IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY CAMDEN VICINAGE

SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., Plaintiff, | Civil No. 14-667-JBS-KMW v. LUPIN LTD., et al., Defendants. SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., Plaintiff, Civil No. 14-4149-JBS-KMW v. LUPIN, LTD., et al., Defendants. SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., Plaintiff, v. Civil No. 14-5144-JBS-KMW LUPIN, LTD., et al., Defendants. SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., Plaintiff, Civil No. 15-335-JBS-KMW v. LUPIN, LTD., et al., Defendants.



SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff, Civil No. 14-6893-JBS-KMW

v.

INNOPHARMA LICENSING, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

Civil No. 14-3962-JBS-KMW

v.

METRICS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

METRICS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civil No. 14-4964-JBS-KMW

AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES FOR DISCOVERY PURPOSES ONLY

This Scheduling Order confirms the directives given to counsel during the on the record in-person status conference held on April 16, 2015; and the Court noting the following appearances: Melissa Chuderewicz, Esquire, Bryan C. Diner, Esquire, Justin J. Hasford, Esquire, all appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs; and Arnold Calman, Esquire, and Katherine Escanlar, Esquire, both appearing on behalf of the Innopharma defendants; and Mayra Tarantino, Esquire, and Daniel Margolis, Esquire, both or an babalf of the Turnin defendants, and dender Taglic



Esquire, and Vince Capuano, Esquire, both appearing on behalf of the Metrics defendants; and for good cause shown:

IT IS this 16th day of April, 2015, hereby ORDERED:

- 1. The cases of Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. v. Lupin, Ltd., et al., Civil Action Nos. 14-667 (JBS), 14-4149 (JBS), 14-5144 (JBS), and 15-335 (JBS); Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. v. Innopharma Licensing, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 14-6893 (JBS); and Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. v. Metrics, Inc., et al., Civil Action Nos. 14-3962 (JBS) and 14-4964 (JBS), are consolidated solely for purposes of pretrial discovery pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42 and L. Civ. R. 42.1.
- 2. On or before April 23, 2015, plaintiffs shall file written submissions setting forth the reasons they oppose defendants' request that the Court establish a date by which the parties can amend without leave of Court.
- 3. Defendants shall respond to same on or before April 27, 2015.
- 4. Any application for an extension of time beyond the deadlines set herein shall be made in writing to the undersigned and served upon all counsel prior to expiration of the period sought to be extended, and shall disclose in the application all such extensions previously obtained, the precise reasons necessitating the application showing good cause under FED. R. CIV. P. 16(b), and whether adversary counsel agree with the application. The schedule set herein will not be extended unless good cause is shown.

THE FAILURE OF A PARTY OR ATTORNEY TO OBEY THIS ORDER MAY RESULT IN IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 16(f).

s/ Karen M. Williams
KAREN M. WILLIAMS
United States Magistrate Judge

cc: Hon. Jerome B. Simandle

