

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INNOPHARMA LICENSING, INC., INNOPHARMA LICENSING LLC,
INNOPHARMA INC., INNOPHARMA LLC,
MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., and MYLAN INC.
Petitioner,

v.

SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., BAUSCH & LOMB, INC., and
BAUSCH & LOMB PHARMA HOLDINGS CORP.
Patent Owner.


Case IPR2015-00902
Patent 8,669,290

DECLARATION OF ROBERT O. WILLIAMS, III, PH.D

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	5
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	5
III.	INFORMATION CONSIDERED	8
IV.	LEGAL PRINCIPLES	9
V.	THE '290 PATENT	9
A.	Specification and Claims	9
B.	Level of Skill in the Arti	18
C.	Claim Construction for “Stable” and “Amount Sufficient to Stabilize”	18
VI.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS	21
VII.	THE STATE OF THE ART AS OF JANUARY 21, 2003	26
A.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Not Have Pursued Bromfenac Formulations Over Other NSAID Formulations	29
1.	No reason to pursue bromfenac formulations	29
2.	Design needs or market demands would not have supported the solution that InnoPharma proposes	33
B.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Not Have Considered Different Non-Ionic Surfactants Interchangeable	40
1.	No teaching of interchangeability of polysorbate 80 and tyloxapol in aqueous solutions of NSAIDs	41
2.	No teaching of polysorbate 80 or tyloxapol as a stabilizer of aqueous ophthalmic preparations of NSAIDs	45
C.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Not Have Considered Different NSAIDs Interchangeable	51

VIII. THE TEACHINGS OF OGAWA AND SALLMANN WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN COMBINED WITH ANY REASONABLE EXPECTATION OF ARRIVING AT THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER OF THE '290 PATENT	53
A. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have Had No Reason to Focus on Ogawa and its Bromfenac Formulations	53
B. At the Time of Invention, A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Not Have Combined Ogawa's Teachings With Those of Sallmann.....	57
1. Ogawa and the problem it identifies with bromfenac.....	57
2. A person of ordinary skill in the art would not have looked to Sallmann or combined its teachings with those of Ogawa	62
3. Dr. Laskar's alleged motivation and expectation of success in fact would not have made the combination of Ogawa and Sallmann obvious to make.....	70
4. A person of ordinary skill in the art would not have modified Sallmann with the teachings of Ogawa.....	74
IX. OBJECTIVE EVIDENCE OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS OF THE '290 PATENT CLAIMS.....	77
A. A Unique, Non-Prior Art, Aspect of the '290 Patent Claims: The Use of Tyloxapol with Bromfenac.....	77
B. The Unexpectedly Superior Chemical Stabilizing Benefits of Tyloxapol Compared to Polysorbate 80.....	79
1. The '290 patent compares against the closest prior art for purposes of showing unexpected results.....	80
2. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had no expectation, based on polysorbate 80, of tyloxapol's effect on the chemical stability of bromfenac formulations	82

3.	Tyloxapol's unexpectedly superior chemical stabilizing effect.....	84
C.	Tyloxapol is Unexpectedly Better than Polysorbate 80 at Maintaining Preservative Efficacy	92
D.	Tyloxapol's Unexpectedly Superior Stabilizing Effect Led to Actual Benefits for Patients.....	94
E.	Copying of Prolensa [®] by Generic Drug Companies	97
X.	SEPARATE PATENTABILITY OF INDIVIDUAL CLAIMS	98
A.	Claims 4-5, 11-12, 17-18 and 23-24: About 0.01 w/v % to About 0.05 w/v % Tyloxapol	98
B.	Claims 8-13, 20-25, 27, 29 and 30: Greater Than About 90% of Bromfenac Remains After Storing at 60° C. for 4 Weeks	103
C.	Claims 26-30: EP-Criteria B Standard for Preservative Efficacy.....	104
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	106
XII.	CLAIM CHART DEMONSTRATING THAT PROLENSA [®] FALLS WITHIN THE SCOPE OF CERTAIN CLAIMS OF THE '290 PATENT	111
XIII.		

I, Robert O. Williams, III, Ph.D., under penalty of perjury, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP on behalf of Senju Pharmaceutical, Co., Ltd. in connection with two *inter partes* review (“IPR”) proceedings (IPR2015-00903 and IPR2015-00902) before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”) Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“Board”) as an expert in the field of the design, evaluation, and formulation of drug products. My qualifications in these areas, as well as other areas, are established below and by my *curriculum vitae*, which is attached as EX2115.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

2. I am currently the Johnson & Johnson Centennial Chair of Pharmaceutics at the University of Texas at Austin College of Pharmacy in Austin, Texas, where I have been teaching and conducting research for twenty years. Also, I am the Division Head of Pharmaceutics.

3. I received a B.S. degree in biology from Texas A&M University in 1979, a B.S. degree in pharmacy from the University of Texas at Austin in 1981, and a Ph.D. degree in pharmaceutics from the University of Texas at Austin in 1986. I am a licensed pharmacist.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.