Application No.: 12/536,807 **Office Action Dated:** May 22, 2012

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:
Hans Wim Pieter Vermeersch

Confirmation No.: 4088

Application No.: 12/536,807 Group Art Unit: 1625

Filing Date: August 6, 2009 Examiner: Celia C. Chang

For: PSEUDOPOLYMORPHIC FORMS OF A HIV PROTEASE INHIBITOR

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Commissioner:

REPLY PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.116

In response to the Official Action dated May 22, 2012, reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the amendments and/or remarks as indicated below:

	Amendments to the Specification begin on page of this paper.
\boxtimes	Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of the claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.
	Amendments to the Drawings begin on page of this paper and include an attached replacement sheet.
\boxtimes	Remarks begin on page 5 of this paper.
	The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, charge any additional fees, or credit any overpayment of fees, associated with this application in connection with this filing, or any future filing, submitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office during the pendency of this application, to Deposit Account No. 23-3050.



Application No.: 12/536,807 **Office Action Dated:** May 22, 2012

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

Claims 1-14 Cancelled

15. (Previously Presented) A hydrate of the compound (3R,3aS,6aR)-hexahydrofuro [2,3-b] furan-3-yl (1 S,2R)-3-[[(4-aminophenyl) sulfonyl] (isobutyl) amino]-l-benzyl-2-hydroxypropylcarbamate in which the ratio of the compound to water is about 1:0.5 to about 1:3.

16. (Previously Presented) A hydrate having the formula:

17. (Previously Presented) A composition comprising a hydrate of the compound (3R,3aS,6aR)-hexahydrofuro [2,3-b] furan-3-yl (1 S,2R)-3-[[(4-aminophenyl) sulfonyl] (isobutyl) amino]-l-benzyl-2-hydroxypropylcarbamate, in which the ratio of compound to water is about 1:0.5 to about 1:3, and an inert carrier.

- 18. (Canceled)
- 19. (Canceled)
- 20. (Previously Presented) The composition of claim 17 wherein the inert carrier is a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.
- 21. (Previously Presented) The composition of claim 20 wherein the pharmaceutically acceptable carrier is a solid inert carrier.



Application No.: 12/536,807 **Office Action Dated:** May 22, 2012

22. (Canceled)

23. (Previously Presented) A composition comprising a hydrate having the formula:

and an inert carrier.

- 24. (Previously Presented) The composition of claim 23 wherein the inert carrier is a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.
- 25. (Previously Presented) The composition of claim 24 wherein the pharmaceutically acceptable carrier is a solid inert carrier.
- 26. (Previously Presented) The hydrate of claim 15 wherein the ratio of the compound to water is about 1:1 to about 1:2.
- 27. (Previously Presented) The hydrate of claim 15 wherein the ratio of the compound to water is about 1:0.5.
- 28. (Previously Presented) The hydrate of claim 15 wherein the ratio of the compound to water is about 1:1.
- 29. (Previously Presented) The hydrate of claim 15 wherein the ratio of the compound to water is about 1:2.
- 30. (Previously Presented) The hydrate of claim 15 wherein the ratio of the compound to water is about 1:3.
- 31. (Previously Presented) The composition of claim 17 wherein the ratio of the compound to water is about 1:1 to about 1:2.



Application No.: 12/536,807 **Office Action Dated:** May 22, 2012

32. (Previously Presented) The composition of claim 17 wherein the ratio of the compound to water is about 1:0.5.

- 33. (Previously Presented) The composition of claim 17 wherein the ratio of the compound to water is about 1:1.
- 34. (Previously Presented) The composition of claim 17 wherein the ratio of the compound to water is about 1:2.
- 35. (Previously Presented) The composition of claim 17 wherein the ratio of the compound to water is about 1:3.
- 36. (Previously Presented) The composition of claim 17 further comprising amorphous (3R,3aS,6aR)-hexahydrofuro [2,3-b] furan-3-yl (1 S,2R)-3-[[(4-aminophenyl) sulfonyl] (isobutyl) amino]-l-benzyl-2-hydroxypropylcarbamate.



Application No.: 12/536,807 **Office Action Dated:** May 22, 2012

REMARKS

Claims 15-17, 20, 21, and 23-36 are pending. No claim amendments have been made.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 15-17, 20, 21, and 23-36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly indefinite. The basis for this rejection appears to be an alleged inconsistency between the fact that claim 15 is directed to "A hydrate" yet embraces *multiple* hydrates that each have a ratio of (3R,3aS,6aR)-hexahydrofuro [2,3-b] furan-3-yl (1 S,2R)-3-[[(4-aminophenyl) sulfonyl] (isobutyl) amino]-l-benzyl-2- hydroxypropylcarbamate to water that is within the specified range. However, it is well established that an applicant may present a patent claim that (as in claim 15) uses the definite article "a" to embrace each of multiple embodiments of an invention. The Office Action also alleges that it is unclear "how many hydrates" are within the scope of claim 15 (Office Action at page 2), but there is no requirement under the patent laws for a claim to specify how many embodiments it includes.

Claim 16 has been alleged to make claim 15 confusing, but this rejection also lacks basis. Claim 16 specifies that the ratio of compound to water be 1:1, which is well within the range (*i.e.*, 1:0.5 to about 1:3) that is recited in claim 15.

Accordingly, Applicants request that the rejection for alleged indefiniteness be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

Written Description

Claims 15-17, 20, 21, and 23-36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, because Applicants' disclosure allegedly does not provide adequate support for the ratio that is recited in claim 15. Applicants request reconsideration of this rejection because their disclosure reasonably conveys to those skilled in the art that Applicants were in possession of the claimed subject matter.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

