1 2 3 4 5	Ryan E. Hatch (SBN 235577) rhatch@linerlaw.com Jason L. Haas (SBN 217290) jhaas@linerlaw.com LINER LLP 1100 Glendon Avenue, 14 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90024.3518 Telephone: (310) 500-3500 Facsimile: (310) 500-3501		
б	6 Attorneys for Plaintiff Signal IP, Inc.		
7			
8			
9		S DISTRICT COURT	
10	10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION		
11			
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SIGNAL IP, INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC., a California corporation; HONDA OF AMERICA MRG, INC., an Ohio corporation, Defendants.	Case No. 2:14-cv-02454-JAK (JEMx) (Related to 2:14-cv-02962-JAK (JEMx); CV14-00497-JAK (JEMx); 8:14-cv-00491-JAK (JEMx); 2:14-cv- 02963 JAK (JEMx); 2:14-cv-02457- JAK (JEMx); 2:14-cv-03111-JAK (JEMx); LA CV14-03109 JAK (JEMx); 2:14-cv-03107-JAK (JEMx);) JOINT STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF PARTIAL FINAL JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY	
19		The Hon. John A. Kronstadt	
20	AND RELATED CASES	Trial Date: TBD	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	 Plaintiff Signal IP, Inc., ("Plaintiff" or "Signal") and defendants American Hond Motor Co., Inc. and Honda of America Mfg., Inc. (collectively "Honda"), Nissan North America, Inc. ("Nissan"), Mitsubishi Motors North America, Inc. ("Mitsubishi"), Mazda Motor of America, Inc. ("Mazda"), Subaru of America, Inc. ("Subaru"), Kia Motors America, Inc. ("KMA"), BMW of North America, LLC ("BMWNA"), Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC ("Mercedes"), and Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. an Bentley Motors, Inc. (together, "Volkswagen/Bentley") (collectively, "Defendants") 		
	KET	American Handa et al v Signal ID IDD2015 01004	

Α

1 hereby stipulate and agree, subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

In 2014, Plaintiff filed suit against Mercedes, Subaru, KMA, Nissan,
 Volkswagen/Bentley, BMWNA, and Honda alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No.
 6,775,601 ("the '601 patent").

5 2. In 2014, Plaintiff filed suit against Mercedes, Mazda, Subaru, KMA,
6 Nissan, Mitsubishi, Volkswagen/Bentley, BMWNA, and Honda alleging infringement
7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,012,007 ("the '007 patent")

8 3. In 2014, Plaintiff filed suit against Mazda, KMA, Nissan, Mitsubishi,
9 Volkswagen/Bentley, BMWNA, and Honda alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No.
10 5,732,375 ("the '375 patent").

4. Plaintiff asserted that certain Defendants infringed claims 8, 9, 10, 11, 13,
15, and 17 of the '601 patent; claims 1 and 7 of the '375 patent; and claims 1, 8, 9, 17,
18, 19, 20, 21, and 22 of the '007 patent (collectively, the "Asserted Claims").

5. Defendants denied Plaintiff's claims of infringement and asserted various
affirmative defenses or counterclaims, including that the Asserted Claims were invalid
under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

6. On April 17, 2015, the Court issued its claim construction order (Docket
No. 77) holding, *inter alia*, that (i) certain terms in claims 8, 15, and 17 of the '601
patent are indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2; (ii) certain terms in claims 1,
17, and 20 of the '007 patent are indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2; and (iii)
a term in claim 1 of the '375 patent is indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2.

7. In light of the Court's claim construction order, Plaintiff and Defendants
stipulate to entry of a partial final judgment that the following claims are invalid due to
indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2: (i) claims 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, and 17
of the '601 patent; (ii) claims 1 and 7 of the '375 patent; and (iii) claims 1, 8, 9, 17, 18,
19, and 20 of the '007 patent.

8. Plaintiff and Defendants reserve all appellate rights, including, but not
limited to, the right to appeal the Court's April 17, 2015 claim construction order to the

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Μ

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Plaintiff reserves all rights as to
 claims not addressed by the Court's claim construction order, or any new claims that
 may be issued by the United States Patent Office.

4	9. herewith.	A partial final judgment reflecting the parties' stipulation is submitted
5	herewith.	

IT IS SO STIPULATED. 6 7 Dated: May 20, 2015 LINER LLP 8 9 10 By: /s/ Ryan E. Hatch 11 Ryan E. Hatch Jason L. Haas 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff SIGNAL IP, INC. 13 14 Dated: May 20, 2015 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 15 16 17 By: /s/ Ralph A. Phillips 18 Ralph A. Phillips (Pro Hac Vice) Ahmed J. Davis (Pro Hac Vice) 19 Attorneys for Defendants 20 AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC: and HONDA OF AMERICA MFG., INC. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1	Dated: May 20, 2015	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.		
2				
3				
4		By: /s/ Patrick A. Lujin		
5		Patrick A. Lujin		
6		B. Trent Webb Richard D. Eiszner		
7		Gabriel S. Spooner		
8		Douglas W. Robinson Jamie H. Kitano		
9		Attorneys for Defendant NISSAN NORTH		
10		AMERICA, INC.		
11	Dated: May 20, 2015	CHRISTA & JACKSON		
12				
13		By: /s/ Laura K. Christa		
14		By: <u>/s/ Laura K. Christa</u> Laura K. Christa		
15		Attorneys for Defendant		
16		MITSUBISHI MOTORS NORTH AMERICA, INC.		
17				
18	Dated: May 20, 2015	DLA PIPER, LLP		
19				
20				
21		By: /s/ Matthew D. Satchwell Matthew D. Satchwell		
22		Clive McClintock		
23		Attorneys for MAZDA MOTOR OF AMERICA, INC. and SUBARU OF		
24		AMERICA, INC.		
25				
26				
27				
28				
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .				

1	Dated: May 20, 2015	GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP		
2				
3		By: /s/ Stephen Shahida		
4		By: <u>/s/ Stephen Shahida</u> Stephen Shahida		
5		Attorneys for Defendant KIA MOTORS AMERICA, INC.		
6		KIA MOTOKS AMERICA, INC.		
7	Dated: May 20, 2015	DLA PIPER LLP		
8				
9				
10		By: /s/ Joseph P. Lavelle		
11		Joseph P. Lavelle Andrew N. Stein		
12		Clive McClintock		
13		Attorneys for BMW of North America, LLC		
14	Dated: May 2020, 2015	GONZALEZ SAGGIO & HARLAN LLP		
15				
16				
17		By: /s/ Don A. Hernandez Don A. Hernandez		
18		Christina D. Hernandez		
19		FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER &		
20		JACOBSON LLP		
21		Scott W. Doyle (pro hac vice) Jonathan DeFosse (pro hac vice)		
22		Attorneys for Defendant Mercedes-Benz		
23		USA, LLC		
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .				

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.