The impact of these differences is manifested in the design and operational requirements of HT experimentation systems.

A fully integrated HT crystallization system consists of a number of components, including experimental design and execution software, robotic dispensing and handling hardware, automated highspeed micro-analytical tools, end-to-end sample tracking and integrated cheminformatics analysis software for data visualization, modeling and mining. A schematic overview detailing the workflow of such a system is depicted in <u>Scheme 1 [53]</u>. These features are supported by a comprehensive informatics foun-



Scheme 1. A schematic illustration of the workflow of a fully integrated HT crystallization system [33].

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

