

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

J SQUARED, INC. d/b/a UNIVERSITY LOFT COMPANY,
Petitioner,

v.

SAUDER MANUFACTURING COMPANY,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00958
Patent 8,585,136 B2

Before LINDA E. HORNER, JOSIAH C. COCKS, and
JAMES A. WORTH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HORNER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Institution of *Inter Partes* Review
37 C.F.R. § 42.108

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner, J Squared, Inc. d/b/a University Loft Company (“Petitioner”), filed a Petition requesting institution of an *inter partes* review of claims 1, 2, and 4–14 of U.S. Patent No. 8,585,136 B2 (“the ’136 patent,” Ex. 1001). Paper 1 (“Pet.”). Patent Owner, Sauder Manufacturing Company (“Patent Owner”), filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 6 (“Prelim. Resp.”). We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 314.

The ’136 patent includes 14 claims, 13 of which are challenged by Petitioner.¹ Claims 1 and 12 are independent claims. We have reviewed the Petition, the evidence cited therein, and the Preliminary Response. For the reasons set forth *infra*, we determine that Petitioner has demonstrated a reasonable likelihood of prevailing with respect to each of challenged claims 1, 2, and 4–14 of the ’136 patent on at least one ground of unpatentability. Accordingly, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314, we institute an *inter partes* review of these claims.

A. Related Matters

Patent Owner has sued Petitioner for infringing the ’136 patent in *Sauder Manufacturing Company v. J Squared, Inc. d/b/a University Loft Company*, Case No. 3:14-cv-00962-JZ, pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio (“the infringement litigation”). Pet. 2. The district court issued a Markman Order in the infringement litigation on June 9, 2015. Ex. 2001.

Petitioner also challenges claims 1–14 of the ’136 patent in a petition for *inter partes* review filed on February 19, 2015. *J Squared, Inc. v. Sauder Mfg. Co.*, Case IPR2014-00774 (“the related petition”). The challenges to

¹ Petitioner does not challenge claim 3 in the Petition.

IPR2015-00958
Patent 8,585,136 B2

the claims presented in the related petition are based on different grounds but on some of the same prior art as presented in the present Petition. We are issuing concurrent decisions in the present petition and the related petition.

U.S. Patent Application No. 14/596,623, filed January 14, 2015, now pending, is a continuation of U.S. Patent Application No. 14/057,781 ('781 application), filed October 18, 2013, now U.S. Patent No. 8,960,787 B2 ('787 patent), which is a continuation of U.S. Patent Application No. 13/277,778, filed October 20, 2011, now the '136 patent, which Petitioner challenges in the present matter.

B. The '136 Patent

The '136 patent is directed to “a chair with a coupling companion stool base.” Ex. 1001, 1:49. “The chair portion has a frame that may be supported above a generally horizontal surface by the base, which base releasably couples with the frame.” *Id.* at 1:58–60. “When the frame is decoupled from the base, the frame forming the chair portion is adapted for use as casual floor rocker seating, and the base is adapted to provide a companion stool upon which a user may sit or, alternatively a side table which may be positioned adjacent to the chair portion.” *Id.* at 2:17–22.

Figure 1 is reproduced below.




Fig. 1

Figure 1 depicts a perspective view of a chair coupled to a companion stool base in a task chair configuration.

Figure 18 is reproduced below.




Fig. 18


Figure 18 depicts a perspective view of an uncoupled chair and companion stool base in a nested configuration.

Figures 1 and 18 depict chair portion 100 and base portion 300 in coupled and uncoupled configurations, respectively. Ex. 1001, 4:21–23. Chair portion 100 has frame 102, which has upper portion 104 and lower portion 106. *Id.* at 4:24–26. Legs 180 extend generally downward from

lower portion 106 and are configured as rockers, so as to render the chair portion capable of use as a floor rocker when the chair is set upon a generally horizontal supporting surface. *Id.* at 6:36–39, 45–46. Upper portion 104 provides a back rest and lower portion 106 includes a sitting portion. *Id.* at 4:33, 61–62. Base portion 300 has saddle 310, which is connected via post 334 to five legs 330. *Id.* at 7:20–28.

Figures 11 and 12 depict coupling of chair portion 100 and base portion 300.

Figures 11 and 12 are reproduced below.



Figures 11 and 12 depict side elevation views showing coupling and uncoupling of chair portion and companion stool base portion, with a chair portion and stool base portion in cross section.

As depicted in Figures 11 and 12, lower portion 106 includes claw 142 and clip clamp latch 160. *Id.* at 4:64–67. Claw 142 and clip clamp latch 160 function to engage releasably with saddle 310 of base 300. *Id.* at 5:24–

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.