

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INNOPHARMA LICENSING, INC., INNOPHARMA LICENSING LLC,
INNOPHARMA INC., INNOPHARMA LLC,
MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., and MYLAN INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD.
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00902 (Patent 8,669,290 B2)

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO SEAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....1

II. Governing Rules and PTAB Guidance.....1

III. Background and Identification of Confidential Information.....3

IV. Good Cause Exists for Sealing Certain Confidential Information5

 A. Patent Owner’s Confidential Information Contained in the
 Testimony of Mr. Hofmann Should Be Sealed.....5

 B. Under the Rule on Witnesses, Transcript of Petitioner
 InnoPharma’s Experts Should Be Sealed Until Petitioner
 Lupin’s Expert Has Concluded Her Testimony in the Related
 IPR Proceedings7

V. Conclusion9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

FEDERAL CASES

Geders v. United States,
425 U.S. 80 (1976).....7

BOARD DECISIONS

Sandoz, Inc. v. EKR Therapeutics, LLC,
IPR2015-00005, Paper 21 6

FEDERAL STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 316.....1, 2

FEDERAL REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.14 2
37 C.F.R. § 42.20 3
37 C.F.R. § 42.54 1, 3
37 C.F.R. § 42.62 7
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide,
77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012)2

I. Introduction

Through this Motion to Seal, Patent Owner requests that two categories of exhibits be sealed: (1) Patent Owner's confidential information from Patent Owner's New Drug Application ("NDA") (EX2273); (2) the transcript of testimony of Petitioner InnoPharma's experts, Dr. Paul Laskar (EX2272) and Mr. Ivan Hofmann (EX2273). In addition, Patent Owner also requests that the confidential version of Patent Owner's Motion for Observation Regarding Cross-Examination of Reply Witnesses Dr. Paul A. Laskar, Ph.D., and Ivan T. Hofmann, CPA/CFF, CLP ("Observations") (Paper 64 (FED. R. EVID. 615 version to be made public once FED. R. EVID. 615 has been lifted, as explained herein)) citing or substantially describing the second category of documents be sealed. Finally, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.54, Patent Owner renews its request for entry of the Proposed Stipulated Protective Order, Paper 36, filed on December 28, 2015. To the best of Patent Owner's knowledge, the Patent Owner certifies that the information identified as confidential in this motion have not been published or otherwise made public. Petitioner does not oppose this motion.

II. Governing Rules and PTAB Guidance

Under 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(1), the default rule is that all papers filed in an *inter partes* review are open and available for access by the public but a party may

file a concurrent motion to seal and the information at issue is sealed pending the outcome of the motion.

Similarly, 37 C.F.R. § 42.14 provides:

The record of a proceeding, including documents and things, shall be made available to the public, except as otherwise ordered. A party intending a document or thing to be sealed shall file a motion to seal concurrent with the filing of the document or thing to be sealed. The document or thing shall be provisionally sealed on receipt of the motion and remain so pending the outcome of the decision on the motion.

It is, however, only “confidential information” that is protected from disclosure. 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(7)(“The Director shall prescribe regulations -- . . . providing for protective orders governing the exchange and submission of confidential information”). In that regard, the *Office Trial Practice Guide*, 77 *Fed. Reg.* 48756, 48760 (Aug. 14, 2012) provides:

The rules aim to strike a balance between the public’s interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history and the parties’ interest in protecting truly sensitive information.

* * *

Confidential Information: The rules identify confidential information in a manner consistent with Federal Rule of

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.