Ryan E. Hatch (SBN 235577) rhatch@linerlaw.com Jason L. Haas (SBN 217290) ihaas@linerlaw.com LINER LLP 1100 Glendon Avenue, 14th Floor Los Angeles, California 90024.3518 Telephone: (310) 500-3500 5 Facsimile: (310) 500-3501 Attorneys for Plaintiff Signal IP, Inc. 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 WESTERN DIVISION 11 SIGNAL IP, INC., a California 12 Case No. 2:14-cv-02454-JAK (JEMx) (Related to 2:14-cv-02962-JAK corporation, 13 JEMx); CV14-00497-JAK (JEMx); Plaintiff, 8:14-cv-00491-JAK (JEMx); 2:14-cv-02963 JAK (JEMx); 2:14-cv-02457-14 JAK (JEMx); 2:14-cv-03111-JAK VS. 15 (JEMx); LA CV14-03109 JAK (JEMx); 2:14-cv-03107-JAK (JEMx);) AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC., a California corporation; HONDA OF AMERICA MRG, INC., 16 JOINT STIPULATION FOR an Ohio corporation, 17 ENTRY OF PARTIAL FINAL JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY Defendants. 18 The Hon. John A. Kronstadt 19 AND RELATED CASES Trial Date: **TBD** 20 21 Plaintiff Signal IP, Inc., ("Plaintiff" or "Signal") and defendants American Honda 22 Motor Co., Inc. and Honda of America Mfg., Inc. (collectively "Honda"), Nissan North 23 America, Inc. ("Nissan"), Mitsubishi Motors North America, Inc. ("Mitsubishi"), 24 Mazda Motor of America, Inc. ("Mazda"), Subaru of America, Inc. ("Subaru"), Kia 25 Motors America, Inc. ("KMA"), BMW of North America, LLC ("BMWNA"), 26 Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC ("Mercedes"), and Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. and 27 Bentley Motors, Inc. (together, "Volkswagen/Bentley") (collectively, "Defendants") 28 American Handa et al v Signal ID IDD2015 01002



hereby stipulate and agree, subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

- In 2014, Plaintiff filed suit against Mercedes, Subaru, KMA, Nissan, 1. Volkswagen/Bentley, BMWNA, and Honda alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,775,601 ("the '601 patent").
- 2. In 2014, Plaintiff filed suit against Mercedes, Mazda, Subaru, KMA, Nissan, Mitsubishi, Volkswagen/Bentley, BMWNA, and Honda alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,012,007 ("the '007 patent")
- In 2014, Plaintiff filed suit against Mazda, KMA, Nissan, Mitsubishi, 3. Volkswagen/Bentley, BMWNA, and Honda alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,732,375 ("the '375 patent").
- Plaintiff asserted that certain Defendants infringed claims 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 4. 15, and 17 of the '601 patent; claims 1 and 7 of the '375 patent; and claims 1, 8, 9, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 22 of the '007 patent (collectively, the "Asserted Claims").
- 5. Defendants denied Plaintiff's claims of infringement and asserted various affirmative defenses or counterclaims, including that the Asserted Claims were invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- 6. On April 17, 2015, the Court issued its claim construction order (Docket No. 77) holding, inter alia, that (i) certain terms in claims 8, 15, and 17 of the '601 patent are indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2; (ii) certain terms in claims 1, 17, and 20 of the '007 patent are indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2; and (iii) a term in claim 1 of the '375 patent is indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2.
- 7. In light of the Court's claim construction order, Plaintiff and Defendants stipulate to entry of a partial final judgment that the following claims are invalid due to indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2: (i) claims 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, and 17 of the '601 patent; (ii) claims 1 and 7 of the '375 patent; and (iii) claims 1, 8, 9, 17, 18, 19, and 20 of the '007 patent.
- 8. Plaintiff and Defendants reserve all appellate rights, including, but not 28 limited to, the right to appeal the Court's April 17, 2015 claim construction order to the

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Plaintiff reserves all rights as to		
2	claims not addressed by the Court's claim construction order, or any new claims that		
3	may be issued by the United States Patent Office.		
4	9. A partial final judgment reflecting the parties' stipulation is submitted		
5	herewith.		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
7			
8	Dated: May 20, 2015 LINER LLP		
9			
10	By: /s/Ryan E. Hatch		
11	Ryan E. Hatch		
12	Jason L. Haas Attorneys for Plaintiff SIGNAL IP, INC.		
13			
14	Dated: May 20, 2015 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.		
15	Faicu. May 20, 2013		
16			
17	By: /s/ Ralph A. Phillips		
18	Ralph A. Phillips (Pro Hac Vice) Ahmed J. Davis (Pro Hac Vice)		
19	Attorneys for Defendants		
20 21	AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC: and HONDA OF AMERICA MFG., INC.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			



1	Dated: May 20, 2015	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
2		
3		
4		By: /s/ Patrick A. Lujin
5		Patrick A. Lujin
6		B. Trent Webb Richard D. Eiszner
7		Gabriel S. Spooner
8		Douglas W. Robinson Jamie H. Kitano
9		Attorneys for Defendant NISSAN NORTH
10		AMERICA, INC.
11	Dated: May 20, 2015	CHRISTA & JACKSON
12		
13		Drug / / / X / X / GI /
14		By: /s/ Laura K. Christa Laura K. Christa
15		Attorneys for Defendant
16		MITSUBISHI MOTORS NORTH AMERICA, INC.
17		
18	Dated: May 20, 2015	DLA PIPER, LLP
19		
20		
21		By: /s/ Matthew D. Satchwell Matthew D. Satchwell
22		Clive McClintock
23		Attorneys for MAZDA MOTOR OF
24		AMERICA, INC. and SUBARU OF AMERICA, INC.
25		
26		
27		
28		



1	Dated: May 20, 2015	GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
2		
3		
4		By: /s/ Stephen Shahida Stephen Shahida
5		Attorneys for Defendant
6		KIA MOTORS AMERICA, INC.
7	Dated: May 20, 2015	DLA PIPER LLP
8	Dated. Way 20, 2013	DEATH ER EEL
9		
10		By:/s/Joseph P. Lavelle
11		Joseph P. Lavelle Andrew N. Stein
12		Clive McClintock
13		Attorneys for BMW of North America, LLC
14	Dated: May 2020, 2015	GONZALEZ SAGGIO & HARLAN LLP
15		
16		
17		By: /s/ Don A. Hernandez Don A. Hernandez
18		Christina D. Hernandez
19		EDIED EDANIZ HADDIC CHDIVED 0-
20		FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP
21		Scott W. Doyle (pro hac vice)
22		Jonathan DeFosse (pro hac vice) Attorneys for Defendant Mercedes-Benz
23		USA, LLC
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

