

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SHARP CORPORATION, SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, and
SHARP ELECTRONICS MANUFACTURING COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioners

v.

SURPASS TECH INNOVATION LLC,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-_____
Patent No. 7,420,550 B2
Issue Date: September 2, 2008

Title: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DRIVING DEVICE OF MATRIX STRUCTURE TYPE
AND ITS DRIVING METHOD

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,420,550

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	7
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	7
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	7
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	8
D.	Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	9
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	9
IV.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	9
V.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGED CLAIMS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	10
A.	Claims for Which <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Is Requested Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)	10
B.	The Specific Art and Statutory Grounds on Which the Challenge Is Based Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2)	10
VI.	SUMMARY OF THE '550 PATENT.....	11
A.	Specification of the '550 Patent	11
1.	LCD Panels and Driving Devices Were Known in the Prior Art.....	11
2.	The Alleged Invention of the '550 Patent.....	13
B.	Claims 1-5 of the '550 Patent.....	16
C.	Prosecution History of the '550 Patent.....	17
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)	19
A.	"The first and the second date lines of the first group of date lines"	20
B.	"Gate lines . . . insulated with each other" and "data lines . . . insulated with each other"	20

C.	"Gate Drivers" and "Source Drivers"	21
1.	"Gate Drivers" and "Source Drivers" May Refer to Multiple Driving Circuits.....	21
2.	"Gate Drivers" and "Source Drivers" Also Refers to A Single Circuit With Multiple Outputs.....	22
VIII.	APPLICATION OF CITED PRIOR ART TO EVERY CLAIM FOR WHICH <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW IS REQUESTED UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)-(5)	23
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-3 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by the Sharp Reference	24
B.	General Considerations Regarding Obviousness Grounds 2-4.....	33
C.	Ground 2: Claims 1-3 and 5 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over the Sharp Reference	34
D.	Ground 3: Claims 1-5 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over the Sharp Reference in view of Kamizono.....	38
1.	The Disclosure of Kamizono.....	38
2.	Claims 1-5 are obvious over the Sharp Reference in view of Kamizono	40
E.	Ground 4: Claims 1-5 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Shimada in view of Kamizono.....	47
1.	The Disclosure of Shimada.....	47
2.	Claims 1-5 are obvious over Shimada in view of Kamizono	50
IX.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS	59
X.	CONCLUSION	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorff Licensing, Ltd.</i> , 851 F.2d 1387 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	59
<i>In re Harza</i> , 274 F.2d 669 (C.C.P.A. 1960)	34, 35
<i>Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.</i> , 909 F.2d 1464 (Fed. Cir. 1990).....	29, 53
<i>Hyundai Mobis Co., Ltd. v. Autoliv ASP, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-01005, (PTAB Jan. 14, 2015)	34
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Leapfrog Enters., Inc. v. Fisher-Price, Inc.</i> , 485 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	59
<i>Optivus Tech., Inc. v. Ion Beam Applications S.A.</i> , No. CV 03-2052 SJO, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 44535 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 14, 2005)	34
<i>Ormco Corp. v. Align Tech., Inc.</i> , 463 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	59
<i>In re Shreiber</i> , 128 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	29, 53
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	10, 23, 24
35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	11, 23, 24, 34, 36, 38, 47
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319	1
35 U.S.C. § 315(b).....	9

35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(1)	9
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(c)	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	7
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(a)	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.63	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	19
37 C.F.R. § 42.103	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	19
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)-(5)	23
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.108	10
MPEP § 2114.....	29, 53
MPEP § 2144.04(VI)(B)	35

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.