

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

INNOPHARMA LICENSING, INC., INNOPHARMA LICENSING LLC,  
INNOPHARMA INC., INNOPHARMA LLC,  
MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., and MYLAN INC.  
Petitioner,

v.

SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., BAUSCH & LOMB, INC., and  
BAUSCH & LOMB PHARMA HOLDINGS CORP.  
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 8,129,431 to Sawa *et al.*

Issue Date: March 6, 2012

Title: Aqueous Liquid Preparation Containing 2-Amino-3-(4-  
bromobenzoyl) Phenylacetic Acid

---

*Inter Partes* Review No.: IPR2015-00903

---

**Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,129,431  
Under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-.80, 42.100-.123**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	OVERVIEW .....	1
	A. The ‘431 Patent .....	3
	B. The Scope and Content of the Prior Art.....	4
	1. Aqueous Ophthalmic Preparations of Bromfenac .....	4
	2. Tyloxapol and Related Surfactants in NSAID Aqueous Ophthalmic Preparations.....	5
	C. The Differences Between the Challenged Claims and the Prior Art.....	6
III.	STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)); PROCEDURAL STATEMENTS .....	9
IV.	MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)).....	9
	A. Each Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) .....	10
	B. Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	11
	1. Judicial Matters .....	11
	2. Administrative Matters .....	12
	C. Designation of Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) .....	14
	D. Notice of Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)) .....	14

V.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS THEREFOR (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)) .....	14
VI.	THE '431 PATENT AND CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	15
VII.	PERSON OF SKILL IN THE ART (“POSA”) & STATE OF THE ART .....	16
VIII.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)).....	19
A.	Independent Claims 1 and 18.....	20
1.	Ogawa in View of Sallmann .....	20
B.	Dependent Claims 2-17 and 19-22.....	36
1.	Claims 2-6, 11-17, and 19-22 – sodium salt of bromfenac .....	36
2.	Claims 3, 4, 6, 12, 13, and 20 – bromfenac concentration .....	38
3.	Claims 5 and 22.....	39
4.	Claims 11, 15-17, and 21 .....	40
5.	Claims 3-5 and 11 – tyloxapol concentration range .....	42
6.	Claims 6, 15-17, and 20-22 – tyloxapol concentration.....	44
7.	Claims 12-14 – tyloxapol concentration.....	47
8.	Claims 7-10, 13, 14, 16 and 17- additives .....	48
9.	Claims 9 and 10 - pH .....	50
C.	Objective Indicia of Nonobviousness .....	50
1.	No Unexpected Results Over the Closest Prior Art.....	51
2.	Other Objective Indicia.....	53

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of USPN 8,129,431*

IX. CONCLUSION.....55  
CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE ON PATENT OWNER.....1

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC v. Supernus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00368, Paper 8 (December 17, 2013) .....	51
<i>Chapman v. Casner</i> , 315 Fed. App'x 294 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	38, 42, 50
<i>Ecolab, Inc. v. FMC Corp.</i> , 569 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	15
<i>Friskit, Inc. v. Real Networks, Inc.</i> , 306 F. App'x 610 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	53
<i>Galderma Labs., L.P., v. Tolmar, Inc.</i> , 737 F.3d 731 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	39, 41, 42, 48
<i>In re Aller</i> , 220 F.2d 456 .....	41, 47
<i>In re De Blauwe</i> , 736 F.2d 699 (Fed. Cir. 1984) .....	51
<i>In re Malagari</i> , 499 F.2d 1297,1303 (C.C.P.A. 1974) .....	50
<i>In re Peterson</i> , 315 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	41, 48, 50, 52
<i>In re Woodruff</i> , 919 F.2d 1575 (Fed.Cir. 1990) .....	43, 50
<i>Iron Grip Barbell Co., Inc., v. USA Sports, Inc.</i> , 392 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	39
<i>KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	2, 16, 26, 36

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.