

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

J SQUARED, INC. d/b/a UNIVERSITY LOFT COMPANY
Petitioner

v.

SAUDER MANUFACTURING COMPANY
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,585,136

CHAIR WITH COUPLING
COMPANION STOOL BASE

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8.....	2
A. Real Party in Interest – 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1).....	2
B. Related Matters – 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)	2
C. Lead and Backup Counsel – 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(3).....	2
D. Service Information – 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(4).....	2
II. PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. §42.103.....	3
III. REQUIREMENTS UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104.....	3
A. Grounds for Standing – 37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)	3
B. Challenge and Relief Requested – 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b).....	3
IV. SUMMARY OF THE ‘136 PATENT	4
A. Overview of the ‘136 Patent.....	4
B. Summary of the Prosecution History of the ‘136 Patent	5
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION – 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(3)	8
A. “Coupled” (claim 1)	9
B. “Engage” (claim 1).....	9
C. “Said combination further comprises an assembly positioned below said sitting portion and forming at least a pair of base legs which are structured so as to function as rockers for said chair when said combination is in said second configuration.” (claim 1)	10
D. “Function as rockers” (claim 1)	12
E. “Latch moving between closed and open positions” (claim 6).....	13

F.	“The base portion further includes a pedestal that extends generally upward to the saddle and includes a connector that operatively connects the saddle with the pedestal” (claim 9)	13
G.	“Means for releasably engaging said chair to said base portion” (claim 12)	14
VI.	FOUNDATIONS OF UNPATENTABILITY.....	16
A.	Ground 1 – Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 11 Are Obvious Under U.S.C. §103 Over U.S. Patent No. 6,554,353 to Yu, issued 4/29/2003 (“Yu”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 794,461 to Mackey, issued 7/11/1905 (“Mackey”).....	16
B.	Ground 2 – Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 11 Are Obvious Under U.S.C. §103 Over Yu in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,285,543 to Clark, issued 8/25/1981 (“Clark”).....	24
C.	Ground 3 – Claims 6, 7, 10 and 12-14 Are Obvious Under U.S.C. §103 Over Yu in view of Mackey or Clark, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,723,813 to Kassai, issued 2/9/1988 (“Kassai”).....	29
D.	Ground 4 – Claims 6, 7, 10 and 12-14 Are Obvious Under U.S.C. §103 Over Yu in view of Mackey or Clark, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,223,431 to Gottfried, issued 12/14/1965 (“Gottfried”)	37
E.	Ground 5 – Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10-14 Are Obvious Under U.S.C. §103 Over U.S. Patent No. 2,530,474 to Lutes, issued 11/21/1950 (“Lutes”), alone or in view of Mackey.....	44
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	51

EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. No.	Exhibit
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,585,136 (“the ‘136 patent”)
1002	U.S. Patent No. 6,554,353 to Yu (“Yu”)
1003	U.S. Patent No. 794,461 to Mackey (“Mackey”)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 4,285,543 to Clark (“Clark”)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 4,723,813 to Kassai (“Kassai”)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 3,223,431 to Gottfried (“Gottfried”)
1007	U.S. Patent No. 2,530,474 to Lutes (“Lutes”)
1008	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,585,136
1009	Office Action dated Nov. 13, 2014, in Patent Application No. 14/057,781, now U.S. Patent No. 8,960,787 (a continuation of the ‘136 patent)
1010	Definitions from Webster’s Seventh New Collegiate Dictionary
1011	Plaintiff’s Submission of Proposed Claim Terms of U.S. Patent No. 8,585,136 Requiring Markman Analysis by the Court, in <i>Sauder Manufacturing Company v. J Squared, Inc. d/b/a University Loft Company</i> (“Patent Owner’s Proposed Construction”)
1012	Patent Owner’s Preliminary Infringement Contentions in <i>Sauder Manufacturing Company v. J Squared, Inc. d/b/a University Loft Company</i>
1013	U.S. Patent No. 172,458 to Lawrence (“Lawrence”)
1014	U.S. Patent No. D139,241 to Wright (“Wright”)

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §311, J Squared, Inc. d/b/a University Loft Company (“Petitioner”), petitions for *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of claims 1, 2 and 4-14 of U.S. Patent No. 8,585,136 (“the ‘136 patent”), issued on November 19, 2013 and entitled CHAIR WITH COUPLING COMPANION STOOL BASE. The ‘136 patent was assigned to Sauder Manufacturing Co. (hereafter “Patent Owner”).

The technology at issue pertains to reconfigurable chairs. The ‘136 patent discloses a rocking chair detachably connected to a base. As will be shown, the invention *as claimed* in claims 1, 2 and 4-14 would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

This Petition demonstrates that there is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner will prevail with respect to at least one of the claims challenged in this Petition and thus that an *inter partes* review should be instituted. Petitioner respectfully requests that claims 1, 2 and 4-14 of the ‘136 patent be held unpatentable and cancelled.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.