Paper No. __ Filed: July 29, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INNOPHARMA LICENSING, INC., INNOPHARMA LICENSING LLC, INNOPHARMA INC., INNOPHARMA LLC, MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., MYLAN INC.,

Petitioner

v.

SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00902 (Patent 8,669,290 B2)

RENEWED MOTION TO SEAL



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Intro	oduction	1
II.	Proc	ocedural History	
III.	Gov	Governing Rules and PTAB Guidance	
IV.	Iden	tification of Confidential Information	6
V.	Good Cause Exists for Sealing Certain Confidential Information		
	A. Patent Owner's NDA and Related Portions of Patent Owner Response, the Williams, Trattler, and Jarosz Declarations and the Hoffman Transcript Should Be Sealed		7
		1. The NDA Contains Patent Owner's Highly Sensitive, Confidential Information	7
		2. Good Cause Exists to Seal the NDA Exhibits as "CONFIDENTIAL" Under the Proposed Stipulated Protective Order	8
	В.	Third Party BioScience's Confidential Testing Reports and Materials Documenting Proprietary Testing Methods and the Related Paulson Declaration Should Be Sealed	9
VI	Cone	clusion	10



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)



I. Introduction

Through this Renewed Motion to Seal, Patent Owner requests that the following exhibits be sealed: (1) excerpts of Patent Owner's New Drug Application ("NDA") (Exs. 2096, 2102, 2103, 2110); and (2) confidential testing reports and materials documenting the proprietary testing methods of a third-party test company (Exs. 2249-2263). Patent Owner also requests that portions of its Patent Owner's Response (Paper 34), expert declarations Exs. 2082 (Williams), 2116 (Trattler), and 2130 (Jarosz), and the transcript of testimony Ex. 2273 (Hoffman) citing or substantially describing the above categories of documents be sealed. To the best of Patent Owner's knowledge, the Patent Owner certifies that the information identified as confidential in this motion has not been published or otherwise made public. Petitioner Lupin does not oppose this motion.

II. Procedural History

Patent Owner has filed multiple Motions to Seal. On December 28, 2015,

Patent Owner filed Motion to Seal and Motion to Enter Stipulated Protective Order

(Paper 36), requesting that certain exhibits and pleadings be sealed, specifically:

Exs. 2096, 2102, 2103, 2110 (related to Patent Owner's NDA), Ex. 2082

(Petitioner's ANDA); Exs. 2220 and 2226 (Patent Owner's presentations); Ex.

2114 (transcript of expert testimony); portions of Patent Owner's Response (Paper 34); and Exs. 2082, 2105, 2116, 2130 (declarations of various experts citing or



substantially describing the categories of documents sought to be sealed). On March 31, 2016, Patent Owner filed a Motion to Seal (Paper 67) requesting that transcripts of expert testimony (Ex. 2272 and 2273), Patent Owner's Motion for Observation Regarding Cross-Examination (Paper 64), be filed under seal. On April 6, 2016, Patent Owner filed a Motion to Seal (Paper 71) requesting that Exs. 2249-2263 (testing reports and materials from third-party test companies, and related supplemental expert reports citing same) be filed under seal.

On June 21, 2016, the Board denied the parties request to enter the Stipulated Protective Order (Paper 85) and denied all pending requests to seal exhibits and pleadings without prejudice (Papers 85, 89). The parties met and conferred and agreed that in light of the Board's orders and the completion of discovery, the "PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL-BOARD'S EYES ONLY" and "PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL-FED R. EVID 615" designations are no longer applicable to this proceeding. As a result, the parties agreed that the Default Protective Order should govern in this case. Accordingly, in this Motion, Patent Owner will not be seeking to seal portions of: Patent Owner's Response (Paper 33), Patent Owner's Motion for Observations (Paper 58); Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response to Petition (Ex. 2271); and of the declarations or testimony of Dr. Paul Laskar (Ex. 2114 and Ex. 2272), Robert O. Williams (Ex. 2082), Stephen G. Davies (Ex. 2105) or Ivan Hoffman (Ex. 2273) that were



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

