

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC.,
HONDA OF AMERICA MFG., INC.,
HONDA PATENTS & TECHNOLOGIES NORTH AMERICA, LLC,
and HONDA MOTOR CO., LTD.,

Petitioner,

v.

SIGNAL IP, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01004

Patent 6,012,007

PATENT OWNER'S UPDATED MANDATORY NOTICES

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(2)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(2) Patent Owner updates its mandatory notices as follows:

(2) Related matters.

U.S. Patent No. 6,012,007 is at issue in the following pending litigation:

- *Signal IP, Inc. v. Ford Motor Company*, 2-14-cv-13729 (MIED);
- *Signal IP, Inc. v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC et al.*, 2-14-cv-03109 (CACD);
- *Signal IP, Inc. v. Fiat USA, Inc. et al.*, 2-14-cv-13864 (MIED);
- *Signal IP, Inc. v. BMW of North America, LLC et al.*, 2-14-cv-03111 (CACD);
- *Signal IP, Inc. v. Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. d/b/a Audi of America, Inc. et al.*, 2-14-cv-03113 (CACD);
- *Signal IP, Inc. v. Porsche Cars North America, Inc.*, 2-14-cv-03114 (CACD);
- *Signal IP, Inc. v. Jaguar Land Rover North America, LLC.*, 2-14-cv-03108 (CACD);
- *Signal IP, Inc. v. Volvo Cars of North America, LLC*, 2-14-cv-03107 (CACD);
- *Signal IP, Inc. v. Subaru of America, Inc.*, 2-14-cv-02963 (CACD);
- *Signal IP, Inc. v. Nissan North America, Inc.*, 2-14-cv-02962 (CACD);
- *Signal IP, Inc. v. Kia Motors America, Inc.*, 2-14-cv-02457 (CACD);
- *Signal IP, Inc. v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc. et al.*, 2-14-cv-02454 (CACD);

- *Signal IP, Inc. v. Mitsubishi Motors North America, Inc.*, Case No. 8-14-cv-00497 (CACD); and
- *Signal IP, Inc. v. Mazda Motor of America, Inc.*, Case No 8-14-cv-00491 (CACD).

On April 17, 2015, the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California entered an Order re Claims Construction regarding U.S. Patents 5,714,927; 5,732,375; 6,012,007; 6,434,486; 6,775,601; 5,463,374; and 5,954,775, at issue in the above-noted litigations. A copy of the Court's Order re Claim Construction is provided as Ex. 2001.

On May 20, 2015, various parties to the above-noted litigations entered into a stipulation for entry of a partial final judgment. Pursuant to the stipulation, Ex. 2002,

In light of the Court's claim construction order, Plaintiff and Defendants stipulate to entry of a partial final judgment that the following claims are invalid due to indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2: (i) claims 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, and 17 of the '601 patent; (ii) claims 1 and 7 of the '375 patent; and (iii) claims 1, 8, 9, 17, 18, 19, and 20 of the '007 patent.

Plaintiff and Defendants reserve all appellate rights, including, but not limited to, the right to appeal the Court's April 17, 2015 claim construction order to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Plaintiff reserves all rights as to claims not addressed by the Court's claim construction order, or any new claims that may be issued by the United States Patent Office.

On May 22, 2015, pursuant to the above-referenced stipulation, the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California entered a Partial Judgment of Invalidity, Ex. 3001, that:

1. Claims 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,775,601 ("the '601 patent") are invalid as indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2.
2. Claims 1 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 5,732,375 ("the '375 patent") are invalid as indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2.
3. Claims 1, 8, 9, 17, 18, 19, and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,012,007 ("the '007 patent") are invalid as indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 3, 2015

/Tarek N. Fahmi/

Tarek N. Fahmi

Reg. No. 41,402

Ascenda Law Group, PC
333 W San Carlos St., Suite 200
San Jose, CA 95110
Tel: 866-877-4883
Email: tarek.fahmi@ascendalaw.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.